



तमसो मा ज्योतिर्गमय

CANTINIKETAN  
VISWA BHARATI  
LIBRARY















MEMOIRS OF  
ALPHONSE DAUDET













# ALPHONSE DAUDET

BY HIS SON

LÉON DAUDET

*Translated from the French*

BY

CHARLES DE KAY

LONDON

SAMPSON LOW, MARSTON AND CO., LIMITED

1898

*Copyright, 1898,*

BY LITTLE, BROWN, AND COMPANY.

---

*All rights reserved.*

University Press :

JOHN WILSON AND SON, CAMBRIDGE, U.S.A.

## DEDICATION

I dedicate this book, in all piety, to Madame Alphonse Daudet, my dearly beloved mother — my mother who discreetly aided and encouraged her husband in all his good as well as wretched hours and created about him that atmosphere of tender reflection in which he was able to live, work and die under the protection of a pure, pensive and restful soul.

LÉON DAUDET.

PARIS, January, 1898.



## P R E F A C E.

---

HIS tomb is hardly closed and I set myself to write these words. I do it with a brave heart, but broken by a frightful sorrow, for the one of whom I shall speak was not only a father and husband of the most exemplary sort, he was also my teacher, my counsellor and my great friend. There was not a line written by me which I did not read to him while the ink was wet; there was not a thought of mine, the true value of which I did not beg him to state: there was not one of my feelings, the power or the origin of which I concealed from him.

This life which I owe to him, the beauty, dignity and importance of which he caused me every day to perceive; this life, burning with admiration for his intellectual and moral beauty; this life which he scrupulously and jealously guided and which he filled with pride at the example offered by his own — I presented this life to him as it proceeded in order that he might judge and strengthen it.

And now, although he, my darling one! exists no longer, as I march onward through this sor-

rowfully dark night toward him, the beacon, yet do I persevere in my endeavor, guided by the sound of his voice and the tender fire of his look.

My heart overflows; I shall open it wide. So many noble and grand things which he has said to me tremble within me and seek an exit! I shall permit them to be scattered before the feet of his numberless admirers. The latter have nothing to fear; their gentle consoler was without a blot. If I turn my eyes backward over the path of my existence, already harsh, though brief, I see him standing calm and smiling despite his torments, showing an indulgence which at certain critical hours has thrown me trembling with admiration at his feet.

But it is not only for what he was in regard to myself, or to my brother, my sister or my mother that I love him; it is also, and beyond everything else, for his humanity which shone within him with so profound and serene a splendor; for his vast and sympathetic comprehension of all kinds of things and all sorts of people! Surely seldom has such a character been known here below and never in a more splendid form.

I write for you, young people, and for you also, old men, adults male or female, and for you by preference, ye disinherited ones whom the world repulses — vagabonds, luckless ones and the misunderstood! The extraordinary thing about this writer was that he preferred the humble and the disinherited of fortune to all others. It is with the pale flowers of their lives that he wove his great

crown; it was by relieving their distress with words or with a discreet action that he closed the circuit of hearts, and, as it were, created a new kind of comprehension in his harsh day and generation.

Oh, most generous circulation of blood! I have never seen my father angry except when justice was defrauded. He never swerved from justice save when carried away by pity. And, to make an end, his schooling was obtained through the pain which he heroically supported for the love of his family and the honor of human life.

*Muddle nothing, ruin nothing*, was his usual motto. I draw inspiration from his tomb, but I should not be the only one to benefit by his experience, I should not be the only one to direct his life according to his example. I believe that I am imitating him to-day when I draw aside the dark veil which falls about a deathbed, permitting that life-work only to shine with brilliancy. Moreover, that work emanated from him like his breath and gesture. So, in order that you may know him better, in order that you may love him more—I mean all of you, big and little, whose unhappiness he alleviated as by enchantment—I abandon to you in part my filial privilege and am about to allow those voices to be heard through which the heredity and the paternal affection have spoken that are the occupants of my respectful soul.





# ALPHONSE DAUDET.

---

## I.

### LAST MOMENTS.

IT is a fact that my father was ill for many long years, but he supported his sufferings so bravely, he accepted his restricted life with such a smiling resignation, that we had come to the point — we, meaning my mother, my brother and myself — of divesting ourselves a little of the anxiety we all felt at the time his sufferings began.

All the same, walking supported by one of us and resting his weight on his silver-headed cane — in regard to which he told our little sister and his grandson so many marvellous legends — all the same, with head erect and eyes bright and hand held out toward the friend who visited him, he was the joy and life of the house. This family which he cherished and brightened with his most tender looks was kept close about him; he guarded it by that moral force of his — immense, always in full power and ever increasing as he lived. On all about him he breathed an atmosphere of kindness and of confidence which the coldest and most reserved could not evade.

For the truth of this I call to witness the innumerable friends and literary comrades and strangers who came to make the author a visit; without exception they found him ready with counsel and help, ready with those precious words which elicit confidences and calm and heal the soul.

No one understood as he did the path to hearts. He himself had had hardships in the beginning and his extraordinary sensitiveness, which I shall presently attempt to analyze, caused him to place vividly before his own mind all the difficulties and rebuffs and shames others might have met, and with unexampled sharpness and vigor in particulars. When a man stood before him with his face in a strong light he divined him and summed him up with a precision which was like magic; but he was chary of words and only used his eyes, so soft, veiled and yet so penetrating! "The look out of his eyes warmed one"—that was the phrase which I caught from so many lips during those days of mourning; and I admired the justice of the expression. Moreover, confession—that balm for souls which indignation or disdain has closely imprisoned, that consolation of the afflicted, of the abandoned and those in revolt—confession came true and sincere from the hearts of the rudest people; yes, the ears of my beloved father have had to hear strange avowals!

I believe also that in him people divined a veritable ferment of indulgence; his love of pardon and of sacrifice belonged to his Catholic blood. He believed that every crime could be forgiven

and that nothing was absolutely irreparable when confronted by a sincere repentance. So many luckless ones are captives of the evil which they themselves have caused and only begin their crimes over again through distress! My father had a final argument; he pointed out to them how he himself had been struck by illness in his mid career, and how, by the force of his will, he could offer himself now as an example. His strength of argument was such that very few resisted him.

And then, what an intimate eloquence was his! His words and his very intonations remain in my memory quite intact. The tone was not the same when he was telling some story in lively, splendid and precise words, as when he took my sufferings in hand. In the latter case he employed words which were vague enough at first and rather murmured than spoken, accompanied by gestures gently persuasive. By little and little, and with infinite precaution and delicacy, this speech became more definite and connected; it wove about one's being a thousand little tangible and intangible bonds, a fine and delicate cobweb for the heart, in which the heart very soon was beating warm. That is the way he employed strategy; but what I cannot express in words was the spontaneity and irresistible grace of his manœuvres, half methodical, half inexplicable, the net result of which was the solace of unhappiness.

He expected silence to do a great deal; in this silence the last words he had uttered vibrated and

thus grew in grandeur. I can still see certain people standing erect before his table with moist eyes and trembling hands. I can see others seated, turning toward him with a movement of thanks, astonished by so much wisdom as his. I can see the frightened ones and the stutterers, to whom he knew how to give confidence by means of a smile. Or else, while waiting for the result of his counsel, he would pretend to look up a piece of paper or his pen, his pipe or his eye-glass, somewhere about his always cluttered table.

A depository of so many confidences and secrets, my father kept them to himself; he has carried them with him into his tomb; very often I guessed at certain things, but when I put him questions, he gently evaded me and teased me for my curiosity.

Far, far back, at the very beginning of my youngest childhood, I can perceive the kindness of my father. That kindness shows itself in caresses, he draws me close to him, he tells me wonderful stories, we walk together through the streets of Paris and everything seems to have the appearance of a festival. I perceive the warmth of the sun and then another warmth, softer and nearer to me, which is transmitted by the dear, strong hand. In my narrow little breast I feel something tangible and exquisite, for the sake of which my breathing is quicker, something which I have already learned to call *happiness*, and as I walk along I repeat to myself *I am very happy to-day*. My father talks to me; for me he

has neither features nor face; he is not a marvellous man, but just simply *my father*. I often call him Papa, Papa, just for the simple pleasure which that word gives me, because attached to it seem all the germs of brilliant and noteworthy ideas. I ask him questions about everything around us, in order to hear the sound of his voice, which appears to me like the most beautiful music and seems to sound in exact accord with the happiness and brilliancy of all my hopes.

We pass through squares full of people and enter grand mansions; those who greet us are jovial and Papa always makes them laugh. I am wonderfully quick to perceive that there is something in him which is greater than that which exists in others. They turn toward him, they address themselves to him.

We are in the working-room, he, my mother, and I; at that time we inhabited the old Hôtel Lamoignon, 24 Pavée Street in the Marais; this time there is sunshine, too, in the shape of a big yellow streak which lengthens the designs of the carpet, a streak which I insist upon trying to polish by rubbing it with my hand. My mother is seated and writes; my father also writes, but standing up, using a little plank screwed to the wall. Now and then he stops, turns about and puts a question to my mother. From the way in which they look at each other I divine that they are very happy. Now and then he quits his place, strolls up and down with long steps, repeating in a low tone phrases which I know are his "work."

These conversations of my father with himself when he "plunges into work," form part of my childhood's atmosphere. This expression of plunging into work often makes me pensive, but the most violent labor does not prevent him from raising me in his arms when he passes near me, or of kissing me, or of standing me upright on an armchair or on a table, — a dangerous but delightful exercise, during which I feel perfect confidence as to his strength.

Of all my comrades he it is who knows how to play the best. In a corner we have a great mass of paper balls, in order to have a snowball fight; we have a corner of the drawing-room where two armchairs placed together form an actual cabin, in which we do not fear the attacks of savages and where all the fruits of the Fortunate Isles grow in abundance. When winter's cold groups us about the fire, Robinson Crusoe's shelter is between the thin knees of my father; as to the roof of the cabin, that is his inevitable laprug which has been known to take on the strangest forms and reach the most unexpected destinations. The situation in my mind is twofold; I know perfectly well that my father draws on his fancy and holds the thread of the plot; nevertheless I believe in my own *rôle* and I inhabit with him a lonely country which a very terrifying conflagration ever lights up.

Here is a painful matter: later, very much later, it must be a year and a half ago, when I had that typhoid fever and my father watched me every night; my vague and floating brain revived

those distant remembrances. As in the case of a weakened convalescent, my memory went back to pluck these flowers of my extreme youth. I trod again the pathway of the heaped-up years and with an inexpressible tenderness looked upon the handsome face of my beloved, turned toward me under the rays of the lamp; he did not seem to be changed at all.

Often, as he recalled it to me later, were our walks in the fields of Champrosay, roads given over to filial love, roads of my heart! At that time I was hardly four years old and my father held me by the hand. I had an idea that I was leading him and constantly called out "Look out, Papa, beware of the little stones!"

"Since that time, O Destiny, he has had need of my grown man's arm! We passed again over the same paths, becoming gently melancholy the while. We called back again those fragile hours in the meadows and autumnal plains, the splendor of which he would celebrate in familiar brief phrases, and once more in the footpaths among the broom and common herbs the past touched the present. Our silence was filled with regret, for we had formed the most beautiful dreams of trips together, travels on foot yielding all the emotions and all the surprises which my friend knew how to extract from the slightest episodes; but his malady made all these things impossible!

"Do you know, Léon, under what guise the roads appear to me? As escapes from my pain! O, to flee away and disappear behind a bend of the



road! How beautiful they are, those long pink turnpikes of France which I would have so liked to tread with you and your brother!" He raised his black eyes with a great sigh, and I felt my love for him augmented by an immense pity.

At the end of my childhood my father stands before me proud and valiant and ready for his growing fame. I know that he writes fine books, for his friends compliment him about them, his big friends whom I call *the giants*, who come to dine in the house — *M. Flaubert, M. de Goncourt!* I am very fond of M. Flaubert; he kisses me with a loud laugh. He speaks in a very high voice and a very strong one, while he beats with his fists upon the table.

When they are gone we talk about them with admiration.

Then my education begins; my father and mother undertake it all; I shall talk about this later. At present simply a few recollections:

We are in the country in Provence at the house of a friend. On a delightful morning filled with fragrances and the hum of bees my companion takes his copy of Virgil, his lap-rug and his short pipe. We settle down on the brink of a river; the horizon, where lines of gold and rose are trembling, is of a divine purity and is heightened by the slender dark cypresses. My father explains the *Georgics* to me. Thus does poetry show itself to me! All of a sudden, at a single stroke, the beauty of the verses and the rhythm of the singing voice and the harmony of the landscape — pene-

trate my heart. An immense beatitude invades me, I feel myself ready to weep, and as he knows what is passing within me, he draws me to his breast, increases the charm and shares in my enthusiasm; I am fairly drunk with beauty.

This time it is the evening. I come back from college after several courses in philosophy: with incomparable power Burdeau, our master, has just been analyzing Schopenhauer for us. Gloomy images have torn my soul; positively, in that lecture I have eaten of the fruit of death and pain. Through what disproportion of things have the words of that sombre thinker completely conquered me and won such an actual power in my impressionable brain? My father understands my terror; I hardly say a word to him, but he sees something has been born within my look which is too hard for a growing boy. Then he goes about it as before. He approaches me tenderly and he who is already filled with sombre presages about himself celebrates for my sake the glory of life in unforgettable terms.

He talks of labor that ennobles everything; of goodness radiating happiness; of the sense of pity which provides an asylum for the sad; finally of love, the only consoler for death, love, which I only knew by name, but which was soon to be revealed to me and was to overwhelm me with happiness. How strong and pressing are his words! He makes a radiant picture of that life on which I am embarking. Before his eloquence the arguments of the philosopher fall one by one; he

repulses triumphantly this first and decided attack of metaphysics.

Do not smile, ye who read me; to-day I understand the importance of that little family drama. Since that unforgettable evening I have gorged myself with metaphysics and I know that in that way a subtle poison has slipped into my brain as into those of my contemporaries. It is not through its pessimism that this philosophy is perilous, but because it carries people aside from life and overwhelms humanity in us. Bitterly do I regret that I did not jot down the lecture my father gave; it would have been in many ways a great comfort.

Thus I reach the final years, only stopping at the brighter points of that life of filial piety on which my whole being depends. If I speak of myself, still it is always he round whom the matter runs, because I was his field of trial — a field, alas, very often ungrateful and without a harvest.

My father would have liked me to have entered the literary career in the line of instruction. It seemed to him that the finest of all duties was the education of young minds to the point of understanding ideas, following them step by step, forming in them a character and developing in them the power of feeling. He admired all those in our epoch who have, as he was wont to say "taken charge of souls," and he showed a sympathy and respect to my masters at Louis le Grand College which most of them unquestionably will recall. By what way and wherefore did destiny at first

drag me toward medicine? That is something of which I shall speak in another place. His own maladies and the visits of celebrated doctors unquestionably had a good deal to do with it, so impressionable is youth!

But the very day on which that career repulsed me, the day I grew disgusted with the charnel house, its examinations and its competitions, he respected my evolution. My first literary essays, which I read to him at the Baths of Lamalou, were resolutely encouraged by him; and from that very moment, entering into the estate on which he planted and caused to grow such magnificent trees, I profited every day by his counsel and experience.

In the rare old copy of Montaigne that never left him, which carries on its yellow and green pages the traces of visits to many a noted thermal bath—in this book wherein he found every kind of instruction and every sort of comfort, I find that famous chapter on *The Resemblance of Children to Their Fathers* marked and annotated with special care. Unquestionably, he had realized for several years past that there had been roused in me, and almost without my knowledge, that strange literary demon from whom it is not possible to escape.

When I confessed to him this new zeal which had filled me, he gave me a fine lecture which I remember perfectly. It took place in a vulgar and bare hotel room; by some unusual chance my mother had been forced to stay in Paris with my brother Lucien and my sister Edmée who was

then very young. He spoke to me with a gravity full of emotion, coming after his usual manner very near to my heart and my intelligence. He represented to me the troubles of the profession of a man-of-letters, in which no one has a right to be an artist in the highest sense, because one remains always responsible for those who, reading one's books, might be troubled in mind thereby. He did not conceal from me the many and varied difficulties which I would meet upon my way — even admitting that success would favor me, “which is very rare!” To this he added some very simple rules, but so true! — rules for sincerity and effect in style, the part played by observation and imagination, the building up of a work, its method, and the relief to be given therein to the actors and their temperaments.

I listened in a religious spirit. Well I understood that he was pouring forth to me, there, the accumulated result of his hard work and the finest crystallization of his mind. At about that time we were in the habit of reading Pascal of an evening in a loud voice from chamber to chamber and from bed to bed. He presented this sublime master of style to me along with his beloved Montaigne, not as if he were too lofty an example, but like a constant stimulus. He also spoke to me of his own sufferings, but in a manner almost like that of a philosopher in order not to make me sad; and he insinuated that, for a number of souls who have not expressed themselves, literature was a solace and relief, such persons finding in it a

mirror and a guide. He showed me the nearest examples in Flaubert and the de Goncourt brothers. He closed with a eulogy upon life in all its forms, even the most painful.

The light was failing, but still lit up his proud and delicate face. Filled with a sort of holy confidence, I traced his words back to their original meaning, back to those deep motives concerning which he was silent. Between us two there was some happiness but a great deal of anxiety. As I evoke them, I make them live again, decisive hours that they were!

From that day onward till his last hour he never ceased to counsel and instruct and guide me; we got in the habit of such a way of talk that I was able to translate his silences, so that a single word from him was equivalent to long phrases. From that time forth, without a variation or truce, he was my impartial and tender critic.

During his last years the fear of losing him grew upon me, but owing to that sorrowful privilege of mine it made me attentive to his slightest word. That has made it possible for me to write this book. I lived as it were in a cave where shone a perpetual flame; our garden at Champrosay and his working room are crammed with the memories of conversations in which I limited myself to questions concerning all the great problems of humanity. I shall try to give some idea of his curt, elliptical and picturesque language, which really approached a human look, owing to its intensity, rapidity and the crowding of images. Of a surety

the novelist was a power and the future will show him to have been one still more ; but the man behind the novelist had not his equal for the treasures of experience and truth, which, like minted money, he poured forth from dawn to night.

His friends knew his power of divination well ; he analyzed the most distant and varied events with an almost infallible acuteness. His rare mistakes became for him so many causes for new observations of himself. His pitying, charitable nature was lightened by playful and ironical phrases in which tears seemed to mix with smiles. At our family table in the presence of my grandmother, whom he adored, his wife, whom he loved more than anything else, his baby daughter and two sons — at our delightful table which his departure has left so empty and silent, he took as much trouble in conversation as he would at a reunion of his friends.

There indeed it was that death came to seize him on the 16th of December, 1897. It was during dinner. I had come in somewhat late and found our little family met together as was usual in his working-room. I gave him my arm into the dining-room and seated him in his big armchair. Whilst taking his soup, he began to converse ; neither in his movements nor his way of acting was there anything to announce such a disaster ; when, all of a sudden, during a short and terrible silence, I heard that frightful noise which one never forgets — a veiled rattle in the throat followed by another rattle. As my mother cried out we rushed toward him.

He had thrown his head backward; that beautiful head of his was already covered with an icy sweat and his arms were hanging inert along his body.

With infinite precautions my brother and I lifted him up and laid him on the carpet; in one second, behold the horror of death fallen upon our unhappy house! Ah, the groans and lamentations and all the useless prayers addressed to one who had known how to give us everything, except just one little bit more of himself! The doctors came quickly. Dr. Potain, who loved him, tried everything possible and impossible. O frightful and heart-rending spectacle of the body which had given life to us and from which life had fled in a lightning flash! So much kindness, gentleness and beauty, so much sympathy, so many generous enthusiasms, all are nothing more than a remembrance for us!

An hour later, amid repressed sobs, he lies upon his bed as beautiful in the motionless gleam of the candles as his image in my heart. The bonds which attach us to him shall be broken only by our death, but now they are being lost in the darkness. Our memories have become the tombs where lie his motions and his words, his looks and his tender deeds. Here below love will keep no one from that path. Virtue keeps no one, genius keeps no one back. But as, broken and despairing, I bent over his most pure and lovely brow, it seemed to me that I heard these words: "Be of good cheer, the example remains!"



## II.

### LIFE AND LITERATURE.

MY father never separated life from literature; that was the secret of his influence. In his view art was accomplishment. To create types of humanity and free the souls of men, that before all else is what he longed to do.

Many a time has he told me how his youth was devoured by that same love of life and how it was due to my mother, "his devoted, his sweet and tireless comrade in work," that he did not foolishly dissipate those gifts received from nature which at a later moment he employed in such a splendid way. He hardly thought of fame for a moment and let the important question of the future which awaits the works of dead men stand aside unquestioned.

One day I read him a sentence by Lamartine from the *Cours de Littérature* which struck him; he asked me to repeat it, as he usually did when sowing new seeds in his memory. The poet speaks of "that marvellous shiver of sensibility, a forecast of genius, if the genius do not come to shipwreck from the passions." That shiver of sensibility was considered by my father the source of every work which was to last.

In certain obituary articles, otherwise very well meant, I have read this sentence, which has caused me to smile: "Alphonse Daudet was not a thinker."

No, certainly he was not and never was a thinker in pedantic fashion, a maker of abstractions and a juggler with obscure phrases; that he was not!

But here on my table I have his books of notes where, every day, without wearying and with an incredible scrupulousness and patience, he wrote down the incessant workings of his brain. Every sort of thing is found here in these little books bound in black moleskin, all their pages rumpled, scratched and scribbled up and down and from side to side.

At first one gets the impression of a tumult and a buzzing, a kind of regular trembling. That fine mind, I fancy, is completely awake in those notes, awake with all its revulsions and whirlwind changes, its comings and goings, its quick-dying flames and its fiery spaces.

Then, after a great deal of attention, I pick out a kind of rhythm, the harmonious movement of his mind which had its origin in feeling; it multiplies itself, inspires itself with picturesque views, visions of travel, dreams and reminiscences, and traverses those colored and rosy regions where the miracle of art takes place; where through the mystery of birth a vivid impression becomes the starting-point for a book or an essay.

Then the tone rises; it remains living and clear, but becomes more precise and closely set; phrases crammed with experience of the world

appear placed side by side, without apparent bonds. Nevertheless they appear to belong together like colors and brush strokes in some sketch by Velasquez or Rembrandt, phrases which contain a realism that is sometimes cruel and as if shuddering with anguish and sincerity, phrases which, like countenances modelled by the heart and the senses of man, arouse innumerable reflections.

And in this abridged way and from this vibrating cohesion and out of this tissue of flesh and nerves spring astonishing formulas, brilliant witnesses to his own soul, in generalizations far grander than those detached ideas of the human mind in which metaphysics lose themselves.

To sum up in a word, this perpetual work of analysis, done with a sincerity which reaches the verge of crying aloud, reveals in the author's thought a constant ascension and purification; it shows a zeal to carry the torch into the fogs and cobwebby corners of the human spirit and it exhibits, as it were, a patience pushed to the ideal point.

There is more than passion alone, there is also the spirit of sacrifice. Sometimes I used to say laughingly to my father: "How you do derive from the Catholic blood!" At the last analysis these notebooks reveal to us a soul in a complete state of sensitiveness where without doubt dogma has been obscured, but where religion has left its imprint on whatsoever religion offers that is at once touching and implacable. He is certain to examine himself without cessation. He is sure to

write down without delay whatsoever people have felt, whatsoever people have suffered. The joys of life and of death, the slow crumbling of our tissues, the unfolding of our hopes and disillusiones are a terror for the greater part of mankind; but the last and greatest terror is *ourselves*. This terror it is, this secret need of paltering with our conscience which makes somnambulists of us and causes us to hesitate before the confession which our heart makes to our heart through the long silence of the nights and days, even as we carry on our unseen and obscure existence!

The most powerful souls remain children rocked in the cradle of an ignorance which they voluntarily render denser and deeper, an ignorance which they keep tongueless and dark with shadows.

Montaigne, Pascal and Rousseau were the three chief and violent admirations of my father. He himself was a member of that mighty family. He was never without his Montaigne. He annotated Pascal and defended Rousseau against the honorable reproaches of those who are ashamed of shameful deeds and turn aside in disgust from things of the flesh. Without a moment's rest he entered into the abodes of these powerful models, wandered through their crypts and pondered over those redoubtable silences which lie between their confessions. He took to himself one of their thoughts and lived with it as with a lady-love, or some forgotten sister whose resemblances and dissimilar traits he was examining—all with that scrupulous earnestness which he brought to bear

upon matters of feeling. He put questions to the people about him, or to those who are on the wing, and even to the facts which happen every day. He loved the sincerity of those three geniuses, so ripe and so vast and so big. He proposed them as examples for himself. He was thoroughly saturated with their substance through having conversed with them so long. Was not that the work of a thinker?

Well, of all the great books that lie open, that one which he studied more than any other was the book of life. Impressionable as we know him to be, his youthful days must have been extraordinarily crowded with sensations and things of all kinds that attack the nerves, things which he was able to classify in his old age. But here is one of his most surprising characteristics: maturity did not show itself in his case either as a drying-up or a stoppage of development; to the very end of his life, and only through suffering, he preserved intact the faculty of being moved.

In our talks we used to compare that precious and most rare faculty to a constant sore on the spot through which force circulates, flooding over from the human being to nature and rising from nature to the human being. I remember that he likened it to the wound given by the Holy Spear that pierced the side of Christ.

"Listen," said he to me one day, "listen to one of my visions! Our Lord hangs on the cross; it is dawn, a cold and biting dawn. There is the martyr so in love with life that he is willing to lose it after

it has poured forth upon every one its charity and redemption, and toward the Master are rising the sounds of the city which is awaking to a new day — sounds and odors from perfumes and from kitchen hearths, noises of mighty crowds; and then, much nearer, the groans and long lamentations at the foot of the cross. He drinks this all in through every pore and the taste of the vinegar becomes less bitter whilst the torture of the nails, of the crucifixion and of the lance wound becomes less keen. . . .”

He went no farther, but he laid a certain weight upon the last words, so that I might follow him on to the sequel. He did not insist upon particulars in these beautiful dreams, but left the care of completing them to his listener, knowing that he who adds a little of himself understands better than if he be told all.

This delicacy of feeling, often so acute that it reached the point of the inexpressible, remained perfectly straightforward notwithstanding, and never attacked the right and proper rule. That rule, which was perfectly simple and lucid, remained in him as a boundary not to be transcended. My father detested the “perversity” of certain minds, those unwholesome games played with the conscience in which it has pleased certain remarkable men to indulge.

This delicacy of feeling was alway on the alert. In his little note-books he talks of the hours *without grace*, in which the priest finds that his faith has left him, or in which the lover, horrified by the

discovery, questions himself concerning the depth of his love. One of his preoccupations was never to harden himself in pain, but to remain accessible to all the emotions. For my part I have never known him to have any hours "without grace."

In telling a story he had a way which belonged to him alone, one his friends will never forget, nor indeed others who merely heard him once. The description followed close upon his memory of the affair and adapted itself to it like a wet garment. In their proper order he reproduced the facts and sensations necessary to the story, suppressing the intermediate ones and leaving, as he was wont to say, only "the dominant ones."

"The dominant ones"—that word was always on his lips. By that he understood the essential and indispensable parts, the pinnacles of the book or the novel. "It is on these points," he used to add, "that it is necessary to let the light play."

He used also to repeat: "Things have a sense and a side by which they can be grasped," and in that vague term "things" he understood what is animate as well as what is inanimate, whatever moves and expresses itself, as well as whatever agitates or weighs itself.

In that way we penetrate the secret of his simple method which at first blush seems by no means simple and indeed is one which demands in a writer those natural gifts that were his.

A lover of real things and of truth, he never ceased that search of his. As long as he was able

to leave the house he went about in the greatest variety of places, never neglecting a chance and particularly never despising any human being. Most remarkable was it how he detested disdain as one of the forms of ignorance. Whether the person in question was a clubman in the drawing-room, or an artist, or a sick man, whether it was a pauper on the turnpike, or a forester, or a passer-by, or some workman met by chance, my father took advantage of his own prodigious turn for sociability or of his charmingly delicate kindness in order to break through that vulgar region where only hypocrisies are exchanged, thus penetrating to the soul of the person.

He inspired in people that extraordinary confidence which springs from the delight of being understood and is doubled in pleasure by compassion; and that compassion was not a *rôle* assumed for effect. I have seen very different kinds of people surrender their confidence to him with rapture. How many people suffer from recoil! How many people feel themselves quite alone upon the earth, finding everywhere nothing but misfortune!

I have used the word method; it has a false sound when applied to activity like his which is so human. Before everything else my father followed his own inclination, which was that of loving his neighbor and sorrowing and rejoicing with him. My mother, my brother and myself indulged in tender pleasantries over the wrath which boiled up in him on hearing of some act of injustice, or



over the personal interest which he took in affairs as far as possible separated from him.

When a cruel malady drew limits to his earlier modes of life — limits in a certain way less grievous, it is true, than people have stated — he opened his portals wide. He welcomed all misfortunes and listened patiently to the recital of every kind of distress. Never did one hear him complain of having his work interrupted in order to soothe an actual pain. Very few people duped or abused his confidence, for he knew how to uncover lies with extraordinary sagacity; but even that did not irritate him: "The poor wretch," he often said to us with his delightful smile, "the poor wretch thought that he was deceiving me; but I read falsehood on his face and divined it from the trembling of a little muscle down there in the corner of the mouth which I know very well; it was made known to me also by the 'winkiness' of his eyes; there was a moment when I was on the point of betraying myself. Pshaw! he's an unhappy creature all the same."

When the man was gone he would note down whatever in the conversation seemed to him peculiar and worthy of memory. And his memory, besides, was infinite, for, notwithstanding his bad sight, he could recall a name, a figure, a gesture, an odd habitual motion or a form of speech after several years had gone by. He suddenly asked one of his old fellow pupils of Lyons College, whom he had not seen for thirty years:

"Why, you still have it there on the nail of your

thumb, I do believe! That little blood-red mark that used to astonish me when you wrote!"

His most vivid recollections comprised one of the emotions of the past which he reconstructed for us with complete fidelity to fact. I still have ringing in my ears an account of a conflagration in which the flames were still crackling, and through which the outlines of firemen and half-nude women ran helter-skelter. He appeared on the scene of the combat pouring water himself and having water poured on him, holding a lance in his hand. He had attained the age of ten years! "Stay there, boy!" one of the life-savers said to him. He did stay there until the flames came and burned off his eyelashes and licked at his hands. And he had never forgotten the cries, or the cracking of beams, or the flares of light, or the terror on the countenances, or his own particular emotion mixed with joy. And how he did tell us all that! With what exact and striking strokes of the brush!

Another time it was an inundation from a sudden freshet in the Rhône, with the strokes like a battering ram in the cellars delivered by the running water; this he recalled, adding detail to detail, while his thought turned back to the past. Then the crashing boats and that very boat on which he stood, and the drunken feeling of danger he had; then the people invaded by the flood, perched in clusters on the roofs of houses, and again, the moaning gulfs and whirlpools, the *irresistible* quality of the waters.

The peculiarity of a mind like his is this: it

makes a sort of tapestry out of so many different kinds of images, groups everything and classifies everything unconsciously through the slow labor of perfection. From the natural tendency of images to come together, through that movement of impressions which have been received, which brings them into contact the one with the other, it thus forms the complete bundle of impressions. The peculiarity of a mind of that kind is that it makes use of the slightest touches in its incessant labor in order to compare things, deduce and amplify them without deforming them, just as naturally as the heart beats and the lungs inhale.

Take the works of the great writers. Note with care the dominant points; it will be very surprising if you do not notice two or three fixed and well defined pictures among the most varied and rich descriptions; they return periodically but they are painted in new colors. Among the wealth of characters created by Balzac, Goethe or Dickens or Tolstoy, there are certain primordial turns of character, certain basic elements in nature which are central and marking points. Life has given them into the hand of genius. Genius has returned them to life while decking them with all its own prestige.

Thus it was with my father. I can well remember his astonishment when, having begged his friend Gustave Toudouze to make a selection from his works in which only examples of materialistic love should be found, the latter pointed out in the long line of his novels and dramas a constant return to the motive of "the mother," who is herself the

sum and entirety of human tenderness. Without his knowing it, the figure of her who conceives us, bears, nourishes and educates us, suffers with our sufferings and becomes radiant with our own happiness, and ceaselessly sacrifices herself for us, that admirable and spotless figure had taken possession of him. In his eyes she was the grandest and deepest problem of the heart, and, without his having noticed it, this problem had ever harassed him under all its forms.

He attached an enormous value to the emotions which open up our lives. "There is a period," he cried, "when one has *finished printing*. After that come the second editions." And often I have found him occupied by this other thought, subsidiary to the last: "In the human being there is a centre, a nucleus which never changes and never takes on wrinkles; whence our astonishment at the swift flight of the years and the functional and physical modifications that befall us."

When one of these statements caught hold of him he was not satisfied with a formula, however clear-cut and well-defined. In the first place a formula scared him. He saw in a formula the image of death, he wished to nourish it with examples. He believed that on the day when the formula would no longer apply directly to life it would lose its sincerity and become a dead leaf. "Humanity," that is the grand word which includes all those tendencies which I am now piously unravelling here, a word full of blood and nerves, which was the motto of my tender friend.

During those last years we often went out together. As long as he was able to choose his carriage at the station, it was always the most forbidding and dilapidated he took, a carriage which he thought nobody else would accept. I remember a very old coachman, driving with great difficulty a very old horse and seated on the tottering box of one of those fantastic cabs such as one may find waiting for the night trains. My father had adopted this wretched team as his own and as soon as we turned the corner of Bellechasse Street we were sure to see it jogging toward us. On his part the old fellow had fallen in love with this easy-going customer, who never found fault with his slowness and his lack of cleanliness. One of the last times that we took him, before he went to complete wreck among the shadows of Paris, what did he think of but a plan of writing large, in big red letters, on the panels and on the glasses of the cab, the initials A. D., thus calling attention and announcing himself as the property of the person who had taken compassion upon him !

A crowd of little reminiscences of this sort fly about my heart. I do not hesitate to jot some of them down, so that when you read his great books, dripping with emotion and sweetness, you may know that they were the fruit of a sincere soul, as splendid in his slighter movements as in his long and patient efforts.

Naturally our outings were but little varied. We caused ourselves to be driven along the Champs-Élysées as far as the Arc de Triomphe. My father

loved that splendid sloping way, which recalled to him so many memories, recollections that I followed in his expressive eyes, eyes always turned toward the picturesque, seizing upon and defining humanity with a fabulous quickness. If he felt himself more than usually melancholy, we went to Béthune Quay, where the history of Paris vibrates from the ancient stones as they warm beneath a pale autumnal sun.

• Beloved sun, how my father did adore you! Though meagre and pale, that sun recalled to him his balmy Provence, the very name of which would cause his face to change and would bring back color to his pallid cheek. "Primeval joy: to cook one's back in the sun!" "Oh, for a good cagnard<sup>1</sup> down there toward the Durance!" he would say, resting gently on my arm and looking into the whirling water of the Seine. Whereupon, as if given wings by his dream, he would start off on his voyage toward one of those mirages which made a perpetual enchantment of his slightest conversation.

It might start with some trivial remark: a ray of light on the forged iron of a balcony, a pane of glass lit with the sun, a reflection flung up from the river. Stimulated by some nice parallel—and no one loved exact nicety so much—he would squeeze my arm a little and his imagination would rouse itself. The merely picturesque tired him quickly. It was necessary that something

<sup>1</sup> A little shelter from the wind made of reeds in which to lie and sun oneself.

human should intervene. All he needed was a half-opened shutter to cause him to picture the entire interior with the poetical decision of the old masters of Holland. It might be an anxious old woman's outline, an old man drinking in his last sip of sunlight, or some mark of tenderness in the people—childhood or decrepitude; he divined their meaning, combined and evoked their story, glad at his own discoveries; and so ever with a gay and easy air he scattered abroad his energy and verbal treasures: "We are still playing Robinson Crusoe, my boy," said he, "just as we did in the old times under the lap-rug. Every one of these good people is living on his own narrow island, very zealous indeed on the subject of his nourishment and the satisfaction of his interests!"

During a terrible summer's heat on that very Béthune Quay we saw a workman stripped to the waist who was laughing under the spout of a watering-cart which was being vigorously played upon him. That powerful torso, that masculine attitude, those swollen muscles, his powerful short neck and erect head, these formed a departing point for a magical improvisation. How he gloried in the robustness and simplicity of the man! What splendid things he said concerning sculpture and muscles played upon by the sun, concerning sweat and water, the caryatids carved by Puget, and that antique vision which appeared round the corner of a Parisian street!

There! I can see his quick and generous smile, I can hear his laugh. For, notwithstanding his

sufferings, he preserved his gayety and took advantage of the slightest respite; fun sprang spontaneously and irresistibly from a character so in love with nature, so ready to seize upon amusing thoughts at the very moment that they were making him sad. We never knew one of his rare fits of wrath which could not be disarmed by a droll turn of words. Then it was delightful to see how his severe face changed, how he yielded with delight, only too glad to return to the usual sweetness of his nature.

It was when he happened to be with his old friend Frédéric Mistral, whom he loved and cherished, it was at that charming table of his where genius sat enthroned, or else it was at the house of the Parrocels, likewise in Provence, that I have seen him oftenest the cause and starting-point of tumultuous fun. His inherited race characteristics, his surroundings and contact with his compatriots roused in him vivid, unexpected, impromptu dramatic power. He imitated the different accents in the dialects between Valence and Marseilles, the very attitudes and gestures of the people. He gave us the benefit of the *two* voices in the same narrator — that voice which claims all the advantages, counsels, lays down the law and defines things, as well as that voice which starts contradictions, stutters and goes all to pieces.

He gave us the worthy citizen, the "Cato in very low relief," the sententious man, libidinous and longfaced, whom the boarding-school teachers fear. He played the politician with dishevelled



hair, slipping in the vehemence of his speech into the most dangerous metaphors. Then we would get "dear old Father Oily," or the godly woman confessing herself in the confessional box and the same woman cursing a station master: or, again, a customs officer, a servant, a child who clamors for his orange, the crowd collected at a bull-fight.

In one of our first trips down South we were in a waiting room of the tavern while the rain fell without; the presence of his dear friends Aubanel, Mistral and Félix Gras who were drinking with us and the giddy joy of "showing them off" to his wife, his Parisian girl, roused in him memories of his most turbulent youth. The round table of poets grew wildly excited. There were songs from the countryside, old Christmas waits in which tears were mixed with smiles, rich ballads from *Îles d'Or* and passionate cries from the *Grenade Entr'ouverte*. The correct and warm voice of my father dominated the noise and showed me its beauty by its rhythm. Enthusiasm was seen on every face; the real sun of Provence was shining there in that tavern!

It is that frantic fun, it is that flashing of gayety which make *Tartarin* and *Roumestan* such rare and charming books, true products of the soil, warm and savory, juicy and brilliant. But the fine characteristics in my father's nature sparkled all through his life before they came to ornament his books. When I open one of them I hear his sweet and quiet accent; how is it possible to separate

that memory from the part which the future will find to admire in him?

As a matter of fact his celebrated irony was really the fine flower of his tenderness. By means of that irony he escaped from the commonplace and avoided the bitterness of comparisons. By means of it he brushed artifice aside. Gifted with so spontaneous a talent, he escaped vulgar comedy; endowed with a sensitiveness which was sharp and even cruel, he softened its effect with smiles and appeased its acridity with those twists and turns which leave the soul of the reader trembling and impressed, instead of overwhelmed with gall.

This irony, purely Latin in its genius, has been compared to the sarcasm of Henri Heine. Such parallels are almost always false. Heine was an exquisite poet but an exile and a nomad, having no connection with his own soil and suffering from the fact that he could not find a surrounding nature. He makes the whole world responsible for his disquiet. Hardly has he excited emotion, when he puts us to the rout with a bitter grin. He sneers at our hearts and at his own heart. Gifted with a nature of marvellous harmony, he throws all his sensations into disorder, and when one approaches him to sympathize, he escapes from us with a grimace. My father knew well the beaten footpaths of his own friendships.

He used to speak of a ballad from the north of France in which a woman who sees her husband again after a long absence begins to weep. This

same ballad in its Southern version makes her keep herself from smiling. In that little allegory he was defining his own character.

In his little note-books I read a reproach addressed to the husband who relates to his young wife all the love adventures of his past: "Idiot, you'll find out later" is the end of the note. Under that simple form, behold the irony. It is a mask for pity. The picture in *Jack* of the men have "missed fire," the supper of the Old Guard in *Sappho* and one page or other of *L'Immortel*, are further examples of that tendency he had to move his readers by taking the slant road, if the direct path seemed too much trodden. That is the resource for a warm heart which has a certain bashfulness with regard to over-vivid and too-apparent sensations.

In this manner the author of *Femmes d'Artistes* and of *Tartarin*, of *Le Nabab* and of *L'Immortel* rose to the height of lofty satire, which is nothing else but an inverted lyricism and constitutes the revenge of generous souls. Irritated and wounded, the poet causes the brazen string to vibrate; but there is never anything too harsh, even amid the most bitter assaults! "Implacability," that word made him ponder. Every fault seemed to him capable of correction and every vice capable of remedy; he sought for some excuse for every crime. I have found the finest arguments in favor of human liberty and of the resources offered by the moral world in that same life of his, so simple and open to the day.

The man who has been reproached in so silly a way for never having given forth metaphysical ideas seemed to me on the contrary ever troubled with those great problems of the world within us, which are now the mirage of inspiration and now the mainspring of our actions.

Among philosophers he admired Descartes and Spinoza, as much for their lucidity of mind as for their minute and anxious researches into the play of human passions. If his love of life drew back before the extra-terrestrial form of those mathematical formulas applied to flesh and spirit; if he preferred Montaigne's method, he also loved, as he said, to "inhale a breath upon the lofty heights" of Spinoza's *Ethics*. He often said that it would have been singularly interesting if some Claude Bernard should annotate these commentaries on the movements of the soul.

For Schopenhauer he had a very pronounced taste. That combination of incisive humor and power of dialectics, that tissue woven of the blackest arguments and picturesque aphorisms delighted him. I read aloud long extracts from Schopenhauer; having taken them thoroughly in, he pondered over these readings, and took them up again on the morrow, enriching them with subtle remarks.

We used to talk everywhere and at all times. He delighted in shutting himself up with me in his dressing room; I can see him now interrupting himself to discuss a point, a comb or a brush in his hand, and then, when our ideas began to get into

a fog, thrusting his head down into the basin "in order to clear up our ideas." "My boy, the action of fresh water on the brain in the morning is a grand problem all of itself! The man who, having made a night of it, has not washed himself or made his toilet, is capable of performing the most frightful follies, and is incapable of the meanest train of argument."

Incidentally I have spoken of his conscientiousness. He returned always to the same subject without ostentation and without dullness, as long as anything which was obscure remained. He would not take words for coin. "Sellers of phrases" — that is what he called those hard-skulled reasoners who would like to run the moral world by mathematics and in accordance with fixed laws. "I do detest the automatic point of view!" he would also cry, when considering some icy and involved analysis; and as to this "automatic point of view" he showed how it killed off every kind of frankness and all original impulse, down to the simple happiness that comes from creation.

Suffering, which is so relaxing and persuasive, has periodical phases. The song of the nightingale is capable of inspiring in us disgust for a delicate machine. What poetry there is in the fall of the leaves, the retardation of waters as they turn to ice, if at the same time one thinks of the alternation of the seasons!

Unless I am mistaken, metaphysics themselves, having finally taken up the consideration of the

feelings, will take account in the near future of those very arguments which are called reasoning from feeling, which so profoundly correspond with our need of liberty for the mind. Unless I am mistaken, the grand philosophical system that we shall have to-morrow will put emotion in the first rank and will subordinate all else to it.

Possessed of an absolutely honest intellectual process and ever a prey to constant scruples, my father never hesitated to acknowledge himself ignorant of anything: "I do not know — Why, I did not know that!" His eye would brighten at once. Filled with the delight of learning, he would forget other people and busy himself only with that person who could bring to him a novel point of view or a story full of useful results.

His knowledge was vast and accurate. Moreover he surprised me sometimes, when our talk fell upon some scientific or social subject, by the truth of his information and the largeness of his views. He read enormously and with method, and assimilated difficult questions to his mind with marvellous quickness. He demonstrated the strength and the weakness of an argument and called attention to the paradox. His love of truth was of use to him there as always, since it freed him from prejudice and refreshed his logical strength. Long-winded theories bothered him: "Let us get forward to the picture." I can see the movement of his hand sweeping aside mere words.

He had a real and abiding love for Latin and Greek. Because he admired education, he made

of education one of the grand mainsprings of humanity and was up in arms against the new pedagogues who try to restrict the study of dead languages:

"Certain men and women," cried he, "who possess the innate gift of style, have instinctively the taste and the tact to choose the words which they employ. A woman of that kind was the much-to-be-admired Sévigné. But that sort of mind is a great exception. Most people get from classical study a benefit which nothing else can replace. The mind which feels the beauty of Tacitus, Lucretius or Virgil is very near being that of a writer."

Tacitus was always to be found upon his table by the side of Montaigne. He read from him a little at a time, only a page or two, and then translated him after a style which I have found in very few masters. Besides, he had already shown a proof of his cleverness in that line by his translation into French of the admirable Provençal prose of Baptiste Bonnet. And as far as the *Annals* are concerned, I have seen him for hours at a time feverishly hunting for a faithful and correct expression, as anxious to fulfil the poetic rights of the ear as those of the mind.

Difficulties delighted him. How often, whilst I was making my studies, when too arid and close a text had brought me to a stop, did I leave the book on his table of an evening; the next morning early I would find it there with the French translation opposite. My professors complimented

me and gave out my work as examples to the class. At the general competitions for rhetoric I remember a sentence which had shipwrecked the strongest of us. The line has remained in my memory, it is such a model of a Chinese puzzle.

“Ut cortina sonet celeri distincta meatu.”

My father took the accursed page, and, whilst he walked once round the garden, translated it for me without hesitating into words quite as strong, robust and brilliant as those of the author; and he added, in order to console me:

“Certain pages, and those by no means the least beautiful, of my dear de Goncourt will certainly prove as difficult for the college boys to come as that line is.”

He broke me into my Latin by reading the verses or fragments of examples in prose with which Montaigne interlarded his *Essays*:

“As for us people of the South, the classic phrase has never died out amongst us. Just look at this Gascon of the sixteenth century! He delights in manuscripts ever opened and reopened. Parchments preserved in monasteries and libraries have the authority of oracles to him, of messages from the past. He clothes his modern arguments in toga and buskins. He grafts the sibylline leaves upon his thick-leaved tree. The ‘Renaissance,’ my dear boy — have you ever comprehended the entire meaning of that splendid word? It is Pan the Great restored to life. Rising from out old dusty scrolls, a tremendous shudder ran through



souls alive with beauty. 'Why, then, let Gascon words fill the gap,' said Montaigne, 'if French will not do!' But there was Latin also and Greek besides. 'Let beauty show, let plainness hide its head,' as our own Mistral sings.

"Don't you see him, that happy Michel who shows us Michel himself and recognizes in him the nature of all men, don't you see him in his library, trembling with enthusiasm before the grandeur of nature, gesticulating, like the regular Southerner he is, at the memory of some line from Lucretius which delights him and corroborates his thought? Antiquity pulses through his heart. Thirst for learning consumes him. And over everything else stands the necessity of expansiveness, of telling all about himself, which is so active in modern characters as they are still found among us."

Such bits of talk as this have remained in my intellectual treasury. Alas, I have just perceived that there is lacking to it the warm Southern accent, the "monster" itself. And, as happens in meetings constantly renewed, we were apt to return to the same subjects; but each time my father added something. Until the day of his death his life was a perpetual seeking.

Some few friends are able to recall the memory of a page from Rabelais read aloud by him. He had found a good many bushes and fronds and flowers from the South in that forest of Gargantua and of Pantagruel. The author's long stay at Montpellier explains these reminiscences in Rabelais. At the end of his own copy my father has

noted down the chief localisms; naturally they greatly stirred his lively soul. He mimicked for us the entire tempest scene or else the adventures of Gargantua, booming up his voice to the diapason of frenzy, laughing at himself at the same time, throwing back his hair, sticking his eyeglass into his eye, fairly drunk with the power of words the while.

Another day it would be Diderot whom he would take up and celebrate by declaiming his most brilliant pages, the most vibrating of those in *Ceci n'est pas un conte*, *Maintes lettres à Mademoiselle Volland*, or else *Le Neveu de Rameau*. At another time it would be Chateaubriand, in whom he admired his long deep breath and his rhythm like the tremendous swing of billows. In his verses he pointed out that epic tone which is applied to familiar reminiscences, that splendor of a soul which never weakens, though always melancholy and as it were draped in the classic folds of mourning for its lost illusions.

I would have to pass the entire French literature in review in order to cite the literary gods my father adored and invoked, from whom in his sorrowful hours he demanded comfort. O the miracles wrought by poetry! Our friend and parent is wrapped in gloom; he is suffering. We hesitate to speak to him, knowing too well what his answer will be. All of a sudden a name or a quotation uttered by one of us brings life back to his look, as if it were the coming of a friend or a well-known air of music. In a moment he asks

what is going on and is all excitement. He must have the book and the page! Lucien or I run to the library. Oftener it is my mother who takes the trouble, because she has a clear and soft voice and never hurries. Here are the *Confessions* or the *Mémoires d'Outre-Tombe*. At the first words uttered my father is no longer the same man. He approves and degustates, his head inclined forward in the attitude of meditation as he stuffs his little English pipe. He interrupts. He asks us to go on again. He questions the author and discusses a matter with him. Enthusiasm has driven out suffering and moroseness and started up again the fires of youth.

Now it is our turn to listen, and the hours pass as in a dream, and those magnificent phrases of a past generation live once more a pallid life at the touch of a wand from a magician such as he was. So, across the ages, do those who love and seek out beauty begin their lives anew.

Since the love of research is universal in a mind of that kind, I would hardly know how to tell its depth and width. The misfortune of a study of this sort is that of necessity one is limited. One of the virtues in the model I have before me was exactly that continuity of his, his harmoniousness, or, if one may so express it, the architecture of his joys and sorrows. So it was that, being a connoisseur of words and always surrounded by dictionaries of the first class, such as that compiled by Mistral, he loved to examine the débris and metamorphoses of a word. Thence derive his

exactness and the beautiful clearness of his style.

Every one of those noble feelings was a guide and torch to his feet. He judged of a word by his ear which in him possessed delicacy and a supreme wisdom; and by his eye, because in spite of his short sight, he was a seer. He weighed the word and rolled it on his tongue like a connoisseur; for there is more than one noun which will evoke an entire period for us, more than one adjective whose historical importance is greater than that of a manuscript or a suit of armor.

He avoided the exceptional and precious, knowing well that there is often a rare quality in some word of seemingly common appearance; he left its true meaning to every term, being an enemy of the torturing of language, because he understood its structure so well. It is one of the follies of our time to believe that limpid transparency cannot exist along with depth. There are rivers whose pebbly bottoms gleam as if they lay just beneath the surface — but a giant may drown himself therein!

He reiterated: "I hate monsters!" The conversations of Eckermann and Goethe which for a long time were his breviary (for he changed his intellectual loves and only showed a continuous fidelity to Montaigne) are confirmation of several stages in that thought. My father sided with Goethe, whose motto "Reality and Poetry" seemed to him to sum up the wisdom of mankind. He was also wont to say: "Nothing in excess!" and

in truth sanity of mind and a hatred of that too-much, which one finds unfortunately among most Southerners, were brought to their highest expression in him.

"On Goethe's side against Jean-Paul" — how often have we not held discussions concerning tendencies. "Art" was one objection he made "is not merely the expression of one's own character; the man who does not drive the monsters out of his own soul is very soon devoured by them."

When we were discussing this question we would often glide quickly to composition and the architecture of a work, to which he accorded capital importance; according to him it was the condition of its durability: "Every book is an organism. If its organs are not in place, it must die and its corpse become a nuisance."

And since he had given great thought to the putting of order and rule into his novels and dramas, he also wished to make his interior and outward life harmonious. For this work a great mass of knowledge and of studies seemed to him necessary.

In his library, beside all the great masters, the stories of life and adventure were found on the main shelves. He stated that the love he bore for men of action had been developed in his case by the necessity of a sedentary life: "I accomplish through imagination whatever my body does not allow me to do."

He knew in detail all the campaigns of his hero

Napoleon and the journeys of his other hero Stanley, as well as expeditions to the North Pole. When people talked to him of the nineteenth century, so restless and full of tumult and perhaps more covered with incomplete monuments than any other, he defined it with two names: "Hamlet and Bonaparte; one the prince, not only of Denmark, but of the life of man within; the other, a source of the grandest deeds and of the entire gamut of gesticulation."

As for Stanley, he did not boggle at comparing him to the victor of Austerlitz. The works of this distinguished man never left him. He read them on, without wearying. During a recent touch of typhoid fever which befell me and which I shall have reason to mention often as one of the luminous summits of paternal tenderness, when I was lying inert for hours with scarcely a bit of memory or intelligence remaining, he tried to bring my wandering faculties back by reading to me some pages from *Through Darkest Africa*, or from *Five Years on the Congo*. He sat close to my bed toward the end of day on one of those sultry days near the close of May which are so troublesome to a convalescent. He held the big book in his weak hands; he wanted to carry me far, far away (using the remedy which was a solace to his own sufferings) in the wake of the intrepid traveller, overwhelmed by a much heavier fever than mine, through that land of dangerous plants, and beneath the shadowy dome of leaves.

"His only hope was in his companions, Jephson,

whom you saw at our house, a brave boy with ruddy cheeks, and that delightful Dr. Clark. And notwithstanding his delirium he retained his feeling of responsibility. He remained the chief in the midst of all his sufferings. What an extraordinary reservoir of energy ! ”

Every Thursday he explained to our guests that Stanley was not a cruel man, as envious people have insinuated, but that on the contrary he was the most humane and least ferocious of conquerors ; that he was as just as he was firm.

In London, during a journey which to-day is precious for its slightest episode, where we met the man whom he so much venerated, when he had him beside him on a little low sofa it was one of the most touching spectacles in the world to see the affectionate relationship of two souls which understood one another so well. I state again : the man for whom my father had such a real and tangible friendship is not a bad man ; in him one may admire one of the finest types of the Anglo-Saxon race, but one who belongs to all the races through the discovery of a continent, through a lucidity of mind equal to his courage and a clear and unhypocritical judgment.

At the time of that very jaunt abroad which made it possible for my father to understand England, he also had the delight of visiting “ Hamlet ” at the same time that he met “ Napoleon.” I allude to George Meredith, that extraordinary novelist whose fame is brilliant on the heights, on the very noblest summits of the mind, and will come down some

day to delight the crowd whenever the torches take up the march. What a delightful visit to that green country about Box-Hill all decked with trees and waters, where the author of *The Egoist* and *Modern Love* and of twenty masterpieces welcomed at one and the same time his comrade in letters and the family of that comrade with a tender and spontaneous charm!

How I cherished you that day, O master of the bitterest thought, of the most robust and liberal thought! I understood you to the verge of tears! What things passed that day between the looks you gave forth and those that emanated from your brother in intellect! What hours worthy of you and of your power of analysis were passed in that cottage where lights and shades played about your aureole. O, vast and subtle heart, and friend of the French to the point of having defended them in 1870 with a piece of verse unique in its generosity! You are the genius whose brain devours him and who with a subtle smile rails upon evil! Hamlet? yes, you were Hamlet for Alphonse Daudet and his following, a mirror as it were of Shakespeare on that spring afternoon when nature herself became moral, when the black pine-trees were trembling like so many human bodies, when the lawns themselves seemed to have the softness of human flesh!

Above and beyond love there is another love and it was that you gave as a gift to your comrade, a man as ardent as you are for life and just as yearning for beauty. I ponder over you in these sombre hours as a holder of those secrets which



people who are detached from this world • hug to their breasts, or as those raisers of ghosts who pursue phantom shades. The image of your magnificent and pure features shall never be separated from the one for whom I weep because they have lost their perishable shape.

As far as Napoleon Bonaparte is concerned, one man satisfied the passion that my father felt for him, namely, our friend Frédéric Masson. For many years he clamored for those books in which the life of his military god was followed day by day, in which the author unravelled the motives, the character and the adventures of Napoleon. When Masson's books appeared he could not leave them; he boasted of their worth to every person who came in; he declared that the task which he himself had so often dreamed was now accomplished, — namely, to reconstitute the man in his completeness, further the love of him and rouse the whole race. The author of that final and definitive work will hardly deny the statement if I affirm that he met with the greatest encouragements in his "dear Daudet."

He was not only in love with the heroes of action, my father also celebrated the lives of the obscure and devoted ones, those who were sacrificed to glory; from Rossel "a reversed Bonaparte," "a starless one" whose name returns more than fifty times in the little note-books, down to the bold hero of Port-Breton, down to Blanqui whom Gustave Geffroy has made famous, down to Rimbaud the prodigious and the Marquis de

Morès — in fact all those who nourished tremendous plans, men, as he often repeated, following the striking formula of Baudelaire, for whom action "has never been the sister of their imagination."

His shelves were filled with a multitude of pamphlets referring to the works and deeds of these knights-errant, these men of imagination, these deserters from an existence according to the code, who risked their lives without hope of return, railed at and tempted destiny, throwing their bodies as food to the ravens and the future, men who opened up new paths and disdained death. "That scorn of death which makes man invincible" — he placed that above everything. He was tremendously interested in the Trappists, whom he had visited in Algiers, and in the Foreign Legion and in the fits of desire for revolution and in outbursts of unemployed energy seen among those boiling courages which are confined without enough breathable air by our false-faced society, courages which are lamed by the tight boots of the law.

Enthusiasm of this sort brought in play two sides of his nature, his taste for risks and his love for humble folk. For weeks at a time he was haunted by the defence of Tuyen-Quan by Dominé and Bobillot. His fantastic faculty of turning himself into others, which I shall examine in detail, permitted him to take on himself the *rôle* of every one and follow his blunders and weaknesses and recoveries. "You who love philosophy so, why don't you make two monographs, one on Scruple

and the other on Risk, and show the points where they meet? Give powerful examples, don't fear to lay it on thick! Your old father will supply you with the images."

On my return from a trip to the Alpine Club a month before his death I told him that I had made the acquaintance of Capt. Camps, one of the defenders of Tuyen-Quan; his delight was endless: "I am sure you did not know how to make him talk! What did they eat? When did they sleep? The cries of the Chinese during the night! And the battles following one another! Tell me, tell me!" Alas, I have not his power of glancing through a man as through a book.

That last expression always delighted him; for it justified his method; one of his last happinesses was the dedication to Grosclaude at the beginning of the book on Madagascar. "Grosclaude, a Parisian, a witty talker, and a subtle artist. He is all energy and does not know his own powers. O the admirable French race!"

The war of 1870 was a revelation to him; it made a man of him. He realized this one evening when on guard in the snow; at the same time he had his first attack of pain and of remorse for the indolence which permitted him to sing and write light verses and current prose without a serious or durable life-work. He adored all military trappings; the music of regimental bands set him aglow "like a colonel's horse;" an officer's title opened wide his door and his heart: "Those who have formally made a sacrifice of their life

stand on a higher plane than all other people." One of the few questions in which he would admit of no alternative view was the question of patriotism. I intend some day to tell in a pamphlet, a special pamphlet furnished with documents, what his conduct was during the Terrible Year; according to him that year was marked by not only a change in himself, but a complete metamorphosis of the nation — customs, prejudices and culture.

If I spoke well of a German, he lauded the literature of Germany and murmured in a melancholy way "Oh, our little fellows in their defeat!" He felt more keenly than anybody the disorder shown in everything during that tragic epoch. Owing to our lack of reminiscences he desired that my brother and I should be exactly informed, so he surrounded himself with all the French and foreign works which speak of the Franco-German war. During our sojourn at Champrosay this very summer he related to us in detail his impressions and his anguish; in a way it was his patriotic last will; he desired that the account of the defence at Châteaudun should be read and re-read in the common schools.

His powers of persuasion were such that he fashioned me after his own mind, and I saw that he was happy therein. I believe that he loved his sons as much as anybody, but without a shadow of hesitation he would have devoted us to the flag. I made it a reproach to him to have never put in black and white that analysis of our disasters which he alone was capable of writing; but he

shook his head: "One cannot elevate souls by such a story; for a warlike country like our own it is necessary to sound the clarions of victory."

One admirable thing about him — this man who had done his entire duty always modestly held his tongue about it; but the wound never healed. When Madame Adam came to see him the talk fell naturally enough upon revenge; my dear patroness and he were not afraid of anything. He was proud to learn that our army on the first line seemed absolutely ready: "I have never doubted the right intentions of any one. Our governors are in error when they accept humiliations. And yet, after all is said . . . who knows? . . . There's the grand mystery. . . . Where is the leader? . . ."

I can say that his last days were darkened by the Dreyfus affair. "I saw Bazaine," he repeated, anguish in his face, "I saw Fort Montrouge after the treason, the distress and sad horror of the brave men who caused themselves to be killed next day." Eager as he was in favor of justice, anxious as he was that every creature should have his rights and clever as he was to unravel the threads of intrigue, he could never reconcile himself to the idea that a nation might be disorganized intentionally, certainly not without immediate and striking proofs. The man who sells his own country seemed to him unworthy of any pity whatever. On the morning of the catastrophe I promised him that Rochefort would come in person to confirm him in his certainty. The idea of the visit delighted him, because he much admired the great

pamphleteer and recognized in him a unique gift of observation analogous to the divining power of Drumont.

"Unquestionably that comes from his long exile. He looks at and judges things from afar, but he has scented the needs of our interests."

He had that power of scenting things out, himself, although he disdained the actual politics of social clowns and phrasemongers. His opinion on this question is expressed in a chapter of his last novel *Soutien de Famille*: "It is through the lobbies of the Chamber of Deputies that the blood of France is being lost." But what irritated him more than anything else was the bad faith shown by parties and their universal hypocrisy.

No one better than he has described "the platform effects and gestures and rhetoric of second-class actors," all that macaronic verbiage which makes up the conjugation of the word "to govern." If there ever was a man in the world who loved the populace with a real and *unaffected* love, it was he. I recall our walks in Paris on the first national festivals of the 14th of July (we were then living in the Marais), his happiness at the sight of the banners and women in their Sunday clothes and radiant men carrying their boys on their shoulders. He fraternized with everybody, offered people drinks, extolled the good looks of the children "whom his long hair caused to laugh." "Do you see that gown?" said one of them, "for a month now father has been talking about it with mother; they have cut into the money for the household

and quarrelled with the old parents; you may just believe it is a big thing!"

He was touched by their round-eyed looks of greed before the shop fronts. He emptied his purse in buying toys; the value of the gift was increased a hundredfold by the adroitness of its presentation and by his charm.

One of his dreams was to write an anecdotal history of the Commune, all the more impartial because he made excuses for the madness of that day: "I partook of that madness" said he; "I left Paris when they wanted to put me in the ranks and when the crazy leaders exasperated me. I reached Versailles; but there again I found, in an inverted way, once more the same cruel delirium, the same injustice, the same eyes of hate — but without the excuse of misery and hunger. I understood then that, at the risk of death, it would be necessary to hold oneself apart from each one of those camps."

During those terrible years how often did we have ourselves taken to the outskirts of the city! He was excited by the movement of the crowd of an evening toward Belleville, by the sparkling eating houses, the push-carts, the quick succession of faces and of attitudes of people at work. One of his most perfect satisfactions consisted in that popular edition of his works which his friend and former school comrade Fayard made an actuality. He trembled with delight while turning over the leaves of the little pamphlets for two sous apiece, which placed his works within reach of those com-

mon 'folk whose wretchedness he understood so well.

Just here I wish to insist upon one of the finest qualities in my father. Though favored by success he never sought it in a vulgar fashion; "big editions" surprised him, but did not turn his head. I have never known any one who disdained money as much as he. Extremely and uncommonly plain in his daily life, an enemy of luxury and show, touchingly simple in his dress, his household and his manners, he considered wealth the most dangerous trap so far as morals are concerned, a well of corruption at which he who drinks poisons himself, and the usual cause for the breaking up of families and for hatreds among relations and in society.

"The infamy of gold;" it was described and foretold by Balzac the sublime, whose literary work, constantly overheated and overstrained, appears to me as the poem of Covetousness. It is true that he has not made use of either gnomes or giants as Wagner has in order to express the power of the precious metal; but he shows none the less its legendary force when he generalizes the tortures and shames and infamies that spring from it, when he makes special mention of the faces and grimaces, noting those words which are sharply defined and carved upon the live flesh. "Gold cannot give *any* of the radical happinesses, those which are primordial and true; no, not one! On the contrary it controverts nature, carves wrinkles and digs bogs; it tears to pieces and corrupts.



Economists state that gold circulates — yes, like alcohol and opium, making the one it may inspire cowardly or crazy,\* bringing him whom it raises up low in the mire, heaping itself up only in order to bring ruin, and accumulating itself only in the interest of vice.

“Power and interest, and how they trouble human passions — that is the Hell of the Magician to whom we owe so many masterpieces. As if it were an alcohol distilled from gold, it makes us drunk, drowning out heart and brain.

“Whenever I pass by some magnificent mansion, a residence or castle, a park with gleaming waters, I ask myself what sorrow and what unhappiness all that may conceal.” He believed that in literature a quick success and money are bad things, leading the artist aside from his true path, which is to perfect himself according to his individual nature in response to his own conscience, without any prospect of pecuniary gain.

But this is what preoccupied him before everything else: the author's responsibility. “Our period is playing in a terrible manner with the forces of print, which are worse than explosives.” One day I discovered in one of his little notebooks a list of the social injustices, the principal wrongs which should be fought against. “I drew it up,” he confessed to me, “with an idea to supply subjects for books. Now if there is one thing which is consoling, it is that over against every wrong there rises up a feeble — true, a very feeble, attempt at reparation. Now it is a threat, now a

simple outcry. Notwithstanding the universality of egotism, there are ears for the greater part of scandals which grow too great. Unfortunately pitying humanity is possessed of narrow resources and cannot be present everywhere at the same time."

Then he came back to the policy of "phrase-mongers," who, instead of taking up their time solely in making social wrongs less severe, interest themselves in nothing except the ballot-box. "Some one little improvement every day" — that ought to be their motto! But little do they occupy themselves with such works!

So you may easily guess that he was a liberal and indeed the most liberal of minds, although still ever attached to tradition. But a parliamentary label would have been just as insupportable to him as a literary label. Only he did show indignation when people accused him of having smutched the memory of his former patron, the Duc de Morny: "I had no connection at all with public affairs, I simply occupied a sinecure as a man of letters. I am certain that I never wrote one line in *Le Nabab* which could have been disliked by the duke during his lifetime."

As a matter of fact *Le Nabab* is a historical novel without coarse colors and without invective. The outline of Morny is drawn with discretion and no little grandeur. When he dealt with him, my father always represented that statesman with all his elegant and sinuous grace, respecting in him the "connoisseur of men." "At that period I was

quite as careless and fantastic of brain<sup>n</sup> as the greater part of my contemporaries. Though it was merely a suspicion of the terrible and grim things which were preparing, I had nothing more than a poet's shudder when listening to *La Belle Hélène* in which the insulted gods of Olympus and the shrill sound of Offenbach's violin bow seemed to me a forecast of the catastrophe.

"But what catastrophe? I did not know. Yet I went back to my room troubled and anxious, as one feels when leaving some unwholesome atmosphere. A few months later I understood."

I have heard many conversations concerning those most significant times. The most striking were talks with Auguste Brachet, author of *L'Italie qu'on voit et l'Italie qu'on ne voit pas*, one of those men for whom my father felt the very liveliest esteem. "I may be able to see individuals and discern the motives for their action, but Brachet judges the masses, nations and national events with an unrivalled sagacity. Listen attentively to him and profit by him! You have before you one of the finest brains of modern times!"

I did listen, and profited. This took place at the Lamalou Baths where Brachet was taking the waters for neuralgic pains. The two friends were never apart. The links in the chain of memories were evoked one after the other. Those were wonderful hours! The author of *L'Italie*, which was a prophetic work in its way and roused so many hatreds, had in preparation a great work, which ought to be near publication, on the *Com-*

*parative Psychology of the Europeans.* He "talked" the main chapters in our presence with a glow like that of Diderot, with a lucidity, power and erudition that dazzled us. He was a teacher of the Empress Eugénie and "showed up" the Tuileries and society, the actors and their surroundings in sharpest relief after the manner of Hogarth.

I hope that from all these details, which are often difficult to classify, the reader extracts this clear idea — that Alphonse Daudet wrote his books with the very sap of the human tree.

A form of foolishness one constantly meets is to compare realism to photography. Every organism has its own angle of refraction which is much more complicated than that of an objective glass; my father's organism was one of the most delicate and most impressionable materials in which the outer world could possibly refract itself.

His ear had a delicacy and correctness most exquisite. At a dinner-table with twenty present he could make out conversations though they were held in a low voice. He caught even the silly talk of children. The slightest noises in nature impressed themselves upon him and delighted him. Thence came his passion for music which was made an aid and assistance to his labors.

He sits at his table in his working room. My mother is at the piano in the next room and the music of Mozart, Beethoven, Schumann or Schubert follows, one after the other, and excites or calms the imagination of the writer. "Music is another planet." "I adore all music, the com-

monest as well as the loftiest." But no man could analyze and understand better the masters of harmony, no man lauded the genius of Wagner in more splendid terms or more brilliant images: "The conquest by Wagner and the philosophers."

When he went to a concert his eyes were wet with tears, so lively was his emotion. I could feel him trembling from head to foot. His auditory memory had no limits: With what a delicate and penetrating voice did he not hum the airs of his own country and of all countries!

Beautiful lines made more beautiful by sounds induced in him a gentle melancholy. In former years Raoul Pugno, Bizet, Massenet, men whom he admired and cherished, and during the later years Hahn, were real enchanters for him. The melodies by his "little Hahn" which he caused to be played three times in succession — Hahn, so precocious in genius, so learned and so free from pettiness, so lucid and gently sensual — positively put him in an ecstasy. Seated in his big arm-chair he half closed his eyes while his nervous hand clasped the knob of his cane; his half-opened lips seemed to drink in the sound.

I perceive him farther back in my memory at the Exposition of 1878, listening to the gypsies, a glass of Tokay before him, encouraging the cries of "bravo" that resounded in their honor and quite carried away by the music! Then it is Venice. The lapping of the water, the sound of violins and human voices rise from the dusky canal. He himself is no longer with us; he is off

travelling through the land of imagination in company with his youth and manly vigor and hopes. When that music ceases, another begins — music which proceeds from him and celebrates the games of the wave and of the night, and those polished marbles which live again in memory.

And so always in the hours of intimate intercourse he seems to me the same person, whether he may be asking questions of his learned friend Léon Pillaut on violins and old refrains, on the guimbarde, the alto and the hautbois, or listening in a grassy plain of Provence to the mystery of the pastoral pipe, making the passers-by stop their carts, or else enjoying in the garden at Champrosay the endless gamut of bird-notes, which regulated for him the hours of spring.

His eyes, which short-sightedness really sharpened, though he pretended that they were no good for painting or the plastic arts, perceived color and form with the greatest liveliness notwithstanding. He was one of the very first to appreciate the Impressionists.

As to masters of painting, naturally his preferences went out to the realists, to the Hollanders and notably to Rembrandt, and to the French school made famous by Troyon, Rousseau, Millet and a score of others. He liked to recall delightful hours passed with his friends Bague and Gouvet. The picture-seller Bague delighted him with his robust merry-making eloquence, in which true touches of artistic fervor played hither and thither, all warmed up with slang. I remember

one entire day passed in turning over Goya's etchings; he uttered at the time many radical truths concerning sincerity, the excess and paroxysm of which become cruelty; on the combination of grandeur and minuteness which is a distinctive feature in the bull-fight series; on the crude power of shadow and of light; on the particular disorder in military and artistic matters during that epoch; on the morbid drying-up, the Etruscan angles and the "voluptuous twist" found in Spain. As it was a matter of the South, it was easy for him to read these Spanish riddles; at first sight he deciphered for us the fantastic "Proverbs" and "Dreams."

The conversation ended with a picture of that frenzy which is particular to the peoples of the lands of the sun—the sun, "that alcohol of the South!"

During our stay in London he remained for many hours seated in the British Museum before the Furies and the Friezes of the Parthenon:

"Don't you find that a magnificent music disengages itself from these groups? 'Reality and poetry'—of a truth there is nothing else beside. Those old peoples copied nature. Nature was dancing in that blue air. No separation between the exterior world and the world within; no one shaken by desire; never a lack of harmony! Whenever there is a rhythm anywhere it seems there must have also been some happy inspiration."

"And how as to sorrow, father?"

"Sorrow did not put discord into the human

being. The latter did not raise a revolution against it. It did not foment disorder."

The idea that the figures on these friezes might become violent like true daughters of the North, might become Valkyrs, brought him to talk of Wagner's brain, in which two forms of beauty fought for empire. One, to a certain degree immovable and in equilibrium, having very gentle waves, being near of kin to the ideal of the Greeks; the other having a furious form, the boiling well-spring of the Saxon race.

It is mere laziness of the imagination to divide intellectual men into classes of analysts and syntheticists according to their works or their speech. Alphonse Daudet was in search of original causes and he triumphed in giving details, but instinct warned him of the exact place where too great division would have dissolved and ruined everything. Work offered itself to him as a whole; he admired it in the mass. A lover of right proportions and of exact measure (he himself used as a motto for himself: *Ne quid nimis*) there was nothing of the miniaturist about him. He saw things in a big way, nor did he reason or discuss matters for the mere pleasure of so doing. He respected deeply every kind of emotion. Quarrels over words wearied him, just as those oratorical games in which each participant decides a matter according to his particular temperament without the slightest regard to the opinions of others. Whatever superficial critics, led astray by his monocle and his conscientiousness, may say in



this regard, he had no use for the microscope in his work.

The best proof of this is a hatred of what people have agreed to call "art for art's sake." He repeated this formula with a look of astonishment on his face, for there was no one who was less ready to admit catch-words in conversation. Insincerity made him yawn; "whatever has not roots in actuality is dead. Heavens, I know well enough the apology they make for artifice! Baudelaire invented that to use as a weapon, out of pure hatred for fools and fat citizens. Nothing ages so, nothing loses its grip so quickly as what is unusual. '*Les fleurs du mal*,' '*Les petit poèmes en prose*' are marvels and the quintessence of truth; they are precious poems plucked from the very depths of the moral soil. But the imitators of a fad were foolish enough to imagine that they also could build and inhabit the '*kiosque en marqueterie*' of which Sainte-Beuve speaks. What an error!"

If he loved to put himself in contact with poems, if he excelled in the faculty of *reading* the most lowly characters and classifying all the movements of the mind, all habits and functional "creases," yet did he also delight in solitude: "Where the form of observation, the vision of the poet and the nicety of mind in the author concentrate and purify themselves." In his agitated youth when he began to be anxious concerning his spiritual and physical health he made some veritable "retreats." He went and shut himself up in a *mas* of the Camargue, a big farm, and even went to stay in

the lighthouse on the Sanguinaires: "The two lighthouse men, forced to live side by side, loathed each other; one copy of Plutarch all marked up by their great clumsy fingers constituted the library, O Shakespeare! and filled these simple imaginations with the murmur of battles and of heroism similar to that of the moaning sea. The useful shine of the revolving lantern in the tower lured thither reckless birds which dashed their brains out against the enormous glass lens. The keepers made soup of their bodies. If a storm did not 'bellow,' the revictualling boat would bring us once a week ancient news and fresh preserves. Fine hours have I passed there — sometimes, 't is true,' slow, sorrowful and anguished; but they were hours in which I took stock of myself and judged myself, and listened there to other storms beside those of the ocean. Lucky are they whom necessity suddenly separates from the social gulf and who find themselves in the presence of their own self! People will never know how much exile added to the greatness of Hugo and Voltaire, how the prison of Blanqui increased and enlarged his dream!"

After a silence he added: "And, going into that solitude, which one of the men of a single book, *unius libri*, which would I carry with me? Montaigne or Pascal? Or would I cheat and take an anthology of the masters of prose, or the sublime literature of Taine, or the Plutarch of my lighthouse men? A constant interchange of thought goes on between that one book of his

and the isolated man who is a thinker. It forms a library, an encyclopedia, which the movements of the solitary one's soul engraft upon what is printed; and the soul boils up again because of that which is printed. Double offspring, starting from the germ of the story of *Hamlet!* slender pamphlet for a bookseller and for *Hamlet's* author! When I was living with the *Essays* as my Bible there was not one of my dreams for which I did not get from them an answer and comfort."

As head of the family he was forced to renounce his love of solitude, for we never parted from each other; but my mother always did something to satisfy that love of the country which he kept so vividly alive down to his last moments.

That delightful valley of Champrosay which played such a great rôle in our life stretches in reality from Juvisy to Corbeil along the curvings of the Seine and the corresponding caprice of the woods of Sénart. We inhabited successively three houses on the right bank, one of which had belonged to Eugène Delacroix. It is the village and forest bank open like a cornice to the sun, warm and healthful, and moreover sown with historical castles, Soisy-sous-Étiolles, Lagrange, Grosbois, which recall the 17th century, the Revolution and the Empire. The left bank, toward Montlhéry and Étampes, traversed by the aqueduct of the Vanne, brings back memories partly similar, partly much older. Some villages belong to the 12th century.

Formerly my father loved to boat with his neighbors, Gustave Droz and Léon Pillaut, with his friends Gonzague Privot and Armand Sylvestre, particularly with his brother-in-law Allard; he passed his life on the Seine and frequented the taverns of coachmen and carters, rowing up those pretty by-streams which lose themselves in private properties, shady parks, or factories: "Once we came to so narrow a little branch and so shallow that we had to disembark and carry the 'Arlésienne' on my shoulders; lo and behold, we are in a garden; a young girl, very much surprised, raises her head from her book and sees us both before her, your uncle and me, very much like the red Indians of Fenimore Cooper, loaded down with the boat and rudder, the oars and the boat-hook."

At that time, too, he was wont to scour the woods for mushrooms and chestnuts. He was proud of knowing the proper sort and distinguishing the good mushrooms with ends like *tulle*. He pranced about through the bushes with me on his shoulders, dragging my mother after him. In the evening we devoured the gleanings of our harvest.

He told us how during a wrestling match with the sculptor Zachary Astruc, whose independence and robust talent he admired, he had broken his leg. He was carried home groaning and feverish and particularly preoccupied with a fear that his comrade would be blamed. That very summer's night, which was heavy and stormy, the newspapers brought a terrible piece of news: declara-

tion of the Franco-German war. He had but one idea after that: get himself healed as soon as possible and be in shape to help his country. "Horrible and stupefying period, during which every courier announced a defeat and the countenances of the peasants reflected fear and meanness." Finally he was on his feet again, capable of holding a gun!

Later on the state of his health no longer permitted him anything more than walks down the alleys of that great park which all our friends know. There is not a bench, there is not a slope which lacks a memory of my beloved. On my arm or on that of my brother his gait was alert and rapid. He would not stop except to light his little pipe, as clever as a herdsman of the Camargue plains to get the better of wind and dust, delighting in "nice little warm shelters," interesting himself in flowers, in garden plots, in vegetables, happy of the slightest embellishment and delighted to show off "his domain."

It was there one should have seen and heard him, excited by the great "out-of-doors," watching the play of light, listening to the songs of birds, the singing of the cricket and the rustling of the leaves. He improvised extraordinary stories for my very young son, his little Charles, and for my sister Edmée, stories in which everything about us played its part—magical, delightful tales which placed the beauty of things in nature on a level with those budding intellects, moved them and held them attentive to the point

of closing their eyes in order to enjoy the feast all the more.

There is the secret pulsation of his genius: In a few exact and a few simple images, the objects corresponding to which are near to us, he touches our soul. There is the word and there lies the object. Even grains of sand and sticks of wood and bark he rendered animate. He would say that that insect had carried off the end of his story and in order to pursue the robber he would stick his glass in his eye. In these little games thus organized, while little hands pressed his hands and the "Thank you, Papa," "Thank you, Grandpapa," resounded — in these homelike and fairylike pictures one finds again his subtle and simple art with its thousand delicate shades, like to one of those flowers whose fragrance lends balminess to the air.

When the heat of the day lessened we would take a drive in the family landau. My mother has a pronounced taste for things of the past. She points out many an ancient residence such as that home of Mme. de Beaumont at Savigny which the grass and mosses are slowly invading. Autumn is the finest season here; across the broad plain one sees the fires of the rubbish heaps. My father expresses his longing for happiness: "An old mansion broad and somewhat low, with an extension consisting of farmhouse and poultry yard. In the hearths the crackling wood of the pruned vines. A few selected friends and the snow outside. Absolute and tender con-

fidence among all present. Chats and delightful readings aloud. The old people are not morose, the young are neither pedantic nor bitter. Life is one delight."

In one of his last letters received at Grenoble whilst I was serving with the Alpine regiment he wrote to me: "Fancy to yourself one of those delightful 'artist consolers,' such as I have dreamed of being myself, dwelling in some old property near the gates of a little town with ramparts and mall, passing two months in Paris, a few weeks on the Nile or in Spitzbergen, but at last getting tired of running about and then finding his completest pleasure in a few roomfuls of friends, crowded on the traditional days of the calendar year — Christmas, New Year's, St. John's Day, Thanksgiving Day. Such a man as that might print a book consisting of numberless volumes embodying our very best society. He could put at the close of the last volume published 'to continue' and then the 'Book of Life,' or the 'Science of Life' would be under way."

In the chapter entitled "The Vendor of Happiness," I shall show what it was he meant by those words "The Science of Life."

The intervals in the little note-books are delightful and stunning landscape pieces. In such cases, as in others, he only noted the dominant points; things that strike and trouble us in some spectacle of nature are hit off in a few precise, clear and vibrating words, as quick and sharp as the impression of the spectacle itself.

One day I was turning over the leaves of these masterpieces and said to him: "You recall old Hokusai to me — old '*Crazy-for-drawing*,' who at the end of his life stated that he almost understood the form of living creatures and could almost fix line and point as they should be."

He answered: "I have not reached that point. How bitter it is to me, this gap between that which my pen sets down and that which my soul has perceived! I suffer from the torture of not expressing myself. How can one render and express that swifter pulsation in our veins which comes when one looks upon the evening star rendered golden by the autumn, or a little lake upon which the sunlight separates itself into its component parts, or an horizon with beautifully pure lines, or a stormy sky, copper-colored and black, a dusky abyss in the midst of the blue heavens? How express the way in which a memory palpitates at a given hour, or tell what part of us it is that lingers in things, what it is in us which weeps and smiles in accord with them? Through my lips how many impressions have escaped which are rebellious to verbal forms!"

Still, if ever methods of work were submitted to the rules of natural law they were his. In his turbulent youth he never seated himself at his writing-table except when fired by his subject. He stated that a talent was an "intensity" of life; and his stories are a proof of that formula.

Later on, through the happy influence of his "direct collaboration" he made channels for and



regulated his wonderful faculty as an improviser. He got the habit of daily work and, as usually happens, his brain became more supple in response to the appeal and submitted to the discipline. *Fromont Jeune et Risler Aîné*, *Jack*, *Le Nabab*, *Les Rois en Exil*, *L'Évangéliste* were so many continuous and unbending efforts. Summer or winter he rose at an early hour and went at once to work on his task without other means of excitement than a dip in cold water; then he covered page after page with that little close-set, nervous and elegant handwriting of his which his illness made still more delicate without taking from it any of its attributes. Many a time have I remarked upon the likeness of his "graphic type" to that of Jean-Jacques Rousseau. There are the same excessively minute distinctions, the same intervals between letters and words, the same care in punctuation and the same sharpness in the handwriting. The similarities are very evident between the handwriting of my father and the manuscript of the *Nouvelle Héloïse*, which I was able to examine one evening at the Château des Crêtes, thanks to the kindness of Mme. Arnaud de l'Ariège.

He erased with courage and frequently; at the first blush a mere sketch served as it were for a canvas. My mother and he then took this "monster" up again, expending the greatest pains on its style and bringing into relationship that harmoniousness and that need to be real which were always the writer's care. "Without my wife I

should have given myself up to my facility for writing. It was only later that perfection tormented me."

After that slow and disagreeable proving, the third and finished copy was made. Those whose imaginations are in the state of flame may readily understand the peculiar merit in sacrificing "go" to exactness and making enthusiasm perfect. In my father's soul the word itself was that which called the idea forth. In the case of a formalist like Baudelaire, for instance, the word curtails and reins in the lyrical results, it limits in place of rousing up; in the case of Alphonse Daudet, however, the word excited an entire world of sensation and form. Thus, the man whom the "word" renders drunk can never know the delight of achievement. My father was a Latin genius and was the possessor of a sense of proportion and of measure.

Without entering into the critic's domain, a thing which would hardly suit my actual part, it may be permitted me to note the constant evolution of a temperament like my father's, a temperament so virile and so lucid. His first works, burning and super-abounding as they are, give signs of less anxious care than those that came after, so far as nicety of language and of equilibrium are concerned. They spring rather from temperament than from character.

The traits which particularly belong to my father are a conciseness in rendering picturesque motives, an intimate blending of nature moral with nature

physical, and a disdain of useless ornaments, to a degree which no one has shown at a higher point, not even the greatest of writers. Human beings are characterized according as they absorb sensations, think and act; each type is completed by its own passions; no outside traits are added to overload the picture. Every brush-mark tells its story and is harmonious with the whole. A book by him is of such a kind that in memory it follows the very movements of life. Firm and solid in its expository parts, uplifted as regards the chief matter, it is turbulent in critical passages and calm after the close of a crisis.

Every person in the book has his or her own atmosphere, every scene has its own culminating point; the whole hurries toward a common goal. The central model is embellished by a multitude of particular examples. Next comes that classic power which his contemporaries themselves have noted, that elegant and sustained vigor which preserves the work from any sudden labelling of naturalistic or realistic, and attaches it to national tradition, to the deep-seated and harmonious literary heritage of our race.

The fact is that labor does not begin at the moment when the artist takes his pen. It begins in sustained reflection and in the thought which accumulates images and sifts them, garners and winnows them out and compels life to keep control over imagination, and imagination to expand and enlarge life. The heroes of those romances and dramas of his, the words of the conversations

they hold and the places they frequent, are not products of a super-heated imagination, not parts of the mind of their creator which are, as so often happens, terribly enlarged and diversified — the bold impressions of one and the same man imagining himself possessed of opposite passions. Alphonse Daudet was always a portal wide open and tremendously alive to the entrance of natural phenomena. His senses transmitted to his brain the most exact, the most generous and the truest observations. His brain made a choice among them and organized from them their marshalling. He lived with the persons of his book as with friends. He put them questions on all sorts of topics and listened to their answers. He tempted them with vices and virtues and followed the working of these ideas in their minds until he obtained complete figures and reached the mysterious limits of the laughable and the impenetrable. He would rather cause them to act than to argue, being by no means ignorant that a truthful gesture is the immediate ruin of a thousand theories and that a sudden change of face is more powerful than the most subtle discussion. He knew that characters betrayed themselves through typical phrases — that hybrid individuals with an oval and undetermined physiognomy, who have as it were enfeebled our epoch, nevertheless do have special moments of a brilliant and determined life.

So he granted to cowards occasional pluckings-up of courage; to the bold, periods of weakness;

to the weak, moments of strength, and to liars, impulses of truth; to hypocrites, times when masks fall; to chatterers, spells of silence; to hide-bound persons, singular relaxations; to chaste people, low dreams, and to the vicious, ideas of chastity. He had cross-examined woman and placed her in the confessional in her various *rôles* as mother, wife and lover; in her generousities, her perverse actions, her ordinary tastes; in her faults, terrors and anguish. He knew the taste of every sort of tear, he held the key to every sort of sorrow. No intricate path of remorse or of regret escaped him.

He did not fail even to study the mirror-like and complex souls of children. And over all his conversations, over his patient research and his precise knowledge he cast the merciful cloak of a philosopher whom no dreadful spectacle has hardened and no human horror has disgusted — one who has not grown weary of mankind.

We often used to laugh among ourselves at the ease with which some men treated him amicably as a "locust," or "cicada," men who sum up the entire South of France with a single emblem. In many an obituary note, otherwise sympathetic or enthusiastic, I have met with these suggestions of "enchanter" or "troubadour" or "light poet." Nothing could be more false than such an idea. It is true my father was realist enough to admit that "gayety" and "charm" have also a place in existence; since nothing is uniformly black and cruel; but that harsh labor in his own mind is

badly expressed by a suggestion of legs scratching wings, of a rattling in the sunlight.

When we come to publish in their entirety the thoughts jotted down in his notebooks, people will see with what zeal he studied out for us those ideas of forms which are more tangible and human. An admirably gifted poet, he was as suspicious of metaphors as any philologist or biologist, just as he was suspicious of the slightest cause for error in other respects.

He finds in life an episode, a striking trait. With a few clear words he fixes it, and then continues the task begun. The first noting of it overwhelms him with parallels. It may be that it is the germ and the beginning of a book; but this book itself offers itself to him from several points of view in a way which I cannot express better than as the attitudes of a living man. So, then, it becomes a series of sketches and more or less intense and exact drawings, in which the large constructive lines are already strongly marked.

As ideas are thus associated, the moral elements approach each other and come together in intimate union. Now the high lights can be distinguished; types, situations, portraits and conversations spring from two distinct origins, one of them basic and primordial, the other fragmentary, altering day by day, and always subject to the changes of fact. It forms a *mulatière*<sup>1</sup> of reminiscence and improvised additions. The being thus

<sup>1</sup> Name of a suburb of Lyon, but here it probably stands for *mulassière* or "hybrid."

metamorphosed comes slowly toward the author through the mist. What joy when he feels that he has his model thoroughly there and needs to work only upon secondary parts and improvements! Nevertheless the last selection is always a subtle and laborious one.

Alphonse Daudet's mind was of such a sort that details of his work offer an abridged resemblance of the whole. That is why his novel affects us like an hallucination and makes every reader a witness to the drama.

Take Delobelle as he appears to us. From one end of his biography to the other he remains exactly in accord with his outline; you will never see the hand or the arm of the author. It is the same thing with the Nabob, Numa, Bompard, Paul Astier and the others. This extraordinary continuousness in a figure proves a complete assimilation of the author with the character created. His imagination has no jumps and bounds which interfere with observation and subtract whatever may be gained by lyrical liveliness from truthfulness to the fact.

That explains how there comes to be, alongside of the Daudet who writes, a Daudet who lives and talks; it is necessary to leave the picture of him incomplete, such as I trace it here. That which my father did not put in his book, the overflow of his brain which he would have feared to use as surplus matter, all this unemployed force was found again in his conversation and his acts. The tree, it is true, has left immortal fruit, but at the

same time sap was running through its branches and out to their extremest points, to the stems of the leaves and flowers.

I have said that he worked with tremendous vigor; nevertheless no amount of work prevented him from receiving a friend, aiding a comrade, or giving counsel to a young man. My sudden runnings into the room did not irritate him. He would welcome us with a kind word or a joke. He took an interest in the whole house, in the sense that every hour was good, as far as he was concerned. He had no regular hours. From the time he ceased to go out he passed his life at his table, reading or taking notes; summer or winter he got up at half-past seven and went to bed at eleven o'clock, except on Thursday, when we kept a longer watch.

For Thursday was his day of recreation. His uncommon amicability was the reason for the great pleasure he took in those simple but most interesting receptions, at which we saw in active play the most splendid intelligences belonging to our time. My father enlivened everything, started and kept up discussions, warmed the timid, soothed the angry ones, put a truce to hostilities, softened rancors and strengthened sympathies.

In the miserable drivel of a poor broken-down symbolist, a man, besides, who never knew him, I have read this strange statement: that Alphonse Daudet could never forgive! In the first place he did not know of most of those attacks with which the young bald-heads of the small reviews



did not fail to regale him; and for the very good reason that he did not read them; besides, even had he read them, they would at most have brought to his lips an indulgent smile, so entirely indifferent did such appreciations leave him. But several of his enemies who became his sincere friends might bear witness to the kindness and ease of forgetting things which he always showed in literary discussions.

"Most of the time people don't understand each other. Ferocious and time-honored antipathies do not stand a moment before a few minutes' contact."

And although there was a constellation of the "arrived" and of the "illustrious" at those Thursday reunions, there was also no lack of beginners, because he had a warm interest in new talents. Uncertain of himself, he did not disdain those obscure powers which announce themselves in some writer of the future and issue out in overwhelming or paradoxical words, in a frenzy of criticism or of blind enthusiasm. A great number of those who to-day hold the first rank were in their days of beginning encouraged and sustained by him. What a host of letters to editors, to managers of newspapers and theatres, what a lot of recommendations and notes of introduction! "Alas," said he, "I can no longer use my actual presence!" He knew very well the power of his own speech and what the most eloquent letter lacks in persuasive gestures and accents of sincerity.

That love of youth, even in its faults and vanities, was part of his eager desire to know: he wished to *see and understand*. An attitude, a grasp of the hand, a look, a word from a person revealed more to him than a piece of verse or a picture. He adored Plutarch, who in his biographies followed the sensible rule which adds to the portrait of a great man his way of eating, drinking and walking, his preferences and even his hobbies. He approved absolutely certain decisive pages which Marcel Schwob wrote on this subject at the beginning of his *Vies Imaginaires*. Details which are small in appearance are in fact serious channels through which we penetrate to the clearer view of ancient times and thread the labyrinth of dead souls.

Opinions are "things of the word," transitory and insignificant things; that is the reason that the life of political people is generally so wretched and commonplace. The market-place, the pretorian tribune, the ante-chambers of sovereigns, federal chambers and legislatures, as well as the conversations there taking place, are no better than ghosts, phantoms and masks. This or that habit, this vice, that peculiarity of speech or of costume, this touch of gluttony or luxury in Talleyrand or Napoleon the Great becomes in our eyes extremely important and takes on the lively air of a confession. This it is that is called by pedants *bonhomie*, but more correctly by others "humanity."

Now what interests us in such notes as these in

history is that quality whereby they differ from other things, whatever may be the differences themselves.

When he was creating my father *saw* what he created. When he was writing *he heard*. A certain number of physicians belonging to the new school came to interview him on this point and in pedantic words they have simplified a natural and complete method. Ever since the celebrated "Schema" of Charcot, people keep repeating indefinitely the old scholastic distinction between "auditives" and "rituals," categories which have nothing absolute in them, and are of no use except as an hypothesis. And if he heard he also spoke. He practised the sound of his dialogues and tried the harmony of his descriptions. Fear of wordiness, which was always on the increase with him, caused him to use, especially in his last works, a picturesque brevity in which every sensation is like a lightning flash; reflection does not come to the surface but silently emanates from the characters. He has been reproached, but very foolishly, for his curt and nervous phrases which are as near the actuality as possible, since every word plays a trick with us and deceives us as to its duration. \*

I have forgotten none of the fine regulations which he scrupulously applied: "Whether the question is a book or an article, whether a direct creation or a criticism, never take up the pen *unless you have something to say*." If the literary mania continues to develop itself, very soon there

will not be a single Frenchman who has not got out his own book.

"Setting, ideas, situations, characters, all these are not right until a very slow and instructive digestion has been gone through with, in which all nature, gifted in the least of its component parts, collaborates with the writer. We are like women in a hopeful situation; people can see it in our very faces. We have the pregnant woman's 'mask.'

"Style is a state of intensity. The greatest number of things in the fewest number of words. Don't fear to repeat yourself, according to Pascal's counsel. There are no synonyms.

"Push always toward clearness and concise lucidity. Our tongue has its own moral laws. Whoever attempts to avoid them will not last. Our tongue is suppler than any other, as intellectual as it is logical, more closely ranged than declamatory and has quick and short reflections in very precise forms. It is not favorable to antique terms or phrases. It appeals more to the mind than the ear. There are very few shades which it does not express, very few true distinctions which it does not define. It is especially triumphant when expressing ideas suggested.

"Descendants of the Latins, who were a constructive people, we have a taste for solid things. Harmony also is indispensable, even for picturing the passions where disorder is a beauty. Let that same disorder only be a seeming one: let us be aware of a profound rule and order underneath!

That will always be in conformity with the truth; the worst of tempests submits to its own laws.

"Description of a character carried on to its final completion should not be made except little by little, according as the character reveals itself and according as life reacts upon it.

"Society, landscape and circumstances, all that environs us, have a share in our state of mind. You must enter into the person you are describing, *into his very skin*, and see the world through his eyes and feel it through his senses. Direct intervention on the part of the writer is an error.

"On the other hand the theory of impassiveness is exaggerated. He who tells a story has the right to be moved, himself; but with discretion, and as it were behind the scenes, by the affairs of heroes and heroines, but without doing harm to that illusion which makes the charm. All the live forces of the author are taken up by the expression of reality. Lyricism, realism and even frenzy, all these may unite and produce power. Beauty has no label. Sincerity includes everything.

"It is necessary to have respect for the reader: An author has morally a guardianship over souls. Sure of his means and being able to corrupt, he is culpable if he abuses his trust, if he ruins vital nobility, if he does not go from below upward, which is the direction of an honest conscience. Intellectually, too, he should have respect for the reader and insist only upon the essential things,

not falsify enthusiasm but keep his scrupulousness simple and pure.

"Truth is a perfect union of soul between the author and that which surrounds him, between that which he conceives and perceives and that which he expresses. The realm of imagination itself has its truth. There are lies on Mount Parnassus as well as in the street.

"Art consists of more than mere selection. It includes decision and boldness besides. No hypocrisy, no fraud! The roadways of life lie open. It is not permitted to deviate from them nor to halt by the way.

"There is the courage of the author to be considered, which consists in accomplishing his mission to the very end. The bold are always victorious. The timid ones always remain incomplete. It is not necessary to help on one's work; because it goes of itself. No obstacle, however frank and powerful, will prevent its triumphing.

"There is danger in thinking about pleasing. Another danger is to wish to astonish. Notoriety flies always from those who seek it through low means."

A very incomplete enumeration. I shall rectify it as I go on. My father presented the same principles in the richest and most multitudinous forms. But the foundation remains unchanged.

These few profound and solid rules, which he laid down whilst we were talking in private, gave

him the chance to use a delightful variety of images and of impressions for all the rest, for the transitory affairs of life. Just as in conversation he was never caught napping when a reply was due from him, for he uttered it quickly, brilliantly and in winged words, in the same way the small affairs of daily intercourse and the most trivial episodes could never take him unawares. We had gradually formed such a habit of these delightful and charming conversations during which the hours slipped by over our books, that an elliptical language had gradually grown up between us for our own special use. Each one filled out the other's thought and then prolonged the idea by a remark, the sense of which he indicated in the fewest words, where only the essential was uttered.

That you will find again in his work; it is a faithful mold of his mind. The largest good sense, that masterly gift in comparison with which the most brilliant qualities are worth but little, animates the whole of his work with a deathless breath — that good sense which Descartes called "least common to man." So fruitful is its action that it no longer expresses itself but leaves the field clear to the imagination, which thereafter becomes as free as any goddess, smiling, fleeing and clad in curtal robe.

The reader is ever close behind the author and the author inspires him with confidence. Take for example some poet, Carlyle, we will say, a rain of stars and of metaphors which play across the sky and the veiled night. Notwithstanding all his

genius, why has Carlyle only a very narrow place in human imaginings? It is because he lacks that intimate harmony which souls ecstatic over fancied images unconsciously demand. He has never conquered our confidence. A word from the lips, a slightest word from the lips of him who has completely conquered us by his wisdom takes on a magical value. Whither he ascends, thither we follow. We fraternize through enthusiasm. A sympathy is set up between the most magnificent genius and the reader. We are astonished, we are astonished, but we are not conquered.

What I have attempted to express as well as I could in these words was carved into my mind by my father in clear and marvellously exact terms. I myself was one of his works. He desired to finish me in every part as he did the others. Alas, poor stuff that it was! If you have not been able to profit by his teaching, at any rate pass on his fertile words! Be exact and truthful! Perhaps another will be found for whom this torch, piously relit, may show the way. Many a time while listening to my friend have I thought:

“If I am destined to survive him, I shall call upon my memory for a grand effort of revival. I shall impose upon myself the task of putting down in writing those fugitive beauties, often as impossible to transmit as words of love which lack the time and the countenances of the lovers.” And ye who read, be indulgent to me, for I bring hither my entire conscience. A witness of a most noble spectacle, I have tried to retain phrases,



gestures, intonations and play of features. My father loved the truth. I wish to serve truth in my turn down to the most intimate scenes, guided by him and encouraged by the lofty recollection of his character.

### III.

#### AS FATHER AND AS HUSBAND—THE VENDOR OF HAPPINESS.

My father was often wont to repeat: "When my task is finished I should like to establish myself as a Vendor of Happiness; my profits would consist in my success."

Then he would add: "There are so many men who are somnambulists and pass through existence without seeing where they are, stumbling against obstacles and bruising their brows against walls which it would be easy for them to circumvent! I have put this phrase in the mouth of one of my characters: 'All things in life have a side or a meaning through which they can be grasped.' But that is no metaphor."

Then he would toss his head with an indulgent half smile and a sigh: "There is no such thing as commonplace in the world; it only exists in people's minds. Renan is a little sad because Gavroche is as learned as he is. But Gavroche is a parrot. In his brain words have no value at all. Suppose a young person talks about death. It is very rarely the case that one notices in him the existence of that black gulf which this terrible syllable at once opens in the soul of an old man.

You know the emotion which all of a sudden comes upon us at sight of some noun or verb which we had been carelessly repeating up to the day on which the true and deep-seated meaning appeared to us. Revelations of that sort are the result of the teaching of years.

"I am not boasting, I was a precocious mind. At an early age I understood, *in my very bones*, the actual value of many of the words which youth employs with the utmost carelessness and ease. Disease and sorrow produce another sort of maturity. They lend truthfulness to language. In such cases people live *on their capital* instead of living on their interest; for it cannot be ignored that emotions and even a somewhat burning thought represent a loss of substance, the *one step farther on*. Oh, the wisdom of the very sick! Oh, eyes too brilliant and too well informed! In the public gardens, dragged about in sick chairs, I meet people whose looks frighten me."

"Then, father, the vendor of happiness . . . ?"

"I mean no allegory; the vendor would go to the sick and to every one; by tenderness he would gain their confidence; like a patient and gentle physician he would examine the moral wound, mark its extent and progress and reassure the sick man through the spectacle of his fellows; that is the argument of egoism which never faileth! From that point he would gradually rise toward the picture of a restricted but still a noble destiny, if only the patient knows how to employ himself by drying the tears about him and consoling others

while consoling himself. To put one's goal beyond oneself, to place one's ideal outside of oneself—that is to escape from Fate to a certain extent."

How many a time, entering unexpectedly his study, have I not caught sight of attitudes of anguish in his visitors and interrupted confidences which I felt were grave and pressing! If secrecy had not been asked my friend would then show the situation to me, and all the difficulties whose simplest and most "humane" solution he was seeking.

But when I said to him: "Be vendor of happiness to yourself!" he answered: "My existence is a mere matter of effort from day to day. I have the greatest confidence in those little efforts of the will which bind me down to some fixed hour, such as to seat myself at the table notwithstanding my sufferings, to disdain and affront my illness. Imagine the torture of the circular wall which little by little grows smaller, the torture of one impossibility after the other! How true it is, that phrase repeated by the coquette in front of her looking-glass: *To think that I shall regret all that to-morrow!* Well, the never-ending cares of the father of a family, the anxieties as to my household are a great resource for me. The feeling of responsibility is enough to keep a man on his legs after his strength has given out. Then I think about my fellow-men. If financial want is added to their sufferings, if they have not the resources of fire and of food and of wine and of warm affection—why then I consider myself still happier.

"I keep my pitifulness fresh by repeating to myself that there are far worse sorrows than mine, and so I do not use up all my pity on myself. You know that a good many philosophers banish pity from their republic as if it were a weakness or degradation, or as if it were a lack of energy.

"The vendor of happiness would preach the religion of active pity and not of useless fears. To him who suffers, suffering is always new. But to witnesses thereof, even tender and energetic ones, suffering grows old and becomes a mere habit. I tell a sick person: 'Give yourself distractions and through your spirit wrestle to the very end; do not weary and harass the people about you.'"

"The Stoics long ago discovered the pleasure which people find in the constant exercise of energy. I could suggest a thousand tricks to a patient who is gifted with imagination. I would advise a person who is not able to mix laughter with actualities to place his sufferings before him on a grand scale until he reaches the point when the beauty of the struggle makes its appearance and gives grandeur to the whole. That is a particular kind of intoxication which makes the least subtle person strangely intelligent; *it is one of the keys of human nature.*

"And, to start with, everything takes its place and falls into its natural plane. Little trivial sorrows which increase for us our enjoyments and moral laziness recoil toward the background and reach their proper level. Had it not been for

my sickness, perhaps I might have been an 'author,' a prey to the sillinesses of the profession, trembling at criticism, off my head through praise and duped by empty triumphs. Of course I have weaknesses . . . nevertheless I have been purified. . . At the Lamalou Baths I have met 'Sossies'<sup>1</sup> of suffering' in the shape of men belonging to the most varied professions. They were all transcendental and 'above themselves,' lighted up by swift gleams which traversed their flesh and penetrated their very souls.

"Among the confessions which I have received, those made me by the damned ones *down there* seem to show a special kind of harshness and frankness. The very words they use have more breadth and more relief."

The notes taken by my father in regard to this subject during his stay at hot baths are very typical and fine. Such observations on the part of a man of letters astonished the physicians, because they were more complete and subtle than those which might have been collected by a scientist. Without preconceived ideas and intermingled theories, they possessed the clearness of a cross-examination put on paper. The most frightful shames, the secret wretchednesses of men, women and aged men are stated there discreetly with the wisdom of a physician-poet. Most of our neighbors in the hotel, some of them strangers from America, Spain and Russia, arranged

<sup>1</sup> Character in the *Amphitryon* of Plautus, whose semblance was taken by Mercury; Molière used him in one of his plays.

their hours so as to make their treatment coincide with that of the novelist. He reassured them and quieted their spirits, thus completing the work of the physician. Many of them confided to him with that zeal in giving details, that ardor and extraordinary pride which are common to people who possess a grave and still undefined malady. He noted down, classified and compared the most peculiar nervous troubles, manias, fears, chronic or recurrent disorders; these deviations from the course of nature often aided him in understanding nature; they would light up some obscure region and thus do service to his constant search after knowledge.

"Evil in the family and society," such modifications as it makes in characters, temperaments and trades, the ingeniousness shown by egotists, rich or poor, these are the questions which inflamed him and warmed his blood; these he collected at every moment with a methodicalness and conscientiousness most uncommon.

There are entire lives which are summed up in a few lines: "Misers turned to spendthrifts" — "violent men become timorous" — "chaste people tormented by passions they dare not avow." Initials call back to me names and faces and sorrowful outlines. A word is enough to bring up a whole personality; "ruined careers" — there is one like the title for a chapter. Frightful odds and ends of a dialogue: "Sir, what I fear the most is the moment when I do not suffer. This evening my imagination shudders. . . I see all my

hopes dashed to the ground—love, future. . .  
ah! . . .”

Sometimes a smile or a funny phrase lights up these frightful pictures. *A give-away phrase!* Like to lightning which for an instant illuminates the landscape, such a phrase lights up the hidden depths of a being, that labyrinth into which even the most intimate observation penetrates in vain.

It was owing to such facts as these that my father perceived this idea which he has so often expressed: “No matter how much of a realist one may be, a writer recoils before the reality. Discourses that one gets off, vanities that one shows off, passions in which one wallows, all that is so much parade before the multitude.

“Beside this there is an abyss which no one dares to stir, mud which does not belong to our being, a thick and miry mud in which are the half-formed models for all vices and all crimes such as do not even reach the priest’s confessional. Would it be possible a single time to plunge down there? That is what I have often asked myself. Let us imagine, then, some dark and secret place, for example a hospital for maladies of the eyes, in which people, lying near each other side by side in absolute black darkness, ignoring each other’s names and age, and almost each other’s sex, moreover never intending to see each other again, should freely express themselves and avow what torments them, whispering as it were gropingly from bed to bed.”

He applied to his sorrows the celebrated axiom:



"Poetry is deliverance;" whence that sketch for a book called *La Doulou* whose elements he had collected, which he did not publish, however, owing to our insistence. Here it is before me, that terrible and implacable breviary! Certainly it did need a fine courage "to deliver oneself" after such a fashion; but have I not already indicated the fierce necessity of confessing himself which my father showed?

In our days science has taken on pretentious airs. Science has believed that she could conquer the spirit. Alphonse Daudet was too sagacious to believe in the labels called psychology, physiology, pathology — labels which the wind blows away and the rain defaces.

Auguste Comte's dogma had never secured any hold upon his imagination, always so clear and always in action, one that never accepted fine words for facts. We used to amuse ourselves together over that impudence shown in explaining and systematizing everything which is the mark of the modern pedant: "the husk of words for the grain of things" according to Leibnitz. He had had long conversations with powerful and lucid Charcot, with Brown-Séquard, tormented by his genius, with Potain, the master of masters, in whom pity went on increasing as his knowledge grew. So he did not fail to know all there was to know upon that other side of the human riddle which bears different mottos and teaches us by two very different ways. There as elsewhere his power of comprehension had served him well.

But by the power of his thought he kept himself at the point where art, which differentiates and individualizes, crosses the path of science, which classifies and generalizes; so that it has often happened to me to say to him laughingly: "You are creating a new method."

That which is scientifically known concerning pain could be put in a few pages. That which one obtains metaphysically by induction concerning pain can be expressed in a few lines. That which a poet and observer obtains for his harvest through the study of pain among individuals is infinite. The metaphysician and the scientist, yes, even the mystic, ought to draw from that treasury if they wish to enrich their facts at a single stroke. Not only did my father suffer, but he has seen others suffer. In that way he was able to recognize certain domains in the realm of evil where the ignorance of to-day, drawing from the sources of the old biographers, is still putting the old inscription "tigers and lions" on the map, that is to say, hollow formulas!

One day when I was explaining to him the crossing of the new fibres in the brain and spinal cord, he cried out: "Plato's team!" Thus was imagination in touch with reality. That is the tendency which I remark in all his notes on suffering. In one place he compares those whom paralysis has stricken to satyrs changed into trees or to petrified dryads. In another place he sighs: "I might date the beginning of my pain as that delightful Mlle. Lespinasse dated her love — from

every instant of my life ! ” Or else it might happen that he said with gentle irony : “ For hypochondria read ignorance on the part of doctors.”

What becomes of pride in the person who suffers, what become of tenderness and of charity, whither go the lively passions, luxuriousness and hatred? How does the life of a family change its aspects, the relations between the married people, between father and children and friends? How do people habituate themselves to evil and resign themselves? or what revolt is there against it, and what form does that revolt take? and in consequence of what efforts? These are just so many troublesome questions which he answers with an absolute frankness in accordance with his hard experience or which he allows to remain in doubt, if that is his mood. The variations themselves in this same mood he passes in review with a resigned philosophy all his own, and it is wonderful to see how through his will power he resists and opposes to every attack all the resources of a hard-headed morality.

I can still see him seated in the little garden of the Hôtel Mas at Lamalou surrounded by sick people, preaching energy to them, reassuring the nervous ones, taking pains with the despairing and giving them glimpses of some possible holding-off or drawing-back of their fate : “ The doctors don’t know any more than we ; they know even less than we do, because their knowledge is made up of an average drawn from observations which are generally hasty and incomplete, and because every

case is a new and peculiar one. You, Sir, have this symptom, you yonder have another. It would be necessary to join you both to Madame here, in order to obtain something which resembles somewhat my own martyrdom. There are a great many different kinds of instruments belonging to the hangman; if they do not scare you too much, examine them carefully. It is with our tortures as with shadows. Attention clears them up and drives them off. Let us change a bit the beautiful verses by Hugo:

“ ‘ Il n'est point de *douleur*, comme il n'est point d'algèbre  
Qui résiste au milieu des *êtres* ou des *cieux*  
A la fixité calme et profonde des yeux.’ ”

“ Come now, just watch me; I am talking; I say oh! ah! ow! and my talk is a great solace to myself. While warming others I warm myself. . . . It is all right—since those among you who have a family which they love consider their disease as a sort of lightning-rod. Destiny has satisfied its hatred in them. Avoid egotism; it increases suffering; it renders suffering atrocious and more unbearable. Don't open those big books; you will never get anything out of them except terror, for they never treat of any but extreme cases. The frightened face of Diafoirus will be enough if you present to him some unpublished symptom ‘which cannot be found in the dictionary.’ The surprise on the part of the doctor is so amusing to me that I would like to invent such words. But it will not do to push the thing too far, for then they treat

you as a 'malade imaginaire' and they cease to feel sorry for you. Now we people of the South who are here in a majority, we like to be worried over; Molière saw that very clearly when he came to Pézénas.

"Argan is Orgon pronounced in the Provençal way, and Orgon is found in the character of Tartuffe. They ought to play the *Malade Imaginaire* with the accent of the South; that would furnish an irresistibly comic spectacle."

With such discourses and many others and with his own example and courage my father was wont to enliven the wretched people in that sorrowful country which, when he retired to his room, he compared to the inferno of Dante, because one could find there specimens of every kind of punishment. And that action in a twofold way of the observer and consoler is a faithful image of his nature.

One can readily understand that he was interested in famous sufferers of former days. He knew fundamentally the maladies of Pascal and of Rousseau and of Montaigne as well as that of Henri Heine nearer his day. But he was very careful not to take up wild hypotheses like those which our psychologists have seized upon; for example, the likening of genius to madness made him shrug his shoulders.

A continued theme with him was the alliance between pity and pain: "He who has never felt hunger and never been cold, he who has never suffered can talk neither about the cold, nor hunger,

nor suffering. He does not even know very well what bread is, nor what is fire, nor what is resignation. In the first part of my life I made the acquaintance of misery; in the second, of pain. Thus my senses became sharpened — if I should say to what point sharpened, no one would believe me. A single face in distress at the corner of the street has upset my soul and will never leave my memory. There are certain intonations which I avoid recalling lest I should cry like a fool. Oh, those actors! What genius is necessary to them in order to reproduce that which they have experienced. No trembling, no exaggeration . . . and then the right accent — that wonderful right accent — which comes from the vitals!”

Moreover any false note in an intonation, every attempt at second-rate pathos, every philanthropical masquerade — all “honored ladies” and “worthy sirs” uttered in what he called a “throaty” voice exasperated him. I have seen tactless persons who knew he was charitable boasting in his presence of sacrifices and imaginary benefactions. Irony began to stir in his eyes which suddenly became black and brilliant. He cut the hypocrite short by some disconcerting exclamation, or else he expressed his disbelief with a malignant sweetness which delighted every one.

Readers of his books need only recall the portraits of Argenton, Madame Hautmann and of Astier Réhu, but, as he said, the most complete figures of romance lack the “moisture of reality.”

We are in the landau. The sky is clear. On

the edge of the turnpike sits a ragged fellow with a mean face, no linen, eyes full of anger and weariness. The magnificence of nature sparkles and gleams about this vagabond as if to exasperate his distress. Willy-nilly, we must stop; my father is not able to get out of the carriage, but he talks to the man whilst I hand over the alms of the "rich gentleman." And he asks questions in a familiar way, with a kindness and so clear an expression of a wish to excuse the disproportion of things, that the hollow face softens and relaxes.

We go on. Then says my gentle friend: "These horses, the coachman, the carriage, everything is arranged so that one can pass quickly; everything combats charity, everything is in a state of virtuous indignation against the tramp. There it is, that is fortune! One cannot see the poor from the cushions of the landau; they form part of another world, and those favored by fortune turn their heads aside. But in the glare of the unfortunate one hatred accumulates. . . . Nothing is lost in this world . . . just as in chemistry."

Among the works he had in preparation one of the most important, for which he had many fragments and a general plan, was *La Caravane*. The thread of the book is a journey in a trap made by two couples who are friends, men and women of opposite character and lively intelligence, between whom a drama of passion and jealousy unrolls itself whilst they are traversing the finest landscapes of France. My father knew and admired the principal sections of our land in all

their diversity. He always insisted upon the influence of the soil and local habits; a devotee of tradition in his soul, although a revolutionist on other sides, he extolled in conversation the marvellous views of Brittany, Normandy, Touraine, Alsatia, the Ardèche, the Lyonnais, Bourgogne, Provence and Languedoc. He had made a profound study of characters according to district.

His first question of a stranger or a beginner was: "Where were you born?" As soon as he was informed he sought through his vast memory for the dominating points of the region. From having made researches into his own origin he had constructed a method. Changes of temperament along a given river or a given valley excited his curiosity to the highest degree: "The Norman is the Gascon of the North."—"Lorraine finesse is a clear and sometimes dry observation of men and events."—"You must not confound Provence with the stony South, the Hérault and Languedoc. Provence has a touch of Italy, but Hérault and Languedoc prepare one for Spain."—"The logical imagination of the Touraine country (Rabelais, Descartes) differs profoundly from the intellectual wine of Bourgogne and from the Mediterranean flash-in-the-pan."—"Anger of a woman, anger of the Mediterranean; all on the surface. Ten feet of calm water under one foot of foam!"—"Panurge, the type of the Parisian, has not changed since *Gargantua*. I have him, exactly like himself, in at least ten of my comrades!"—"The lie in the North, heavy, tenacious and



gloomy, is very different from *our* lie, which runs about, changes a subject, laughs, gesticulates — and ends all of a sudden in sincerity.”

He had a very significant “schedule” for the city of Lyons which he saw much of in his youth and for the Lyons temperament: “The two banks — Fourvières and Croix-Rousse — the two rivers, the Saône and the Rhône, mystics and *canuts* (silk-weavers). A tendency to general ideas on the one side: Ballanche, Blanc-Saint-Bonnet; and on the other the taste for jewelry: Joséphine Souлары. On this side Puvis de Chavannes, on the other Meissonier. This parallel might be carried on among the scientific minds.”

“Instead of losing themselves in volumes of verse which no one reads, why do not sincere men, who are friends of the real, carefully write the history of the corner which they inhabit and enjoy. The novel form lends itself admirably to this. Customs, legends, that which strikes the infant mind, the part which forest, mountain or village play in the popular imagination, or that of childhood; that which remains from ancient times; that which has not yet been absolutely levelled. I do not ask that every village shall have its *Mistral*; the great poet is rare. But conscientious souls are not lacking who might do this admirable business. We should be stupefied at the intellectual and moral riches of France. They form a treasure which is wasted, all these customs, dialects and stories. Oh, how fine are the Gascon tales by *Blasi*!

A book of that kind on the Périgord country compactly enough written delighted him; it was recommended to him by his friend Senator Dusso-lier. I can no longer remember the title; it was something like *Le Moulin du Frau*. He praised it to all his friends. He lent it to me. It is a complete work in which the author gives himself up completely and relates all about his little country with a prodigious care for the truth.

"Why don't they imitate him?" cried my father. "I follow with delight the consequences of the impulsion which our Mistral gave. And if Mistral has wrought in the poetical domain, Drumont has wrought in the social domain. The profound feeling of his boldness is of the same kind. A return to tradition! That is what may save us in this contemporary dissolution of things. I have always had the instinct for things of this sort; but they have not appeared to me clearly until within a few years, thanks to the efforts of my great friends. It is bad to lose one's roots entirely and forget one's village.

"That life Maillane led, what an ideal! Not only to cultivate one's garden and vine, but to celebrate them also, and add to legendry by glory, renewing the linked chain of friendships. It is very singular that poetry only attaches itself to objects that have come from a distance or are of very long usage. That which people call progress—a vague and very doubtful word—rouses or excites the lower parts of the intelligence. The higher parts vibrate better to that which has

touched and inflamed a long series of imaginations that have issued one from the other and are strengthened by the sight of the same landscapes, the smell of the same fragrances, the touch of the same polished furniture.

"Very old impressions settle down to the very bottom of an obscure memory, that memory of the race which the crowd of individual memories weave together. The old impressions unite themselves with all the efforts of laborers, vineyard tenders and foresters. It is with them as with the roots which worm their way along and mix themselves with the nourishing earth, twist themselves together and mix their juices. Didactic poems on steam, electricity and the X-rays are not poems at all. Oh, I guess already the exception which will be objected; the singer of the future will be mentioned, the sublime American, lyrical Walt Whitman! But he belongs to the country without ancestors."

That was one of his habitual themes. He developed it with a vigor and richness of images quite incomparable, for all his feelings were brought into play. The love of "his Provence" rose to his lips.

"Léon, I'd have you know that I am the vendor of happiness myself. When some young man comes to see me in his arrogant or timid way, with his little volume in his hand, I say to him: 'From what part of the country?' 'From ——, Sir.' 'A long time since you have left your house and the old people?' 'About so long.'

‘Are you thinking of returning?’ ‘I don’t know.’ ‘But why not right away, now that you have had a taste of Paris? Are they poor?’ ‘Oh, no, Sir, they are comfortably off.’ ‘Then, hapless one, flee! I see you there, undecided, young and impressionable. I do not believe that there is actually in you that energy of Balzac which boiled up and fermented in his garret. Listen to my counsel and later you will thank me. Return to your home. Make a solitude to yourself in some corner of the house or the farm. Stroll back through your memories; recollections of childhood are the living and unpoisoned source for all those who have not the master’s power of evoking thought. Besides, you will see. You have plenty of time. Make the people who are about you talk, the hunters and village girls, the old men and vagabonds, and let all that gradually settle in your mind. Then, if you have any talent, you will write a personal book which will have your own mark on it and will, in the first place, interest your comrades and then the public, if you are able, or if you have the chance, to find some odd piece of intrigue, well carried out, to put inside this frame.’”

“But, father, it must be very seldom that the young man will listen to you! He thinks that you are jealous of his future glory; he has his answer ready: ‘But you yourself, Sir, never acted in this manner, and you have not fared very badly.’”

He smiled, thought a moment, knocked the

ashes from his pipe, and answered: "Some of them have listened to me. The example of Baptiste Bonnet may be cited, the author of that *Vie d'Enfant*, which will be continued in two more volumes and I hope as successfully as the first. Bonnet has shown himself an admirable poet merely by recounting what he found right before his eyes; his eyes are those of an observant lyrical talent. Imagine what the sketch of a novel or poem in French would have been from his hand, in French, which he understands very badly, and moreover on a subject which did not spring from his own heart! Yes, I can cite Bonnet and many others. The vendor of happiness is not an obstinate fellow carried away by theory. From those who have had the pleasure of travel and sojourn in foreign lands he asks an account of their impressions. Profit by the inestimable opportunity which has filled your mind with new sounds and colors and odors! There is poor little Boissière, now dead, whose thought, in his only book, *Fumeurs d'Opium*, gave warrant of a great mind.

"Bonnetain too has known how to take advantage of his trip round the globe. It is quite true that Loti is an author of great talent, but he has not closed the path for other navigators and dreamers. And as to those who glorify the land of their birth, here is Rodenbach, the most exquisite and refined of poets and prose writers, moist and dripping with his Flemish fogs, a writer whose sentence has the tender effect of

belfries against the sky and the soft golden hue of reliquaries and stained-glass windows.

"There is Pouvillon, to whom we owe the complete description of the Montalban district, so full of charm. Examples are numberless. Whether nomadic or stationary, let them all make their work conform to their own likings and let them chant that which has enchanted them."

"We are not far off from *La Caravane*. Such conversations make the days of travellers a delight; they are held at the bend of the road before the grounds of some old château while twilight lends to nature restfulness and calm and the servants prepare the meal. According to his own character each person in the party becomes the sponsor for some theory in conformity with his own moral nature. The subjects of conversation are brought in by the chance sight of things without, as it happens when we allow our thoughts to run delightfully hither and thither.

"But," added my father, "I would not permit them to philosophize long and fatigue the reader; their opinions must follow the same curve as their adventures. I do not want any puppets crammed with phrases and stories; the blood must circulate."

When by chance the vendor of happiness talked about politics, he made a grand argument concerning the underhand but constant warfare between Paris and the Provinces. Some years ago Mme. Adam, my dear "patroness," for whom my father entertained a warm gratitude

because of her kindness in my regard, had an idea of transforming the *Nouvelle Revue*. My father admired her greatly for her "divining qualities," her gift of prophecy, her ardent patriotism and those many and lofty qualities which place her in the first rank of Frenchwomen.

Knowing the sagacity of her friend Daudet with regard to everything connected with periodicals and newspapers, she addressed herself to him. He was categorical in his reply:

"My dear and illustrious friend, I myself have pondered long the idea of establishing a *Revue de Champrosay*, in the management of which I think I should have the necessary tact to distribute the work according to the powers of each one who contributed.

"You cannot be unaware that one of the gravest contemporary questions is the latent antagonism between France and the Provinces. That showed itself very energetically in 1870; and after the war the enmity of the village churches toward Nôtre-Dame, the memories of the siege and that strange and memorable separation between the heart and the blood-vessels, all these rancors were continued. You can still perceive certain echoes of this in the polemics of the provincial press, that press which has been ruined by the telegraph and the quick distribution of news."

I can recall very well the turn of the conversation and the general sense of the interview, but I am powerless to reproduce the picturesque army of arguments, the lightning flash from his eyes, his

charming smile and the elaborate gestures made by the hand which still held his pen.

"It is not necessary to inform you, dear friend, what very considerable resources the Provinces contain, material and moral resources, if I may talk like a Deputy; but what we both of us feel much more vividly than any parliament man you please is the necessity of giving a little air and life to the members which the *head* is by way of fatiguing and ruining.

"Decentralization is one of those big words which say nothing to the mind. Armed with your idea, you have a weapon at hand. The professors of the universities, those well-taught and well-informed journalists whom one finds on the actual press of the provinces will answer to your appeal. In that way you will continue in your office a sort of *Revue Fédéraliste*, in which you will print complaints from the districts, in which, without taking sides in their village squabbles, you can keep yourself in touch with those quarrels.

"While you are talking of the trade and industries of this place and the other, of agriculture and the harvest, of 'waters and forests,' thanks to your activity and constantly continued effort, you may perhaps succeed in re-establishing the communications so unfortunately cut between the hurried minds of Parisians and the slower and often more serious intelligences of the provinces; in our France, you know, when a single spark glimmers, very soon there is fire everywhere."

On the spot Mme. Adam organized a series of



clever inspectors, who were sent to provincial functionaries and others of greater note, and at this day an important section of the *Nouvelle Revue* acts as a rally-point and editorial chair for utterances which one never heard before. At that very moment I was commissioned to write the opening article, "Paris and the Provinces," which in a certain sense I wrote under paternal dictation.

There is no doubt that as my father grew older he would have carried out his project of the *Champrosay Review*.

He was not like a great many of his contemporaries who revile the press and are ever ready to ask services from it. By as much as he disdained advertisement, self-advertisement, by so much would he interest himself in those different kinds of information which in a few years have changed the whole physiognomy of the big dailies; and though among his friends he had polemical writers like Rochefort and Drumont, he admired the spirit of order and organization in Mme. Adam, that universal knowledge, that power of action which stupefy every one who approaches the great woman patriot. He was never happier than when those "curséd politics" permitted his old comrade Adrien Hébrard to come and chat with him.

What contests of laughter did not these two Provençals indulge in, completely informed as they were as to many men and many events, and having acquired in their long lives such experience! And nevertheless, without any bitterness!

Those who are now on the summit as well as the most ordinary reporters, whom he received with his usual courtesy and friendly ease, can be called in as witnesses to his sagacity and his delicate "scent."

No one better than he might divine the taste and whims and changing humor of the public. No one had better studied the changes in the "reading crowd" which is by no means the same thing as the active and noisy crowd. He was a partisan for the complete liberty of the press — "that wonderful safety valve for secrets." He used to say: "In France there can be no government capable of suppressing the written word; every effort made in this direction, just as we saw during the Empire, will only end by strengthening irony, putting allusions in fetters and doubling and tripling the wonderful power of the 'iron nib.'"

"We could hardly believe nowadays what a universal stupor was occasioned by the terrible article from Rochefort on the death of Victor Noir — that thunderclap framed in mourning, which transformed and petrified the whole capital into a multitude of motionless figures, reading and weighing the virulence of each sentence."

He took no part in Boulangism because he never got enthusiastic until he had made for himself a clear and independent opinion; but he felt some interest in that movement, as a "combination of a suppressed anti-parliamentary disorder with a patriotic impulse." He was indignant at

the judgment delivered by the High Court of Justice which condemned Rochefort to exile for articles in the newspapers: "It is the low revenge of men without cleverness, of vulgar politicians, directed against a writer of infinite brilliancy. They pretend to disdain that pamphleteer who was nevertheless one of the first originators of the actual government under which they are waxing fat; but they fear him quite enough to order him into banishment. They will pay dearly for that infamous deed!" The Panama scandal undertook to realize this prediction.

At home he and I used to joke at the eagerness with which each of us tried to get the newspapers away from each other early in the morning. He read the papers with remarkable quickness; nothing that was important escaped him. He could not resist the pleasure of writing at once a word of congratulation to the author of some article which pleased him. He remembered new names. In the papers as in books he warmed toward every appearance of talent. He wanted to see the writer, make him talk, aid him from his earliest beginnings. It sometimes happened that he reversed the rôles and a reporter sent to receive his own confession was put by him in the confessional.

Many who are famous to-day will recall his encouragements and the genial way in which he reassured timidity: "It is part of the *rôle* of the vendor of happiness to give good counsel to smaller comrades. When I receive one of these

young men who with difficulty gain their bread at so much a line, I recall my own beginning and reflect that perhaps I have before me a man of the future, a real talent." He gave similar counsel to all: "This trade which you are at, and which disgusts you, will be of service to you later; by its aid you will have penetrated into many homes and learned to understand characters not a few and played a part in various comedies. Information for the public such as exists to-day did not have its origin in New York or Chicago. It sprang from the realistic novel. It corresponds to that need of sincerity which fills men's minds more and more."

When his words had been dictated or reported amiss, he would say indulgently: "Historians, the most severe of them and those surest of themselves, often make mistakes! Why should not this young man have made a mistake? Truth is a terrible, fleeing goddess. Everything that is in the narrator's inside, everything that is subjective in him, from his passions to his vision, down to a boot that is too tight, wars against his desire to be a faithful witness. Consider the smallest fact, the slenderest episode and observe how in one single second it changes its form! Note how it takes an entirely different air in the mouth of one person or another! Remember that symbolical story by Edgar Poe of the double assassination and the multiform interpretations made by the spectators."

It was one of his whims to distribute beforehand the various lines of work on the *Revue de Champ-*

*rosay* : "It shall be called the *Champrosay Review* because I shall not subject myself to the pressure of Paris, nor the optical angle of Paris. I shall endeavor to classify events according to their real importance. I shall confide reports from the law courts to such a one as possesses good eyes and judgment and style in his writing; and the Chamber of Deputies to such another who has the faculty of the humorist.

"Many writers lose their force in imaginative fiction and stories who would acquire an unexpected vigor if they were supported by reality. Particularly I would wish that my *Review* should be alive and impress the reader with the feeling of an active organism. I would like to pay my fellow workers generously in order to relieve them from anxiety as to money and be able to demand great things of them. I would give an opening to the utterance of every eloquent opinion."

He then passed in review the unexploited riches and treasures of information and anecdotes which exist in the industries and various branches of trade — the features of the different quarters of the city, the confessions of humble folk and what the chestnut vendor has to say. "I would see to it that in each number there should be a well-founded inquiry into some injustice, some great wrong and abuse of power, and in order to have my hands free I should pay my railway and theatre tickets out of my own pocket."

He was prevented from realizing his project, at first through his illness, and then because of his

work itself, which entirely exhausted his power for labor and rendered impossible any farther care which made oversight and direction necessary. He was compelled to be content with following the efforts of others. Jean Finot was quite aware of the interest he took in the *Revue des Revues* and in those singular explorations and generous campaigns of his in favor of the Armenians.

In the obituary notices accounts have been given how my father at the suggestion of Finot had the joy of saving the life of an illustrious author in the Orient who was a prisoner to the Turks and was just about to be executed. On that occasion he did not get up a manifesto with a great amount of advertisement, all of which would have been noisy and vain. He preferred direct and discreet action, for which the compatriots of the unhappy man, who is now alive, entertain in memory of him the greatest gratitude. It must be said, certainly, that Europe has not spoiled them!

My father had promised the *Revue de Paris* a study of human customs entitled *Fifteen Years of Marriage*, which would have been the summing up of his experience as a husband and father. The little group which forms the family had particularly enlisted his attention: "The ordinary circumstances of life, the humblest and oftenest performed, are also those that are the least studied. Aside from Montaigne, Diderot and Rousseau, I have always been struck by the disdain which superior intellects have exhibited toward that which I will call the 'small change of existence.' An admirable subject,

if there ever was one! Balzac has written *Le Contrat de Mariage* and *L'Interdiction*. The drama of inheritance is complete in his works.

He had in mind to write a *pathology of social bodies*. Why should the philosopher elude in that way familiar problems which perhaps are the most difficult of all? He said to my brother and me:

"I have never gone contrary to your wishes or interfered with your somersaults or those changes of mind in young people which are sometimes very difficult to follow—changes which make grave men indignant. You must know that I have pondered over the rights and duties of a father of a family. At what line does his power end? Within what limits can he exercise that power?"

Every day we had reason to feel the benefit of the largeness of his ideas. We gave ourselves up to him completely without any drawback and without false modesty. We threw ourselves on his indulgence; no confession was too dearly bought for us. Reprimand he used very little. Upon hearing of one of my follies he still preserved his tenderest smile, and then, going back in memory over his past life, recited for my edification this circumstance and that similar error, which he had paid for in this or that way.

Above all things he had a horror of a lie: "Don't try to deceive me; your eyes and tone of voice betray you. How do you expect me to counsel you, if you send me off on a false trail?" Then he added: "As to you, my little fellows,

I live again in your youth; this prolongation of life is delightful. When you rush up and kiss me in a hurry, wishing to elude my sagacity, I might enumerate all the tricks, one after the other, wherewith you are sure that you can escape from your old father. Punish yourselves! Give yourselves the necessary training! But explain to me your scruples and state your regrets and tell me of those bitter embarrassments of youth which cause one to bite one's pillow in the darkness of the night with a groan."

He thought that the first duty of a father was to be morally the comrade of his son. He recalled with terror a moving incident in Montaigne wherein old Marshal de Montluc, I think, is in a state of desperation because he lost his son, and never gave the poor fellow a chance to divine what a passion he really had for him.

He listened patiently to all our theories, however extravagant, leaving the care of calming us to circumstances. He seemed to be particularly desirous of seeing us think for ourselves, out of reach of all influences. For in the domain of intelligence he had a perfect horror of imitation: "One of the most terrible statements is that made by Lucretius, namely, 'that the human race exists for very few persons.'

"I can remember a multitude of faces and of hours spent in gossip. I could very easily draw the reckoning of the new individualities and new ideas; some of them who are too easily impressed repeat the lessons they have learned in books and



newspapers; others are the idols of a party or of a doctrine — what followers they have! And what a delight also when one hears a sincere accent! Surprises are not lacking; that man there whom nobody has noted, who is lost among his neighbors, suddenly enters into a ray of light, starts out against the background and detaches himself.

“On the evening of a first night the lobbies of a theatre present the image of life. Each one puts his neighbor to the question and fears to express himself without support: ‘Don’t you think so? . . . What is your opinion, dear master?’ . . . Is it not a strange thing, that notwithstanding the herd, the works are classified nevertheless, and a division is made into the handsome and the ugly, and well founded reputations emerge?”

How many times have we not stirred up this difficult problem of the artist’s personality! A given man will enlist great hopes, begin with a vigorous and novel work and suddenly, as though he had stopped because worn out and at the end of his inventiveness, write no more. The intensity of the wheels that revolve in the brain escapes criticism. Very often reflection acts as a poison, because reflection elaborates a work in secret; that is why my father counselled the study of nature, its forms and its shades as beyond everything else.

He was nervous at thought which devours its own substance: “That admirable writer has a sur-

prising power for destruction," said he, talking of the philosopher Nietzsche. The constantly bitter and sarcastic form of his aphorisms also repulsed him. But especially he reproached him for "having never sufficiently taken the air," *i. e.* gone to Nature for instruction.

It is only a few years now that I have learned to understand the depth of that doctrine which forces the writer to go outside himself and not lose contact with the life around one. The first condition requisite to intellectual joy is the organization of sensations and sentiments. Weariness comes quickly, if one or the other does not renew itself, but allows itself to be worn to the bone. That is the pitfall of analysis.

Now my father was analyzing all the time, but he stopped before he became tired. He had pushed his thinking machine to the highest possible tension. He extracted a most surprising use from the smallest circumstances. That explains why, in spite of his fits of illness and his sufferings, in spite of the attacks of an implacable malady, he preserved to the very end that second sight and that freshness of impression which caused every one who approached him to marvel.

It is quite certain that knowledge and observation when carried to such a degree are two grand springs of happiness. The deep-lying reason for this consists of the fact that one's personality becomes complete and bold. One feels oneself all the more *oneself*, the greater the number of problems one has tackled, and the more of those

solutions which the mathematicians call "elegant" have been found for them. In that sense "elegance" was one of the remarkable qualities of Alphonse Daudet. Moral hygiene was his pre-occupation. Wounded in his body and condemned to a restricted existence, he might apply all those cares to the nobler part of his spirit.

One day I complimented him on having trained his imagination: "Of a certainty," answered he, "I have always imposed as limits upon my imagination verisimilitude and virtue. I know well its misty domain, those strange countries where fancy is able to carry the heaviest load. But a novelist should not permit himself to employ the mental debauches of a lyrical writer. Besides, before everything else I demand emotion and when the human proportions have been overdone emotion loses itself."

He was forever praising to me tact: "If you wish, it is a minor quality, yet nothing is complete without it. Tact alone causes that little shudder which runs through the reader from head to foot and, winning his confidence, hands him over to the author. Literary tact! Many a time it insists upon hard sacrifices. I have been forced to slash pitilessly this fine speech and that brilliant episode in order to remain in measure. . . .

"But what is far better than the application of any principle, no matter how good, is a gift, a feeling of what is superfluous and what is necessary, the taste for harmony and for proportion. Owing to the complexity of our impressions, we

moderns have lost, it appears, that clear and limpid observation of the ancients, that immediate realization of a sober and perfect art. In Rabelais and Montaigne, in whom humanism is mixed with an intoxication that is genius, a delicate flower with a Latin or Greek perfume suddenly unfolds itself in the wildwood of maxims and descriptions — as it were a miracle of revival. With what delight does one not inhale it! How one admires it! How it lights up the page!”

One can see how generalized were the counsels which he gave to beginners in literature. That was because he believed a spontaneous and individual effort was the indispensable condition to success: “The preachments of elderly persons only serve to make people yawn with weariness. Every one must win his brevet at his own expense.”

A particular line in which the “vendor of happiness” made his appearance was, for example, the exposition of principles by the aid of which we may avoid envy, tartness and bitterness, which are parasitical plants of the literary profession.

“It is certain that in my time people did not devour their ancestors as they do to-day. Money, dirty money, had not begun then to trouble their minds, nor yet the bait of ‘big editions.’ That is a modern scourge. People did not have any ambition to reach that enormous diffusion and start the rowdy-dow which now seems to be a mark of success. For us success lay far more in the appreciation of five or six great comrades whom

we venerated than the invasion of the show-cases."

At every turn he came back again to that "pleasure of admiring," the charm of which is lost. The most brilliant and precious souvenirs for that generation of writers were the afternoons at Flaubert's. "Pshaw, we shall never sell ourselves, shall we?" Émile Zola used to say with a touch of melancholy. But regrets vanished at the sound of the "fine thunder" which rolled about all sorts of discussions — a tumult of ideas and words. Silent and "hard to read," Tourguéneff sat by himself in a corner, keeping his actual impressions for himself alone, but esteemed by all. Not until after his death were they to know what his impressions were, and then they made men sad.

Maupassant already showed himself timidly and Flaubert was boasting of his first attempts. There were also several scientists, such as the illustrious Pouchet from the museum, who in that society played the *rôle* of Berthelot at the Magny dinner.

I have often heard Goncourt or my father regret these warm-hearted meetings in which the word "confraternity" had a meaning and in which the philosophy of passing events ran the gauntlet of half a dozen powerful brains, which contact with one another and the desire to shine roused to fever heat: "We kept the best of ourselves for those meetings. One would think to himself: I shall tell them this; or else, I shall read that page and take their advice on it. No truckling, no

servility! Neither pupils nor masters, but comrades; respectful to the older men, warming themselves in the reflection of their glory and proving by their choice that in our profession there is something else beside money and vanity."

I recalled all this at the cemetery of Père Lachaise on a pallid and sorrowful winter day, the while that Émile Zola said farewell to his old friend in a few sublime words. Let people discuss as much as they will concerning romanticism or naturalism, concerning the usefulness or the defects of schools, that was a fine literary review which united in the same enthusiasms Gustave Flaubert, Ivan Tourguéneff, Émile Zola, Edmond de Goncourt, Alphonse Daudet, Guy de Maupassant, Gustave Toudouze and a few others.

That was no cenaculum where disappointed ambitions meet to dine, that was no scandal shop where absentees are torn to pieces. And when Flaubert died I can still see the sorrow they all felt; a few days before there had been a reunion of the faithful at Croisset, a little literary picnic, from which they returned delighted. Similarly I recall the week that preceded the death of my father and the dinner in memory of Balzac, organized to renew the fine traditions of old. There were Zola, Barras, Anatole France, Bourget and my father; it was a cordial and charming meeting. Among many subjects that of death was spoken of. Bourget recalled the fact that in his last moments Taine had asked to have a page of Sainte-Beuve read to him "in order to hear something that was clear."

There was a unanimous admiration to be noted among them for the great critic of Port-Royal, the writer of the *Lundis*. As we were returning in the carriage, happy and excited, my father said to me: "Such love-feasts are indispensable. They whip the spirit up, they beautify things. By exchanging ideas we penetrate each other's brains. We see the same fact and same episode appreciated in all kinds of ways in accordance with the characters and habits of the different men. Poor little dinner! I thought of my Goncourt! *He will soon make himself clear.*"

During the dinner a eulogy was uttered over Cherbuliez, whom one of us had made a resolve regularly to imitate in the future. All of us venerated the modesty of that great writer, who has prosecuted his labors consistently and written so many remarkable pages without ranging himself under any banner: "Thus you see," murmured my father, "that no effort is lost. Those who represent our humanity as an unrest like the swarming of an ant-heap tell a lie. This evening we spoke with one voice in attestation of the power and authority of him to whom we owe *Ladislav Bolski*, *Comte Kostia* and twenty magnificent novels."

According to Alphonse Daudet, in order to reach happiness there was but one path only, that of justice.

I am here closest yet to the heart which I have endeavored to unveil to you. I can affirm that the sense of justice was the most certain and most vivid stimulus for the talent of my father—if

indeed genius is made up of excessive sentiments which come into accord with each other through the privilege of a harmonious nature, and if the art of writing comes from the fact that these sentiments set in motion vigorous and picturesque words and put to work a corresponding verbal force, and if moreover, between the convictions which the brain sets in order and those movements of the hand that fix their formulas on paper, there are direct and profound paths of connection.

If moral qualities affect even the form itself, I may add without fear of mistake that my father had the literary style of justice.

The very smallest episodes of life show him passionately interested in what is true, an irreconcilable adversary of what is false. No one recognized his own errors better than he and no one acknowledged more readily that he made mistakes. He was constantly repeating: "It would be a martyrdom to me to insist upon holding an iniquitous opinion." In the many questions that arise in a family he was taken as the judge. He seated himself "beneath the oak," that is to say, he listened and weighed the complaints with extreme patience, turning and twisting his pen or his eyeglass, his face gently inclined, sometimes with a sudden smile in his look.

Once being completely informed, he pondered a few seconds, and then, without solemnity, but with a grave gentleness which impressed one, he gave his advice and explained his reasons. It was very rarely that he did not convince. I have tried



to give some account to myself for his instant action on the mind of a young man as violent as I was, one so often blinded by self-interest.

I discovered two reasons, one instinctive and the other moral; the first is the sound of his enchanting voice, which was such as one could hardly imagine; and I was not the only one to submit to its charm. It had so many inflections and such gentle ones that it seemed as if several persons, all of whom were dear to you, were addressing you, each one with a particular accent.

The second reason is a suppleness of mind which allowed him to enter into the views of the man whom he wished to persuade, merge himself in his nature and so lead him to the wisest results by pathways on which they gradually met. That is the quality which produces the great romancer, the creator of types. At the bottom of every genius there is seductiveness.

That is the way I explained to myself the dislike my father had for the platform. His energy was one the farthest possible removed from the orator's art. No artifice, no hypocrisy! He could win over a single mind; he could not persuade a crowd. For a crowd some such speech is necessary as that by Antony in Shakespeare's *Julius Cæsar*, which we many a time perused without exhausting our admiration.

Another speech is more fitting to the individual—for instance, that which Agrippa d'Aubigné reports in such a splendid way as uttered by Admiral Coligny, the speech he made at night to his trem-

bling wife, both of them in bed, whilst the tocsin of the massacres was sounding.

There again I find the Christian mark in my father. The religion that inculcates pardon and sacrifice substituted through the confessional another form of action for the eloquence of the ancients, a form better adapted to that individualism which may be discovered in its germs in the sermons of Jesus. Without a public or the prestige of distinction to aid one, the problem is to influence people person to person and convince their minds. The more numerous the auditors whom the words address, the vaguer must those words be.

By addressing a small number, speech becomes particularized and increases its chance of being more exact than it would be otherwise.

Alphonse Daudet had made a profound study of vanity.

"Although pride is a lever which lifts the entire individual and stops at nothing, vanity diminishes conscientiousness. Pride, which is a tension of living forces, may exasperate justice or brutally tear it from the heart; but vanity destroys it underhand. Insinuating and not to be grappled with, vanity glides into the secret folds of our nature and affects the least visible causes of our action. Very often we ask ourselves why a certain man has acted contrary to his character and with such extraordinary bad tact. It is because he has yielded to the power of vanity, the most experienced and crafty of masters."

Not seldom did it happen that some fact was observed in current life to corroborate his conversations on ethics, offering him a demonstration as in a picture. Among his acquaintances my father possessed one type of the Vain Man.

"He is coming to-day; try to be present. We will make him *trot*. It's one of his happy days, we may hope to get some remarkable phrases — some of the phrases which spring involuntarily from the ruling passion, like those which Balzac used to find to suit his dramatic moments."

The bell rang. It was the Vain Man. Even before he sat down he began at once to entertain us with his "success," boast of his family and himself, bring out the differences in the situations of himself and his friend and suggest the presence of a malady which "compels the most active to remain in their arm-chair and deprives them of that exercise of the body which the brain needs." My father has often made the remark that vanity and excessive pride end in cruelty; the *moitrinaires*, as he called them, lose all social and moral sense and no longer sympathize with any one but themselves; whatever in the whole universe stands in the light of their overwhelming personality seems to them to merit the worst of disasters.

Meanwhile the Vain Man continued. He had reached the point of tears, thinking of his own particular health while looking upon his sick friend. Then my father interrupted him. He assured him that he had never felt better than at that moment: "My gay spirits have come back;

I am smoking my pipe again, which is a happy symptom, and I am working splendidly. Very soon I shall go out to Champrosay. There in the green foliage and in the sunlight it is certain that I shall finish my book before two months are up."

The other made a face. All of a sudden and without transition and in the most natural way in the world his malicious interlocutor related to him the following fable:

"A rat full of self-sufficiency and therefore envious in his nature went to make a visit to his friend, another rat, who had just happened to have poisoned himself. The wretched creature was turning and twisting with pain in his magnificent domain, but the visitor seated in front of him suffered more frightful agonies yet, which were caused by his despair at the sight of such splendors.

" 'You seem to me rather yellow?'

" 'Why no, nothing is the matter. It is so comfortable here! But how is it with you?'

" 'Oh, as for me, I am very well indeed, I assure you!'

"And they both of them died seated there, one opposite the other; but the envious one died first."

During the recital of this fable I was very much amused at the hesitating expression of the visitor, who only understood about half the meaning. When he was gone my father laughed heartily.

"The dear boy is longing for my death. His usual exclamation is: 'What, you are at work!'

Do you not feel that in him the 'I' amounts to a regular hump? Oh, what a delightful study, what a gay and thoroughly French one it would be, to write about men like him and all the envious ones! One of those damned souls confessed to me one day with a contraction of his whole face: *You don't know how much it hurts!* That man absolutely enjoyed the details of my pain when I related them to him. I perceived this and deprived him of the pleasure and from that time on he took a hatred to me. He was at the head of a very important administrative department and was a sort of autocrat. Knowing his mania, his employees and those in places below him never appeared before him unless groaning and lamenting, pretending to pains they did not feel, or with a bandage round their heads."

Numberless are the accounts in the little notebooks bearing on envy and vanity, but I do not want to take the flowers away that grace those marvellous pages which will soon appear in print: "When I read my notes over again, I am aware of the difficulty of drawing a character containing that combination of follies which vanity provokes, nourishes and increases. It is all gas, emptiness, meat without nutriment!"

He observed with attention the action of vanity on children and women. The simplicity of this vice in the latter delighted him: "They are just like negresses with their glass beads!" He has even noted the vanity of sick people which causes them to exaggerate their sufferings. A little sick

man at Lamalou confessed to him his content at the sympathy provoked by the fine appearance of his carriage which made him "different from the others."

"Wretched comedians that we are, and dupes of our own comedies!" He remarked how rare are men who are simple and sure of themselves, and especially those who, when in public places, are not in the least troubled by the fact that they know they are observed and watched. "How can we, writing men, escape from self-consciousness when our least gestures are spied out by a gossiping press, and when people seem to ask our opinion upon all sorts of subjects as far as possible distant from those about which we do know something!"

Actors have been a precious mine of information for him (remember Delobelle) in respect to vanity: "In those enlarging mirrors that actors are, one sees the movements of body, turn of eyes and the attitudes common to all men — but deformed and enlarged by the optics of the stage and by the effect of the foot-lights."

I hasten to add, in order that I may not anger the most susceptible of all corporations, that Alphonse Daudet had the most affectionate sympathy for a great many actors. He often made the remark, how few among them were mean, dishonest or tricky and how actors help each other. "These creatures have a factitious existence, reality has almost no hold on them at all. When could they find time to rediscover themselves and to become like other people between the repeti-

tions and representations of plays? An actor who had returned to private life confessed to me the deep pain which that change caused him, like the blindness of an owl in the noonday sun, and the envy he felt for his comrades who remained the other side of the foot-lights, that mysterious and enchanted side, where human illusions are turned to flesh and blood."

He had an actual affection for certain comedians. Amongst others I would note Coquelin, Porel and La Fontaine. The latter astonished him by his vast memory and his numberless souvenirs of the grand period, particularly his reminiscences of Frédéric Lemaître, who was the king of that sort of man and type of his profession, a person in whom the fine qualities and defects of his class were pushed to extremes.

As to actresses, my father always showed himself amiable and respectful to them. But this very respect was one way of avoiding that familiarity of the green-room, that vulgar use of thee and thou which he hated, just as he did everything which was not sincere. He always counselled me, with respect to them, to avoid mixing dreams with life and to fly from the disillusionment of the reality. It was his opinion that those of them whose business it is to change souls as they change costumes, however frank and charming they may be, offer very few guarantees to a faithful heart. I was never able to make him admit that that very suppleness itself was their charm. He considered it was monstrous that the desire of

a single person should be excited by sympathy with the desires of all, and that one should admire in a woman the admiration that other men felt for her. That was one of our quarrels. I persist in believing that, well informed as he was concerning the theatrical world, he ought to have written a sort of modern *Wilhelm Meister* for our enjoyment, in which his familiar philosophy would have been increased by various episodes in the eternal novel of comedy.

So it was that if Alphonse Daudet loved justice, no less did he hold exactness high, and that which infringed on the natural pleased him not at all. Ways of looking at things form as it were a chain. Vanity and affectation are perpetual causes for wickedness. What a skilful enemy of lies and hypocrisy! How little was he moved by false tears! How difficult it was to make him believe in them! A change of the voice, the slightest trembling of a face, the least embarrassment in a gesture, were enough to warn him. Thereupon he himself took on a change at once and became harsh and severe. It was insupportable to him to know that people were discounting his kindness.

He made a special point of what he called "reversed injustice," namely that which people use with regard to rich and happy people; it seemed to him a sentimental monstrosity like "Russian pity," which is limited to criminals and low women. This kind of affectation, which is so often found to-day, was odious to him; it consists



in showing sympathy for those unfortunates only who have less than 3,000 francs a year and considering a catastrophe that befalls millionaires and those in power well merited: "I myself," said he, "have often to combat feelings of this kind in my own breast. They are detestable feelings, just as everything is which produces castes before the face of destiny. Bad is everything which adds to injustice, though it be an exaggeration of justice and an ill-conceived need of social revenge."

He had a chance of noting very illustrious examples of that "reversed justice" at the time of the disaster when the Bazar de la Charité burned down. Many "friends of the people" pretended not to mourn over the "roasts" at "ten millions apiece," as I heard them savagely called. My father was very angry: "*Cabotinage* — electioneering views meant for the bars in corner grog-shops! Those who showed pity and courage in all the horror of those cries and flames were the humble ones and, at one and the same time, the brave ones of this world. The people are worthier than their representatives!"

Among our recent notorious hypocrites the demagogue and false Jacobin were the object of his disdain. He had seen the pothouse politician close by — one trembling hand upon his heart, the other firmly fixed in the pocket of his neighbor! He kept an indestructible recollection of such men; in his *Soutien de Famille* will be found such a character in a masterly full-length portrait, just the sort of man he knew how to paint.

What nausea political life gave to such an enemy of poses and attitudes! Perpetually shocked by the spectacle of the parliament men, his sense of justice was turned into anger. What exasperated him more than anything else was the placarding of big sentiments: "These fellows have an idea that elevated sentiments are nothing more than booby-catchers, and it is only necessary to make motions to suit them. I often ask myself, how it is possible that a man of real worth like Clémenceau was able to pass several years in such surroundings?"

Some years ago, at the time of the election of the existing president, I went to the Congress at Versailles. On my return I gave an account of what I had seen. That frightful witch's caldron, those livid, grinning, hypocritical faces, those personages all in black, wandering, spying, watching, begging, prowling and baying through the galleries filled with pale statues! What airs of importance, what arms uplifted, what whisperings in each other's ears! The greater part of them seemed to be worm-eaten and twisted magistrates, mumbling words like "constitutional, anti-constitutional in the first place"—others, in the midst of a group of grinning idiots, relating terrible secrets to each other in a low voice. Throughout all this rabble there appeared, clearly visible upon those tricky and composite masks, the vanity that befalls people in possession, the vanity at being able to dispose of the future of poor France.

As I was finishing this picture my father, who

had been listening to me with brilliant eyes, exclaimed: "Oh, our poor France! Whenever I came near a man like that, I was always stunned by the fact of his worthlessness, of his prodigious foolishness. Except in the rarest cases, we see in parliament the dregs of the country, the doctor who has no patients, the lawyer without briefs, the veterinary surgeon whom the animals are afraid of—but the electors do not seem to be afraid. According to the vulgar expression it is just so much "cat-lap," and our mouths are full of this cat-lap.

The dislocations of these wretched jumping-jacks are reproduced by the press and carried round about the world. Ah! it would be a bad outlook, if we had nothing else but our national representation to represent us!

There is a certain kind of man one often meets who put my father quite beside himself. It is he who "for lack of a label I shall call the leveller of opinions and events."

While there are some who inflate everything and see an army in five soldiers and a mutiny in a little meeting, etc., there are others who voluntarily diminish, annihilate and take from people and things their importance and vigor. The Protestant temperament, for instance, levels everything to a sort of negative condition, to a vague neutral idea and a perpetual condition of "not quite that." This is one of the forms of Philistinism. In this category may be placed the man who is so disproportionately proud that everything which turns

his attention away from his own deeds and actions exasperates him and seems to him of no importance: "Really my dear fellow, as far as that now? Do you really think so?" or "Are you quite sure of it?" or "Are you not letting yourself yield to an excitement, which certainly is legitimate, but . . ." and so forth.

On hearing such arguments my father would murmur: "Tartarin from the other side." But as soon as the other, having reached some personal adventure, forgot all his prudence and grew excited and feverish, he would dish him up his own statements again: "Be calm, my dear fellow. . . . Are you not exaggerating? Where are your proofs?" And all this, with an eye glittering with fun through the short puffs of smoke from his little pipe.

I enter into these details in order to sketch as well as I can a truthful portrait of a man who was remarkable in the little as well as in the great circumstances of life, one gifted with a superior sense of the ludicrous.

He considered that the sense of the ridiculous was indispensable to happiness: "Irony is the salt of existence. It allows us to tolerate beautiful sentiments, which without it might be *too beautiful*. I love familiar virtue which works behind the scenes without tunic or buskins and without phrases; I love a kindness of heart so discreet that it does not even look upon itself. For pride is so subtle that it satisfies itself with monologues delivered before the looking-glass;

they are just as destructive of simplicity as a speech delivered from the platform. I love charity which is so hidden that one can never distinguish the face of the donor, and so no gratitude can be exacted, which alas, is the vestibule to hatred. I like a shamefaced pity without pity's mask, without the delight of the hand which is stretched forth and without that secret thought which so often exists, that you are *happy not to be in his shoes*.

"The person who thinks about a wretched one who lacks a home during the night or in the storm, whilst he is warm and in shelter between his blankets, that man is not far from that Sadism which increases personal enjoyment by the spectacle of the sorrows of others. I know well the false look of virtue, the alibi-virtue, the gentleman just getting gray who from two to five in the afternoon distributes a great many tracts and some few soup tickets to little working girls, and, along about six o'clock, goes to see whether they really are in good condition or not; and the society lady who mends a pair of trousers for an old man for effect, with her eyes fixed upon the clock, dreaming about some wealthy young man.

"Oh, charity's mark, charity's grimace! Behold a modish 'visit to the poor.' 'The Revolutionary goat': — The dear, good lady, her dear, good children and faithful Bridget — the voice from the throat — the slice of corned beef — grand-mamma who is coughing in her alcove — the new-born child pressed to a cold bosom — 'Be of good

cheer, my friends! Here is a pasty which is not made of cardboard and here is some Bordeaux wine. . . . Pluck up your spirits. . . . You there shall be a forester. . . . And you shall take a part in a play of four acts; you will solve the whole social question. . . . Farewell, my friends, emotion strangles me. . . . How delightful it is here in your house. . . . Come my children. . . . Yes, I am your dear little mother. . . . Who gave you that nasty, filthy thing? That disgusting little girl? Throw it away at once. It smells badly!’

“Meantime, the benefactors having left the house, the grandmother scuttles out of her alcove, they drink the Bordeaux wine, dance about and stuff themselves with the corned beef. Ha, ha, ha! what a lark! What a mug she had, that old Bridget! Wretched little dwarfs! shoot the pasty. . . .” and so forth.

After this scene of comedy my father became serious again: “Irony preserves us from such follies as these. It teaches the benefactor that he must not put his title on his visiting cards and the virtuous man that he must hide himself away from virtue even more than from vice, and the pitiful soul that pity, if it is not discreet, is the greatest raiser of violences in the world. Consider during the Revolutionary epoch that glittering show of fine sentiments, that fashion of sympathetic attitudes, that zeal for sonorous charity, for alms in metaphors, for equality and fraternity in Latin! Victims are careful of their words. But executioners

are drunk with a tearful philosophy. Well, in such mixtures as that one may seek in vain for irony. It has disappeared along with 'mansuetude,' which is its comrade.

"Has it not a tendency to evolve itself from every extreme opinion? Women do not like it, nor do children, nor savages, nor the common folk, nor heroes." He smiled with eyes wide upon the past, stirring up old extinct flames; and in that smile there was a multitude of continuations; then he continued:

"During the war of 1870, which was my great period of schooling, I was able to take stock of the anger which irony provoked in the common people. In my company there was a question of replacing the captain by way of election. They begged me in my position as a decorated soldier and former member of the army, to make a speech! Imagine, former member of the army at thirty years of age! I yield and ascend the platform which is odious to me and simply paralyzes me. I begin my speech, then stumble, get all twisted up and end by calling out: 'Oh, get out! I don't know anything about this captain, any more than you do!' Then I climb down from the platform in a glacial silence."

He stated that he was able because of his long experience to bring aid and comfort to the most touchy people, without leaving a single hateful recollection in them.

"One summer afternoon on a marvellously calm, warm and golden day, while seated at the cross-

roads of the Greak Oak in the Sénart woods with your mother and the children, I saw at a little distance a wretched van full of gypsies — the children all in rags, a single woman with harsh features and a gloomy man who was peeling potatoes. I took Lucien by the arm and moved toward them. (I had my alms all ready.) They saw us coming. The woman grew very red. The man looked gloomier than ever. I seized hold of a brat with eyes like a torch and I glued my piece of money into his little moist hand.

"He dashed away like a regular wild cat. 'Thanks,' murmured the woman. The man had never budged. But I shall long remember this walk, of the *benefactor* to the obliged ones. The obliged ones — what a frightful word, one which justifies ingratitude!"

This chapter would not have a fit ending if I did not sum up now Alphonse Daudet's opinion in regard to that great human problem, the search after happiness.

1. There are as many forms of happiness as there are kinds of individuals. In order to get at them and teach them, therefore, it is necessary to *see and see clearly*.

2. There is no happiness without a strong notion of right and justice. One of the moral levers of the world consists in this axiom: — *Everything pays*.

3. Seeming deviations from justice, even excessive and prolonged ones, are merely a defect in our own observation. In this case it is a matter



of too narrow a combination of facts; in that, it is a question of some particular point which conceals the rest. Or, again, observation dashes itself upon some coarse obstacle and does not go to the bottom of things.

4. There exists a science of justice which is not the code Napoleon, but the *dynamic* of justice, which is nothing else than a search for a perpetual moral equilibrium. A man is not able to have even a glimmer of this science before his fortieth year.

5. The *instinct* for justice is equivalent to the knowledge of justice. Very coarse natures may contain in themselves a much more vivid and pure gleam of justice than very wonderful thinkers. That was seen by Christianity.

6. *Pain* and *pity* are the precious helpers of *justice*, as long as they do not become excessive, because justice always remains in the middle term. When it is extreme, pain hardens and renders people insensible to the outer world. When it is extreme, pity becomes monstrous and loses sight of its principal objective, which is to solace the sorrows of man. And lastly justice, when it is extreme, brings with it the most extraordinary consequences in the direction of beauty and unhappiness.

7. The search after happiness, and this is a capital point, should always apply itself to *others*, not to oneself. A man should not try to escape from any moral responsibility or any social solidarity.

8. In the family happiness is *traditional*. The love of parents regulates and transmits it. In this sense the greatest and only irreparable misfortune is "the loss of those we love."

9. One should never despair.

10. The man who has the gift and the taste of *observation* or of *imagination*, has a greater capacity in himself than others, whatever appearance there may be to the contrary. The *constant exercising of the mind* which gives suppleness to ideas is one cause for happiness in cases where *work for work's sake* is only a means to escape from life.

11. Egotism is a cause of unhappiness. Egotism which attributes to itself the origin of all sentiments without wishing to benefit by them in other respects is a cause of unhappiness.

12. In the search after happiness a special place ought to be accorded to *pardon* and to *sacrifice*.

It should be well understood that my father did not give this rigid and didactic form to his instruction, but I think it is my duty to his method, which resulted from experiences like those of Socrates, Montaigne and Lamennais, to add some apposite things which often cropped up in his conversations.

The interest of these few axioms and others which I will note lies in the fact that they formed a rule of conduct. I have seen them applied with a consistency which astonished me, and caused me to reach the conclusion that the most generous springs of action in our nature-form an integral

part of our tissues in the very depths of our personality.

I cannot let the question of pardon and sacrifice go without insisting on them. Life without pardon seemed intolerable to my father: "Down here error and vice grow in the very best fields. It is not sufficient to tear them up. One ought to forget their very former place."

One day he explained to me how the greater number of moral faculties correspond with the intellectual faculties. It is for this reason that pardon is more difficult to those who have excellent memories: "Sometimes it has been necessary for me to make prodigious efforts in order to excuse some little treachery of a friend, or some outrage to gratitude. That is because my confounded memory brings before me phenomena which are past with a frightful air of life, *as if under the bright light of some great sentiment*. I recollect things as well as a jealous person or a criminal."

*La Petite Paroisse* is a very far developed study in *pardon*. As was always the case, he had taken his models from life: "Imaginary deductions made by the author are quite large enough sacrifices to the unreal. At least let the source be human."

As always he had grouped a multitude of particular examples round the central case—in the book in question as it happens pardon is in combat with *jealousy*. There was that gleam of sentiment which Alphonse Daudet discovered in his memory. How true also is this other sentiment from his lips:

"It is impossible for a sincere author not to put his whole self in his work; which does not mean that he relates an episode from his own life. But he *animates his own ways of thinking and feeling*: he dresses them and makes persons of them. That which strikes us in the world and that which we perceive and understand the best is *that which we divine to be similar to ourselves*."

And since he wished to illuminate everything through examples drawn from reality, and since he refused to follow me in my metaphysical digressions, he added:

"Imagine that you are the victim of some piece of ingratitude. At first your anger is vivid and you think only of the special case in point. Having become a little calm, you begin to philosophize. You think of all those ungrateful persons who exist in the world. There you are, vibrating between that idea and its contradictions, ready to weep from thankfulness, ready to scent out the rancorous and forgetful, the debtors and bad friends in the drawing-rooms and even in the street! That is the *period of coincidence*. Then it is that you remark and discover everywhere circumstances very like your own; and the hallucination continues. Well, with novelists these various associations reach the height of a paroxysm. The knack is to lend them life, make them step forth from their abstract and purely moral regions and launch them — into the *worldly tumult*, as the Jansenists said.

"From this it results that we only understand

that which environs us according as we experience it. We live two parallel existences which complete each other: one an existence of emotion, the other of observation. To give prominence to one or the other of these existences is to give oneself up to unhappiness. Happiness lies in their equilibrium."

The farther along in my reminiscences I get, the more it seems hard to me to give to those who read me an impression of the sincerity and serenity which one of his conversations left behind it. Consider that my father always chose the best moment and the finest situation to explain his doctrines. Thanks to him I have in my soul landscapes which are connected with marvellous moral dissertations; with good reason he held that what is the most profitable in sensibility and poetic creation is just this harmony between the inner and the outer world.

"Plato's commotions, those of Socrates and nearer our time of Lamennais, show a lively desire not to separate the two natures: human nature and what is exterior to it. On the one hand the skies and terrestrial views and their moving shades become so many vigorous, profound and unforgettable images; on the other hand, noble dreams of imagination add their own mysterious harmony to the trees and meadows, to clouds and rivers, and become so many inscriptions, so many signs and symbols."

And how this adroit philosopher seized upon the *fatigue point*, the moment when this same en-

thusiasm no longer exists, however vast and interesting the subject might be! Then he suddenly interrupted himself and slipped into one of his delightful pranks, or one of those joyous stories which made the hours passed with him so short.

## IV.

## NORTH AND SOUTH.

THE merit of having placed in a striking light a type which up to his time had been merely a caricature, "the man of the South," belongs to Alphonse Daudet.

Such an attempt required the hand of a Southerner, who knows the strength and weakness of his own race, but one also who is subtle enough to place himself outside of himself, observe himself and seek in his own gestures and springs of action whatever in them there may be indigenous and national and different from others.

Among the many human problems to which my father was attached and to which he devoted himself, it may be there is no other which he has so passionately followed out through its different phases and aspects.

"This question is not interesting to France alone. Every country has its North and South, two poles between which characters and temperaments swing. It would be just as exaggerated to ascribe all the moral variations in man to questions of climate, as it would be silly to take no account of pronounced differences which variations in climate bring about."

It was while making researches on this point that one of his master qualities appeared to me in the most vivid light: "Total absence of pedantry."

Our epoch, which pretends to be liberal, is one of those in which perhaps the principle of *authority* in intellectual matters is the most frequently invoked. The revolutionaries merely aspire to found schools, establish a dogma and organize the faithful. The independents at once erect a banner upon which one may read in giant letters the word "independence," and then they start with denying in their adversaries the least good sense and rightful intention. A new kind of hypocrisy, "scientific hypocrisy," has recently come to be established. A multitude of unfinished and confused ideas hiding behind obscure terms and Greek and Latin compounds have become the weapons in the hands of insupportable jackanapeses, who brandish them on all occasions.

I have never heard my father employ a word which did not belong to common speech. He had an insurmountable and well grounded horror for newly invented words; for most of them are "monsters," disquieting examples of "civilized barbarism," formed outside of every rule. However wide a question was and however involved it might appear, he proposed first of all to *remain clear*, and he applied the rule of Descartes, which is to begin with the smaller difficulties in order to reach the greater.

I have repeated many times that he based his



labors upon reality, which he tested in order to be sure of support; and that he recognized in very few *facts* and phenomena that certainty and limpidity, which allow them to act as bases and points of departure.

The feeling of his race gave him a double touch of certainty, an intellectual and a physical one. A single case of the Southern accent delighted him forthwith. In the railway coach, toward morning, the apparition of olive trees and white turnpikes through the smoky panes made him "sing." That intoxication which the solution of a mathematical problem gave to Descartes and to Pascal, that same intoxication was felt by Alphonse Daudet, the "imaginative observer," when in contact with his art and with his "earth."

He loved all those in literature and art who, remembering their origins, beautify and sanctify the corner where they have lived, the places which they have frequented.

Certain people who think that that which is not sorrowful cannot be profound have reproached the *Tartarin* volumes for their exaggeration. But that exaggeration is in the blood. Sometimes it takes on the cold form one finds in Bompard in *Numa Roumcstan*. That makes it all the funnier. It appears that the tree of jollity growing along the valley of the Rhône still pushes two vigorous branches toward Touraine and Normandy, one branch of irony in Champagne and another toward the Île de France.

My father knew that "good humor" is neces-

sary to every stage and degree of mind. It is a kind of illumination. To this virtue he owed the fact of having escaped the current of pessimism and kept his own character intact. Beside him the young people seemed old men. At any moment of the day and notwithstanding his pain he was always ready to laugh and make fun himself over the vagabondage in which his imagination indulged.

"Hurrah for Latin good sense!" How many a time did not that exclamation burst forth to close a discussion and sum up long theories. I can still hear him saying to a friend at the end of a philosophical dissertation from which he had escaped: "Just look there, my dear fellow, look at that rose-colored line of light away down there along the crests of the trees! is that not beautiful and is that not perfect? We are at a distance, I am short-sighted, and yet I can distinguish every leaf; I could believe I was in my own country."

In his *Quinze Ans de Mariage* which he left unfinished there is the story of a couple very different in nature, a combat between the North and the South. It is certainly a symbolical work, for according to him the life of France itself, in a large degree, has been determined by combat and opposition between these two elements, so different one from the other.

"There is neither the same way of feeling, nor the same way of seeing, nor the same way of expressing oneself. Sometimes the Southerners are as completely closed up as are stones; the

exuberance of their imagination wearies them. Then they fall into a torpor, not at all unlike that of drunkards reviving from a bout.

"As to their imagination, it differs from those of the *Northmans* in these ways: it mixes up neither the elements of things nor the kind of things and even in its transports remains lucid. In the most complex minds you will never notice that confused interpenetration of aims, descriptions and figures, which form the characteristic mark of a Carlyle or a Browning or a Poe. Moreover the man of the North will always reproach the man of the South for the absence of shadows and mysterious recesses.

"If we look at the most violent human passion, love, we see that the Southerner makes of love the main occupation of his life, but does not allow himself to be thrown out of kilter by it. He likes the gossip in it, the light frills and changing faces. He detests the servitude it brings. For him it becomes a pretext for serenades, delicate and strained dissertations, for indulging in teasing and caresses. It is with difficulty that he can comprehend the connection between love and death which exists at the bottom of every Northern soul and throws a mist of melancholy over its brief delights."

One point there is to which he was constantly returning: the ease with which the man of the South deceives himself by the mirages which he conjures up himself, the half sincere confusion into which he allows himself to drift with a smile

as a corrective. In his talents one may find the impression of that kind of emotion which feels bashful at its own self and fears to go beyond the proper point. A part of the charm lies there; there is a safeguard for a reader with delicacy of mind in the guarantee that he will not have to blush for his own tears.

He used also to boast of the natural eloquence found among his compatriots. At the smallest rural meeting one might be surprised by a stirring speech given in a strong assured voice: "I did not inherit this kind of gift. My tongue gets twisted if it is necessary for me to express myself before more than ten people. My short-sightedness has something to do with it."

A subject for discussion that never ran dry was the problem of lying: "Is it fair to treat a man as a liar who becomes drunk with his own speech, and without any low purpose, without the instinct of deceiving or of getting the better of his neighbor, or of profit, endeavors to embellish his own life and that of others with stories which he knows are untrue, but which he would like to have true, or at any rate probable? Is Don Quixote a liar? And all those poets who wish to take us away from the actual and compass the globe in their wide-winged flights, are they liars?"

Besides, he was wont to insinuate, among Southerners people are not taken in. Every one in his own mind rearranges the proportions of things as they are. As Roumestan says: "It is a matter of focus."

Alphonse Daudet's compatriots feel no rancor with regard to his jokes; they understood well enough what an honor the writer did them, when through the power of his pen he glorified and published to the world their twists and turns of manner: "I love my whole country, even down to the food. Don't talk to me of heavy dishes, nor of potatoes and weighty joints. An anchovy spread on bread, some olives, figs and an aioli leaf — those are the things I prefer. I envy the lot of shepherds, all alone in the midst of their herds, either in the wide plains of the lower country, or on the salted highlands of the Alps, between the marshes and the stars."

For whomsoever has lived in a "mas" down South in the same way as the "pacaces" or herders of horses *L'Arlésienne* is a work very extraordinary in truthfulness. There one finds the principal types, the shepherd, the "baile" and the "baillasse." "Naturals" are not rare. It is very curious to see how Alphonse Daudet has grouped all these elements and from their union has extracted a moving tragedy in which the vigor, acuteness and harmony of antique poems have come to life again.

The story of a young Provençal man who committed suicide because of love, and two women calling to each other across a vast plain, one with a high, shrill voice, the other with a deep one — that is the origin of the drama. My father often spoke to me of it. He liked to work back in his memory to the *directing lines*, and he applied to

this process great acuteness: "When I heard those two voices of women at twilight alternating through the blue space, I felt that they had impressed me in a singular way, and the plot of *L'Arlésienne* appeared to me as if in a sudden hallucination. In the same way one evening, just as the day ended, in front of the rose-colored and gilded ruins of the Tuileries, I had a vision of *Rois en Exil* and of that formula which closes my book: 'A mighty thing lies dead.'

This problem of the beginning of a work and of the earliest spark of suggestion occupied us very often. My father thought that Edgar Poe in his explanation of *The Raven* had forced the note and used his imagination after the first imagining:

"I believe that in the case of all creators there are accumulations of sentient force made without their knowledge. Their nerves, in a state of high excitation, register visions, colors, forms and odors in those half realized reservoirs which are the treasuries of poets. All of a sudden, through some influence or emotion, through some accident of thought, these impressions meet each other with the suddenness of a chemical combination. It has generally happened in that way with me. I have passed months and months in arranging a drama or book which emerged in one single second and emerged with all its details complete before my astonished mind. The more ardent the imagination, the more sudden and unexpected are these pictures. The entire work of Balzac pulsates with a fever of discovery and of impromptu."

I called his attention to the fact that this is a kind of "secondary" dream and that, in the case of poets, reality and recollection, living persons and phantoms are constantly crossing and changing each other, and preserve nothing in common at last except the kind of lyrical power which enlarges the features, the speech and the surroundings and arouses enthusiasm.

My father added: "This lyrical gift, this deep-seated energy are perhaps nothing more than a very profound feeling of race and origins. Goethe is the complete German soul. It seems as if the blood of Lord Byron carries in its flood the Anglo-Saxon rage and the exasperated imaginings of an entire people. Mistral is the exact mirror of the South. . . ."

After a few moments of reflection he continued modestly:

"Suppose we look to little persons for great explanations. When I want to tune up my brain and give it tone, I have recourse to what I have seen in my youth. It is a habit my mind has taken upon itself to give a place where every sentiment has its stand. Words like "love," "felicity," "faith," "desire," do not remain inside of me in a state of abstraction. They take figures to themselves and take part in events. *Well, the light which environs them is always the light of my own country.* It is beneath the sky of Provence that I establish those traits of heroism, obligation and generosity. In order that I may reach the point of the state of trance and inspiration, I must have the sunlight

such as exists down there, and even in the course of extreme suffering I keep recalling to myself those turnpikes at white heat charged with a raw intensity which burns me and is my despair."

He sang the praise of heat: "Heat brings our temperaments to flowering, to fruit and to burgeoning. It gives to the human being his own particular perfume and to sentiments their vehemence. When accumulated in an individual and in a race, it acts like a subtler kind of alcohol, or like some delicate opium; it transfigures and renders divine. It does not take from the delicate shades of a character but renders them finer and more fugitive, just as it supports the great curtain of creepers in the forests of the tropics and at the same time the army of giants; and the enormous serpent sleeps in peace through excess of happiness, the while his scales glitter and gleam.

"In the South laziness has invented the 'cagnard,' that little corner built of the stalks of the cane, in which people lie torpid like boa-constrictors and roast themselves in the sun."

Then his face darkened: "These sensations have to be paid for later. We, the transplanted ones, are seized upon by this homicidal North with its mists and rheumatism, its mournful rains and sleet. Wet outside, we are burning within and are the prey of a twofold nature. Then our impressions become more tender. The North is difficult on the question of the choice of words, their value and their place in the sentence, much more so than the lazy, voluptuous South. This



was the cause of Baudelaire's suffering, who learned to know the exuberant nature and power of heat in the course of his travels, and when he returned to his own country, searched the whole vocabulary for those vanished charms, at the expense of his own brain:

“‘Le monde s'endort dans une chaude lumière.’”<sup>1</sup>

So it was that the “transplanted ones” had the benefit of his tender consideration: “Such is the mystery of origin, that sometimes the traveller in a distant land finds again his unknown stock and blood and everything else that he has loved and admired since his cradle, but which he knew only in his dreams. What delicious intoxication to live in the midst of a wonder which has turned true, drink in perfumes and enjoy the savor of a landscape which had seemed forever reserved for the kingdom of dreams! Sometimes music exalts me in this way. I penetrate to those states of the soul from which a thousand closed gates have separated me, gates through which I only heard confused and vague murmurs before. And then when one comes back from that region, it is sorrowful to find oneself again in the ordinary world, where beauty is rare and transports are fleeting.”

I took advantage of his happy frame of mind to demonstrate to him that metaphysics also were a kind of intoxication akin to music and are capable of furnishing very similar pleasure.

“But,” said he, “if I understand you rightly,

<sup>1</sup> “The whole world slumbers in the torrid light.”

these pleasures of reasoning may end in a state of mind which we find elsewhere celebrated by Buddhism, a colorless state without joy or pain, through which the swift splendors of thought pass like falling stars. Well, the man of the South is antagonistic to a paradise of that sort. The vein of true feeling with us is frankly and forever open — but *open to impressions of life*. The other side which belongs to abstraction and logic, loses itself, so far as we are concerned, in mists.”

Then following his usual method he descended from those extreme regions toward comic or touching observations, which are able to make one love reality.

“Violent and timid” — these words return many times in his little note-books. My father had collected a great number of examples of these “feelings made supple,” which as he explained, balance themselves in some characters and often give a contradictory air to actions: —

“Timidity slowly accumulates painful impressions of all kinds; for example, he has gone into a shop and has not known how to ask for what he wants, or else, embarrassed by his Southern accent, he has permitted the shop people to foist upon him half the articles on the shelves. Or he has met a friend whose talk has wounded him and he has not been able to say so. Or he has wished to take a cab, but he has not dared to make the necessary gestures or signals.

“Now he has returned to his own house and is at rest with his wife and children. But at the least

annoying observation the boiler bursts. He loses his head and throws the plates about. The sauce slops over, the children yell, the servants are in terror. That is the crisis. It stops almost as quickly as it has begun, with a lot of tears and regrets and promises and transports of tenderness and love. Sometimes the man goes to bed and begs for a cup of beef tea to put himself in condition again.

"If," he continued, "the man and his wife come from the South, this little drama has very slight importance. But if the wife comes from the North or *vice versa*, there appears a phenomenon of weariness; tenderness gives out; the married couple separates; or in other cases there appears a phenomenon of contagion. Both of them become violent and that is the better solution of the two."

In the most exact and gayest way he mimicked the scenes of fury which quickly vanished and the alternations of extreme tenderness and of wrath which in the South constitute the small change of conjugal life.

In *Numa Roumestan*, Aunt Portal, like a good many other characters, is a family portrait, whose reality was of such a powerful sort that it was impossible for him to make the reminiscences of her imperceptible: "Ah, what a power in the thing which has been seen and observed!—yes, down to the color of the hair, shape of the nose, the favorite habit, or a grimace, which seemed to be necessary and indeed indispensable to the sketch. That marvellous artist, Nature, when she accentuates a character, rounds out the physical traits

by moral characteristics in such a way that the simplest modification of a portrait has an air of deception. The individual and type carry along with it their furniture, clothes, follies and, in fact, their complete framework. And the writer who is not haunted by the necessity of being exact, by truth in detail and the actual relief, that man is not a novelist."

I will add here a remark which frequently returned to his lips: "There is an error often found among prose writers which consists in believing that the gift of style brings with it the power to create types: But the means are absolutely different. In general a talented man can tell a story with himself in it, and if he is clever he will give titles and springs of action to the different parts of his composition. He will divide himself into different parts, some of which are antagonistic, and these parts will battle and discuss and act, sometimes with eloquence; but never do they give us the illusion of life.

"I call such writers *essayists* and I greatly prefer their studies of ethics or literature to their creative attempts, which for the most part are abortive, or turn aside from the path, or stop half way."

"As to the writer of romances, that is quite another matter. Imagination is necessary to him, because without ceasing he must reconstruct an animal from a single bone, forge a sentiment from a look, a word, a gesture; he must divine from an attitude some passion or vice and give to his

account that harmony and amplitude which are the generalization of some particular event and trace the signs of fate behind the characters upon the wall.

"*Exactness* is necessary to him because he must not dislocate either his heroes or his heroines, in whom he must preserve their logical and sentimental tones and must respect the conditions of life and likelihood at peril of driving the reader away, and finally because before everything else he must place a guard over the structure of his work, that inner architecture without which there is nothing but disorder and chaos.

"*Observation* is necessary to him because it is necessary that observation shall make of each character a mirror, in which humanity shall recognize itself, and because it will enrich the story, the emotion and even the pathetic parts with singular and direct circumstances.

"But another virtue is necessary which has neither name nor label, more necessary than the *imagination* or *exactness* or *observation*, namely, that power of *hypocrisy* (let us use the word in its Greek sense) which allows the author to *slip into the very skin of his characters*, appropriate their turn of mind, their habits, gestures, and to talk according to their formulas, that same faculty which made Shakespeare exist by turns as Anthony and Cleopatra and as Desdemona and Polonius, and allowed Balzac to be Lucien, or a few seconds afterward, both Marsay and the unforgettable *girl with the golden eyes*.

"The more I ponder upon it," said my father with energy, "the more this gift seems to me primordial, indispensable and irreplaceable. Without that we remain outside our creations, and these retain something borrowed, something factitious, which cannot deceive the simplest of readers. Without that a man may be able to fix a single time some unforgettable type, on condition that this type is the nature of the author himself, or *his contrary*, or a *part of his nature enlarged*; but the miracle will not renew itself and the sequel of his work will consist of nothing more than a succession of outlines and sketches, more and more dim, and less and less moving.

"The man who has the gift of transforming himself may be lacking in style, may hurry and write like a madman. There will still be in his work a special power which will cause it to live and last, whilst others which are more carefully wrought and irreproachable shall have disappeared long ago.

"Alongside of Balzac let us take the greatest lyricist of the century as an example: Victor Hugo—the greatest lyricist, that is to say the biggest *me*, the most encroaching personality. What do we see in his romances and his dramas? Beings without measure or proportion, formed by the creasings and unfoldings of the *me* proper to Victor Hugo, a *me* in a thousand manners, but recognizable beneath its borrowed garments through an identical speech, through his metaphors, his unexpected cæsuras, his antitheses—

in a word, through the whole romantic baggage. They are wonderful poems, but they do not give us the illusion of life; Javart is the sureness of Victor Hugo; Sister Simplicie is his feeling for beauty, or the generosity of Hugo; Jean Valjean is the whole of Hugo, his revolt, his magnificence and his egotism, all in one. . . . This personality of his remains so overflowing and incapable of transformation, that in that marvellous book of observation, *Chose Vue*, it impresses its mark upon all the events of the time, reserves for itself all the wise words and correct conclusions, all the bold solutions of questions, and appropriates to itself history with a gravity and a sureness which approach the comic.”

I remember how one day, at the close of one of our conversations, I asked him whence came that power he had, that aptitude to slip into the heart of another person and clothe himself with the other's manner. He answered:

“You know I am not a metaphysician, but across all the systems there appeared to me the idea that philosophy, which is wise in the problems of reason and intelligence, is only rudimentary for that which relates to feeling. The latter has remained mysterious, unexplored and full of abysses. All the attempts of Descartes and Spinoza were nothing more than bringing logical and cold solutions to problems of passion and attempting to fit feeling to reason.

“All I have is my own experience supported by a few efforts of the imagination. But the ex-

perience of a single person is that of all the world, since we form individuals by narrow and special combinations of general faculties.

"Well, human sensibility seems to me like a sort of electrical circuit, each element of which would be an abbreviated image of the whole. Individual pity, individual pain, individual charity, are merely the reflections of pain, pity and charity in the universal sense. Moreover in this domain everything is a matter of contagion and of quick and wonderful transmission; it happens not seldom that a whole people is filled with an overwhelming feeling for some idea of justice, and that to the very death, but an idea which up to that time had left them quite indifferent.

"We writers of romances ought to do everything to render this communion of feeling more frequent. Our ideal task is to excite generous movements, keep souls in a state of metamorphosis in connivance with other souls.

"Certain duties and rules derive from this. We are culpable if we propagate evil or ugliness, whether from thoughtlessness or lucre. We are culpable if we do not console, but on the contrary render people desperate and augment the sufferings or vileness of the human race."

Then he came back to the question of race: "If there ever was a people in whom this gift of *metamorphosis* exists, this transmission of feelings, it is certainly the people of the South. Among us some one in a group may be telling of a frightful accident. All the faces express disgust. They



follow the words of the speaker with a liveliness which is in marked contrast with the close-shut mysterious attitude of a Northern crowd. Among the latter, feelings which are better concealed go on accumulating and under the least pretext may suddenly explode.

"I myself," continued he, "can recollect whilst still very small to have passed a part of the night in recalling the sorrowful intonation my father used when he heard of the death of his eldest son. I adored that elder brother, but the correctness and power of the accent and of the gesture which accompanied the anguished, harsh voice, took possession of my sensitive organism, which as you see was already prepared for the miracle of the transformation.

"For it is a real miracle, which surpasses spiritualism and the turning tables. Balzac puts on the scene a character in whom he supposes certain vices exist, then he finds for every circumstance certain typical phrases such as: 'Then I shall take the little girl with me!' the exclamation of Baron Hulot, which one feels could not have failed to have been said. Those are not recollections. That sort of thing happens in every country. It is the *supreme gift of the romance writer*.

"Well, I have heard peasants among us, *story-tellers*, who possess that gift to the very highest degree and along with it a true genius for mimicry. Nature had been prodigal to them just as it was with my dear Baptiste Bonnet. Not only did they have the emotion itself and the power to

excite, but they had *style* besides, a power half traditional and half spontaneous over form, which Bladé has very accurately pointed out in his magnificent collection *Contes de Gascogne* and which causes little by little their laical and perfunctory education to disappear.

“The sun, transformed into heat and movement, furious and irresistible, glides into the veins of the Southerners. Though it may intoxicate and turn their heads, it never attacks their intelligence, which on the contrary it renders stronger, deeper and more lucid. Since the sun permits them to meet each other on the public square or during their labors in the fields at every season of the year, it favors the humanitarian side, the social connections which flow from love to municipal activity, the results of which are strong and lasting races. The sun increases the power of the gesture when it stands out against a bright background. It gives resonance to the voice. It seems as if the harmony of the sun, the rhythmical force of its rays, impregnate people with eloquence and the power of the word. And just as it stops out colors and shades of color, just as it reduces everything to the same plane, so it makes illusions easy.

“It pulls the individual together in the present and simplifies for him a future which is golden and warm like it, and filled like it with lively and joyous sensations. It gushes forth feelings before our astonished consciousness in jets and sheets and cascades; it deploys them magnificently, increases

their rapidity ten-fold and favors that gentle frenzy of the mind in which bashfulness and heroism, generosity and fear, boldness and timidity mix together in a combination of truth which is often ironical.

"This crowd of qualities is the crowd of the human being itself." (Here my father would take on a special expression and weigh his words as he did when his conversation approached some chief point.) "Of a certainty every human being feels that crowd of sensations living and noisy within his own breast, in his hours of excitement, stupefied by the multitude of them rising in the dark shadows of his consciousness; it seems to him that the forgotten hordes of his ancestors are rising there. A universal shudder and whisper run through him. Then some tendency defines itself and becomes the *leader* of the crowd. Decision is the action of this *leader*. Hesitation is a debate between those hereditary antagonisms.

"Well, among the Southerners *the crowd of the human being* makes its appearance in a lightning flash as swift and burning as a pain. The sudden loosing of the cog of decision causes that disorder of the face and gesture, that ardor in love, which seem so funny if one does not belong to that exaggerating race."

My father brought together with great care — they will be found in his notes — all kinds of proverbs of the South, those in especial which relate to the family and the position of woman in the household. He searched among his recollections

for the faded outlines of peculiar relatives, such as formerly grew up in the provinces when an over-centralization had not reduced all characters and brought them down to a single commonplace type.

Whenever he was in Provence he made every peasant he met converse, listening with delight to their picturesque and wild explanations mixed with sententious remarks, such as revealed the Roman churl: "At every turn of the road I discover something of my youth. Is it necessary to accept Dante's word? Is it really a pain, or is it not a solace to recall hours of happiness when one feels unhappiness and regret?"

As he has once written, he believed that "In France everybody has a bit of Tarascon about him." In another form he said that "A Frenchman who gets excited becomes easily a Provençal." So it was that during the war of 1870 he had been able to note the propagation of false news, an excessive enthusiasm in connection with exaggerations at the start, and a prostration during the darkest hours in due proportion — those disorderly ups and downs which form the bad side of the "race of the sun."

He stated also that "the Frenchman has a Keltic father and a Latin mother" and that "the play of those two influences determine the somersaults in our history."

Whilst still young he had seen in his native town the last open battles between Protestants and Catholics: "I know a Huguenot at sight, particularly the Southern species, from his accent, gestures,

look and method of reasoning. He forms a being apart, much more reserved, cool and master of himself than the Catholic. There are two portals for temperaments of this kind, just as there are two gates to cemeteries in our country — schismatic and orthodox portals."

As I have already shown, it cannot be doubted that he himself belonged to the Catholic pole. He had that pity, that complete pity which the moment it finds its object cares nothing more for dialectics. He had a taste for risk and adventure. In talking this way I do not wish to say that Protestants are lacking in courage either; on the contrary, I believe they have a very living moral energy where it affects their convictions and their immediate sense of justice. But they weigh their actions and their words. My father was spontaneous. When it came to the domain of action he put calculation aside; his natural generosity placed him by instinct in the heroic path. Finally, as I have often remarked, there is among the Protestants an extreme difficulty of making a decision, a kind of paralysis of scrupulousness. My father accepted responsibilities quietly, but immediately, in a few moments, he took his position.

The creases which religion leaves in characters were often the subject of our conversations. He understood wonderfully the features which faith impresses on souls. The history of the Reformation had excited him, in so far as it involved the opposition in the North to the expansion of the Renaissance, which was entirely Southern:

“ Oh yes, I understand how, beneath a low sky and among the mists, those voluptuous Popes who, according to that admirable joke of one of them, could not imagine how men could live ‘ without the carnal affections ’ — those Popes bedizened with ribbons and lace, surrounded by mistresses and painters and music, must have revolted extremely rigorous souls. That is it, there speaks the influence of climate. . . . To the present day the look of a Protestant village in our rural parts differs entirely from that of a Catholic village. But there can be no doubt that Catholicism has on its side the idea of pardon and sacrifice, and the splendid dogma of substitution and ransom which people have so often deformed and badly interpreted.”

The Gospels made the tears come into his eyes. In the practice of religion he loved the pomp and ceremony, he loved processions and the charming whiteness of the girls going to communion, and above all things the bell, whose solemn voice filled him with melancholy. Never did an impious word ever slip from his mouth. Was he entirely unbelieving and skeptical? Those are secrets which the conscience holds to the very last. He was much pleased because my mother used to go and pray at the graves of his relations. He showed a desire to see us baptized and go to communion. My civil marriage was very distasteful to him. He was the son of a devout mother. In his extreme youth he himself had shown an almost excessive piety. Through his feeling for pain and owing

to the rude trials of his life, he remained close to that religion which has offered the most sublime ejaculations, the most profound restfulness to the soul, and the most tragic and subtle renunciations. I have heard him talk of Christ with an energy and an unction which any preacher might have envied, showing something narrow and familiar and as it were fragrant and balmy, which suited well the latitude of Palestine, but which he got from his own Provence. Often his eye lit up at some word of mystery or of miracle; he expressed himself concerning faith and periods of dryness in faith and the torments of believers with an eloquence which sprang from the intimate sources of religious feeling. . . . And nevertheless he venerated Montaigne even more than Pascal; nevertheless when one pushed him hard concerning these problems, his replies showed acute skepticism or there were long silences of doubt.

To sum up, I believe that that impress of the race which was so strong in him had marked him with the *moral forms* of the Catholic faith. I believe that he would have desired to hold the faith and that absolute materialism and atheism were odious to him, but his powerful and yet gentle love of life for life's sake, of justice without recompense and of pity which does not see its own good, took in him the place of narrow conceptions of a future and better organized world.

In most cases and especially when there were more than two present he avoided such conversations "to which each one brings nothing but vague

words which have been heard a hundred times before." I remember that he was even astonished that the grandest subjects known to humanity should be precisely those on which the greatest number of follies are accumulated, as if the spirit became numb at a certain level and lost its clear view and fruitful ideas.

One summer afternoon as we were walking he said to me: "When nature seems to us intentionally bad and homicidal, it presents us indeed with a painful alternative, but what is much more sinister is nature's indifference when it appears to be separated from us by an impassable gulf.

"So the way I explain it is, that believers close their eyes to this world, stop their ears and shut themselves up in the strange palaces of the soul. Outside they would find nothing but perils, deserts and temptation. As for me, in whose blood doubt and the reminiscences of belief are at war, I have a two-fold view of that which lies about me, of this garden for instance, of the sky and the waters. Now all this vibrates and it affects me, it traverses and enthuses me; again, I have remained cold and unapproachable, and familiar places have seemed to me unknown abodes which are almost hostile. . . . Is it not perhaps pain which takes the color out of my little domain?"

My father, at one and the same time nomadic in temperament, a lover of change and a follower of tradition, respectful toward religion, scrupulous and a mocker, detesting officialdom, cliques, the lying honors of society and every kind of conven-



tion, seemed to me a finished type, but a purified one, of the man of the South.

Purified — because it is when he is in action that the Southerner degenerates. My father did not ignore this at all and judged very severely certain celebrated politicians, his compatriots:

“A morality as loose as one’s belt. Streams of faults, talk as facile as their impulse and their promises, yes, as their *mendacity*. When it comes to those frightful politics, our good qualities change very quickly for the worse: enthusiasm becomes hypocrisy, loquacity and charlatanry; gentle skepticism becomes scoundrelism; love of things that shine becomes rage for money and luxury at any price; sociability and the desire to please turn to cowardice, feebleness and turn-coatism. Alas, for the lofty comedies! What breasts smitten by the hand, what low, moved voices, hoarse but captivating, what easy tears are theirs, what adjurations and calls upon patriotism and the lofty sentiments! You remember the famous phrase by Mirabeau: ‘And we shall not leave except through the power of bayonets;’ well, a legend which may be true adds this growling, oblique continuation, given in a murmur aside with his eye on the wink: ‘And if they do come, we shall skedaddle!’”

The love of solitude and reflection which had gone on developing itself in Alphonse Daudet is rarely a virtue of the Southern people: “Everything outside” is a motto for that race of “brown crickets,” so changeable and noisy. That phrase

of Roumestan's: "When I am not talking I cannot think" is a profound truth.

I may remark in this connection how many formulas, metaphors, phrases and definitions invented and made popular by my father have made quick fortunes and are currently employed by many people who ignore their origin. That is because those formulas and definitions have "the living virtue" in them, that mysterious attraction arising from picturesqueness and ease of application, which continue them as they are, but sometimes deform their original sense.

However slightly affected by pride he may have been because of his talents and success, still he was delighted with these survivals. Somewhere he tells how his heart swelled in his breast with pride when he heard people say: "That man is a Delobelle, or a d'Argenton, or a Roumestan, or a Tartarin."

Is it not one of the glories of men of letters to make species in this way out of characters and types of men who, before they wrote, were lost in the indistinct crowd of human beings? "It seems," said I to him, "that one of the purposes of art consists in differentiating the vital elements, characters, landscapes and even objects, and rendering beauty in its smallest aspects visible and present."

He answered: "That reflection springs from the letters which you wrote to me from Holland with regard to the great realistic painters: Rembrandt, Frans Hals and van der Meer. I have always thought in that way. A pencil of light upon a face, a feeling which touches one, a ges-

ture, a look, have each its own proper value, immediate and immortal, which separates it from all the luminous rays, feelings, gestures and looks which are possible. We make everything into an individual and we break up classes in nature."

The same kind of work which he undertook with regard to the Southerners he desired that each author should do for the men of his own race. "That is the way in which one becomes representative. Such special studies, far from hurting general views, are useful to them and feed them with examples."

In the little note-books a series of biographical and historical remarks of the highest interest may be read, from Mirabeau to Bonaparte and Thiers and Guizot and Gambetta, all of which tend to find the origins of their acts and words beneath that heap of hypocritical conventions and lies which the contact of other ambitions occasions in men of politics, as well as their natural love of combat and desire to exert their influence.

According to Alphonse Daudet the novel is a prop to history. In some places it may even enlighten and do it justice. Among the works of contemporaries there is a good example in support of this theory in Gustave Geffroy's *Blanqui*. Whilst studying a great character this conscientious artist, this "poet of reality" which Geffroy is, has explained modern processes of investigation and description. The result is a rare and remarkable work which doubtless will serve as type and model to many other essays in the same line.

Let no one imagine, however, that my father pushed this taste for analysis from the point of view of race to the limits of a fad. His "Latin good sense," his love of proportion preserved him from such an excess. He venerated Michelet; he read and reread him; he used new terms of laudation for the sublime author of *L'Histoire de France — de la Femme — de la Mer — de la Bible — de l'Humanité*. He admired Taine, whilst at the same time on his guard against that writer's strained method of systematizing and whilst finding him too hard on heroes and enthusiasts.

Lover of equilibrium and harmony in the domain of thought as he was, he understood and excused fanaticism in the domain of action. And I am ready to believe that he preferred Taine's *Littérature Anglaise* to the *Origines de la France Contemporaine*.

This love of history sometimes brought out dialogues of the sort that follows:

I. — How is it that you have never yet written a grand study on one of your heroes, or on some period of the wars of religion in your country, or some episode of the Renaissance or of the Reformation, which I see you studying with such tremendous energy?

He (with a sigh). — The man of letters does not march in the direction he wishes. A subject carries him along and turns him away from his goal. In my notes you will find a *Napoleon as a man of the South*, which our dear Frédéric Masson has made a reality and more than a reality, also a

*Guerre des Albigeois* and a *Soulèvement de l'Algérie*, also a monograph on Raousset-Boulbon and another on Rossel, etc. Subjects of that sort exist without end along the borders of history and romance writing. I wish to sound them and treat them in accordance with the *documents of life*.

*I.* — Always concerning Southerners, episodes in the combats between the North and the South?

*He.* — Have I not repeated a hundred times that all one man can add as contribution to truth is infinitely little and weak? I think it well to accept with caution a great many singular observations on my race, its virtues and vices. A man cannot note down everything. I believe in the future. Reality possesses an incredible force which is distinct from the force of truth.

*I.* — Is n't that just the same thing?

*He.* — By no means. Truth is a moral judgment made by men or facts upon reality. That judgment may be obscure and weak and wreck itself. Truth has a susceptibility far more delicate than any printed paper of whatsoever kind. The atmosphere and the sunlight, and the breath and everything causes it to degenerate. Reality however exists and dwells forever. But a poet is necessary to lend to reality the power to revive, the power of propagation and duration. Michelet was a "visionary of the real."

"Rarement un esprit ose être ce qu'il est."<sup>1</sup>

That line, which I think is from Boileau, was apt to be launched suddenly into a conversation by

<sup>1</sup> "And seldom dare a soul be really what it is!"

way of an encouragement or reproach. Very often he explained how *character* is the result of a moral courage which causes a man to develop himself after his own proper nature and bring into relief the virtues and the vices which come to him as a heritage.

"In the same way," he added, "there is an inner timidity which prevents the individual from development and stops the realization of his own type and produces that quantity of worn and half-defaced medals devoid of interest which constitute the mass of men.

"A man of letters who is thinking of the passions has necessarily to do with that mass and those indistinct outlines. For it would prove a wearisome convention if one were to put to work characters alone. It is in dealing with these half-tones and these passages in chiaroscuro that our task becomes most difficult. 'A hero of the non-heroic,' there is the masterpiece which Flaubert has realized in *L'Éducation Sentimentale*.

"Well, a man of a different race or a different epoch becomes typical for that very reason. Roumestan or Tartarin would not stand out from a crowd in the South. It is Paris that puts them in relief. In the same way we still visit certain old men or old ladies living apart from society, who have preserved unchanged the prejudices and ways of looking at things, the generousities and warm sentiments of 1848. And so for us it is a delight to find them, as it is a joy to the numismatist to discover a finely preserved medal."

In some romance by Jean Paul Richter there is a character who has passed his childhood beneath the earth, and the day when on stepping out upon the surface he sees the sky and flowers and waters and forests he thinks he has entered into paradise.

A similar impression awaits any one who, having lived in the North, suddenly discovers the South and the joy of sunlight. Alphonse Daudet had preserved piously that same joy. In his soul it dominated suffering and melancholy. Whatever his observation of the world brought to him which was cruel, whatever his imagination suggested to him that was harsh and vehement and terrible, was softened and tempered by the golden warmth of Provence, made serene again by those pure horizons and harmonized in tune with those lines which have been the directors of human wisdom since the time of classical antiquity.

That marvellous sense for proportion is the safeguard of the mind. The man who descends into his own soul and does not hold fast to a love of harmony, plunges very soon into the blackest shade. He becomes unintelligible. He loses all power of instruction. This conducting clew is a very little matter. It would have rendered such a work as Ibsen's *Peer Gynt*, for instance, immortal. Of a certainty a multiplicity of interpretations is a sign of weakness. The poem becomes a sort of game or labyrinth in which the cleverness of the reader exercises itself. What brief mental excitement it occasions is not worth one clear recollection.

On that point my father was quite tranquil. According to him, despite some rare exceptions, French thought has remained in love with all that is limpid and true and faithful to its origins. He admired certain pieces by Ibsen, but not all. For some there are, the symbolism of which seemed to him infantile and false. For example he found once more in Ibsen's northern sarcasm about the wild duck the "India-rubber laugh, the laugh of Voltaire congealed by Pomeranian sleet." He had a warm admiration for Tolstoï, the Tolstoï one finds in *War and Peace*, *Anna Karenina*, in the *Souvenirs de Sébastopol* and in the *Cossacks*. The *Kreutzer Sonata* was revolting to him in certain places. But the neo-mysticism of this author and his last evangelical works did not interest him at all.

"Tolstoï," said he, "has enjoyed in his youth everything that there is in life which is exquisite, luxurious and brilliant. He has loved the chase, masquerades, races in sleighs, pretty women, friends and the arts. But now he would like to forbid that others should enjoy pleasures which his old age prevents him from repeating. In a conversion effected on a man of seventy I shall always be on my guard against regrets and that envy — oh, very distant, underhand and indirect, but tenacious — which can be read between the wrinkles."

The reading of *Crime and Punishment* had been for him a *crisis of his mind*. That book had prevented him from writing a work which he



had projected on Lebiez and Barré, and the action of badly understood Darwinian theories on the youthful poor. That deviation from scientific formulas, the continuation of theories into practice disquieted him, and we owe to that disquiet his books: *La Lutte pour la Vie*, *La Petite Paroisse* and *Le Soutien de Famille*. To return to Dostojevski, he did not esteem the less *Frères Karamazov* and *Maison des Morts*; but he preferred the harmonious beauty of *Anna Karenina* and the sumptuous sonorousness of *Guerre et Paix* to the rousing fanaticism and actual hallucinations of the Russian Dickens.

So the reader may see that his love for the South did not cause him to disdain northern literature. As to the climate itself, that is another matter, and very often I would joke with him upon the contradiction which existed between his horror for fogs and frosts and his taste for Arctic expeditions.

## V.

## AS A MAN OF FAMILY.

MARCEL SCHWOB, the author of *Roi au Masque d'Or* and of *Livre de Monelle* and other striking works, insists with very great justice at the beginning of his admirable work *Vies Imaginaires*, that when it comes to the biography of great persons, family details are of the highest importance. Very often a preference or custom or some habit of the person will reveal more to the reader than a long theory, or a whole body of dogmas. Whatever is individual and specific in a person can often be defined with greater exactness by means of one of those remarks which the *academical spirit* is always ready to look upon as something that may be ignored.

That is one of the reasons why solemn eulogies and discourses beside the grave almost always reduce themselves to the same theme, colorless and ecstatic, in which according to a ritual and a formula, virtues without saliency and monotonous circumstances are raised to the seventh heaven.

In his dress Alphonse Daudet showed an exemplary modesty. It was not always so with him. At the first representation of *Henriette Maréchal* a young man with long, dark hair, whose

frantic applause caused a shimmering silver waistcoat to scintillate was noticed among the enthusiastic defenders of the play. The future Mme. Alphonse Daudet, then Mdlle. Allard, was present on that memorable first night: "Young girls can be taken; there will be such a row that they can't hear anything," those were the very words used by the friend who brought the tickets of invitation. During the latter years when he dined in town with his friends, or at his own house on Thursdays, my father wore a jacket of black velvet. He himself speaks in some part of his works of objects that had been dear to the deceased — "little figures, little effigies," which cause irresistible tears to flow. My brother and I used to be glad to give him our arm and were proud of his good looks, which on certain days were really extraordinary. But with what reserve did he not conceal his suffering! It contracted his features for a moment, but so quickly that we alone could divine it: then he would reassure us with a smile and at once relate some jocose, brave story which was accompanied by a little quivering of the eye that put us in touch with his heroism.

Very often, and we used to repeat it to each other, what he did not say, what he gave us to understand with his look, was just as penetrating and prophetic as his speech. And what kindness! "In order to hold well what one has in hand, always leave the cord a little loose. If children have souls which are well-fashioned to start with, such tenderness as one shows them will never do

them hurt. It will bolster them up later during their hours of wretchedness. There is always that much captured from our foe — life ! ”

As a matter of fact, and I say this for those who have not not known him, he was not at all the hollow-cheeked and pallid Christ which some people have represented him to be. When his sufferings gave him respite he gave one the impression of complete health. The table was decked with flowers and shining glass. There were the most diverse kinds of comrades: Drumont, de Banville, Hébrard, Gambetta, Leconte de Lisle, Zola, Rochefort and how many others ! At the very soup my father had already put everybody at ease, delighting his guests with a brief and brilliant story, one of those winged improvisations which were habitual with him, or else by some observation irresistible in its fun. Then with wonderful cleverness he would launch the conversation in some direction favorable to the lively spirit of one or other of those present, he would direct, protect and breathe new life into it, he would raise its quality and keep it human.

Now he would attack the whole company and fly into an excitement, when the sound of his voice, so warm and subtle, so ardent and engrossing, together with his brilliant eyes and gestures made a most extraordinary picture and combination. Again he would yield the floor, make himself scarce and hide away, in order to allow some champion in conversation to carry off an easy triumph. He knows the value of opinions, the

rush of dispute and the intoxication that comes from contradictions. On one point he is severe. He holds to a decent tone in pleasantries and woe to him who shall permit himself some risky allusion, some word which might shock feminine ears! Then his looks grow black and his voice changes; dexterously and swiftly he recalls to the mind of the clumsy fellow what the forms of politeness are: "those pleasing frontiers, standing on which one may say everything so long as no disgraceful image appears, nothing that would soil or degrade."

Gifted with an extreme sharpness of hearing, my father heard what people were whispering ten seats away from him; he often took a hand in an "apart" when he was not expected, and nothing amused him more than to put to the rout some slight mystery, a beginning of a flirtation or a timid advance.

But it would not do to be the dupe of so much kindness and take this sweetness of his for weakness and, as he says himself, "pull the chair from under him." I have known two men who had the finished gift of repartee. One was Alphonse Daudet, the other was our dear and admired friend Paul Hervieu. Such is the craft of the fencer, who when unexpectedly attacked avoids the blade of his antagonist and strikes for the breast with a disconcerting swiftness.

There was the same sharp look, suddenly black and implacable. There was the same choice of unforgettable phrases, poisoned and barbed words,

which flew from his lips. A precious gift was his, the abuse of which need not be feared in men of that sort. A gift which has taken on enduring form in works like *L'Immortel* or *Peints par Eux-mêmes*, a gift that masters and keeps in subjection the fools, hateful ones and cowards, and one which, if it were wider spread, would improve the health of society by renewing the air of rude worldly assemblies which is often filled with it as with a pest.

"Naturalness" that was the present which my father made to every assembly in which he found himself. He delivered people from the thousand different bonds which hypocritical conventions fasten on them, from the prejudices and folly of snobs. Though a revolutionist and foe of abuses, he preserved all the forms of politeness. And while it appeared soft outside his satire was really a terrible dissolvent. Very often grave, reserved and cold men to whom all familiarity is repellent seemed to change their character and gave themselves up to the author as if delighted to throw aside their pose.

At dinner on a certain evening an elderly lady, a much envied woman who occupied a brilliant position, one whom he saw for the very first time and who drank nothing but water, confided to him the actual disaster in her life with a candor and simplicity and naïveté which fairly took his breath away. Yet such confessions were by no means rare. The attraction that certain people have, which causes others to give themselves up to them

and consult them and take them for guides, despite all distances and social fictions — that attraction is and ever will be mysterious. Oftener than people think there is a desire to *strip the soul nude*, cast off the robes of ceremony and pull one's wig out of curl.

"There is," said he, "in life a critical moment, a *vif de la vie*, into which two people, who did not know each other the moment before, all of a sudden cast themselves with a singular lack of prudence and with that thirst for truth which torments scrupulous people and believers."

As to good food, he preferred very simple and well-done dishes; for a hatred of artificiality may reach to the most different kinds of feeling. Coarse, dark or red dishes repulsed him.\* A regular Provençal, he loved olives, well-done dishes and salads — all the salads. We happened to be at a counter in l'Hérault.

"Madam, is this piece of cold meat disengaged?"

"Certainly sir. . . . Are you taking it with you?"

"Of course I am taking it away."

"And the pickled peppers with it?"

"Of course!"

In our gastronomical reminiscences that slice of cold veal will always hold a famous place.

He spiced his meals with various discussions of Southern cookery, designed for restless and burned-out stomachs. "In order to eat well down South, you ought to eat with a Southerner.

You may as well believe me that Bonnet's *saquette* contained marvellous titbits. It is the same with eating as with everything else. It is no good except with national surroundings. Then the golden wine of our vineyards has its own merit. And the game larded with little leaves of the vine has its proper value, as it turns and bastes before the crackling of the vine wood in the tavern.

"This dish is a landscape in itself!" Such was his greatest compliment. He preferred Burgundy to Bordeaux. "One vulgar delight is drinking the little wine of the people, whatever kind it may be, the *ginguet* as it is called, so long as it bites the tongue and is drunk along with a hunch of pretty high cheese, a 'horror' as ladies will exclaim when one brings it home all terrible and smelly."

When in Paris my father's day was divided between work, visits from friends and an occasional walk.

As early as eight o'clock, placed at his own writing-table, he began by dictating his copious correspondence to his secretary, the same that he had had for thirty years. As he has many a time related, it was one morning in 1870 on the firing-line that Alphonse Daudet made the acquaintance of Jules Ebner, who was quietly reading an ode from Horace in the face of snow and foe. Since that time the two men have never left each other until the death of one of them, the "master" namely, for whom the other possessed an admiration and devotion the like of which I have never seen.



For thirty years, without missing a single day, notwithstanding often fatiguing work as secretary in the editorial office of a great newspaper, Ebner has sat there before my father with his pen in his hand. He had to answer comrades and editors and translators and beggars, and sift the good from what was useless or piteous, or mere knavery. . . . There's a ring at the bell. . . . It is necessary to stop. . . . My father's welcome is always pleasant; his kindly air is in no sense a mask, for according to the visitor, he passes from the liveliest tenderness to mere ordinary cordiality.

Often a comrade passing through our quarter of the town would come to "warm himself" in the presence of the master, demanding advice or counsel. He was so indulgent to young men! One of the last careers to open, in which he took an interest, was that of Georges Hugo, whom he loved and whose new and precocious talent he admired. The cry of revolt in the latter's *Souvenirs d'un Matelot* went to his heart, just as every vibrating and sincere word overcame him. For my part I have sometimes written violent and even bloodthirsty pages, but he never imposed the slightest restriction upon me. Besides, he knew that anger is only another face of pity. From my earliest age he counselled me to use moderation when in doubt and boldness when sure of my ground.

I was hardly ten years of age when he caused me to take my first lessons with the sword and pistol "in order to give me the chance to be as

patient as possible, but when the right moment comes to astonish an adversary." Until it began to fatigue him too much, fencing was his chief exercise. He gave himself up to it with enthusiasm, holding the floor for an hour at a time; in the game his whole nature came to the surface; a mixture of strength and delicacy, a prudent method broken by sudden fits of audacity and violence, made him a very difficult adversary.

He has written some excellent notes on the game of sword and foil and on the revelation of a character through feints as well as on irresistible rushes, the truth of which will surprise professional swordsmen. At the same time he used to take long walks at a rapid gait through Paris, turning over in his mind projects of books and characters and associating the outer world with them at any moment:

"The moment that an idea excites and makes us quiver, at that moment through a singular paradox we become most frightened and most impressionable. The state of half consciousness is the treasure-house of accessories, the store-house of the romance-writer."

When his declining powers no longer allowed him to take his long walks, as often as not he made the house of his father-in-law Jules Allard, "his best friend," the goal of his saunterings. At the time my grandparents inhabited a handsome house with a garden at the top of Cherche Midi Street; a description often recurs in the little note-books. There are reports of long conversa-

tions held by my grandfather, who was a connoisseur of men and a poet as well as a republican belonging to the great epoch, with my grandmother Léonide Allard, a woman of broad and mystic mind, who was wont to defend the rights of the supernatural against the railleries of realism.

For my father was always rebellious against the manifestations of the world beyond and held to the opinion of his friend Montaigne concerning the "unknowable." "My dear Mama!" that was the way he called her, "I have remarked that superstition and skepticism form an equilibrium in the same family, just as virtue and vice remain equal, prodigality and avarice — and in general all such oppositions in character."

Since the increase of his malady, he went out very little in the evening. It had to be a very exceptional occasion to decide him to break the rule. Nevertheless he loved the world and society; the presence of strangers was good for him and took him away from his suffering. The general rehearsal of *Sappho* at the Opéra Comique was one of his very last pleasures. He took the very liveliest interest in the staging of his pieces, in the performance of the actors and in such a "preparation" as dramatic authors understand, a preparation which is one of the pleasures of the craft. He generously distributed on the stage that mass of observations "from the life" which he never ceased to heap up, and he insisted that each detail should be scrupulously regulated in consonance with the actual.

It is hard to imagine greater interest than that in a rehearsal of a play directed by Porel, who has the very genius of the stage and a limitless invention, when aided by my father, who was life itself. What art, what care is necessary to reach the point of illusion! How difficult it is to cause a character to move and to fix the entrances and exits!

At the beginning of winter the year before, Massenet had come to the house to rehearse his opera on the piano for the benefit of his chief interpreter Emma Calvé, the authors of the libretto Henri Cain and Bernède, and his friend Daudet. When the touching overture of the last act was reached, that long lamentation broken by sobs, my father was not able to withhold his tears. What did he imagine, what did he perceive through the waves of those sonorous agonies? He left us to imagine, but we shall never hear that piece of music again without trembling.

Portraits of Alphonse Daudet are numerous and some of them are very close to life. But what they are not able to render and what is forever lost is that voice of his with inflections as delicate and numerous as the sentiments it expressed. Devoid of the race accent but not of melody, it was as if filled with sunshine when the soul was gay, or again it trembled when the mood was melancholy. That voice has remained so completely in my ears with all its shades of sound, that when I open a book by *him* or when I quote some of his sentences, I seem to hear him talk. Irony was

revealed by a brief hesitation, a sort of stoppage in the midst of phrases which the listener himself sometimes had to finish.

His laugh was frank and splendid, full of an irresistible contagion. Some slight discontent, such as the small tiresome facts of paternity, used to be expressed now by silence, without pouting but very embarrassing, and again by side remarks, made "without seeming to mean it."

"Hello, you seem to be going out a great deal this week. . . . It seems to me, that work is n't getting on very well. . . ." and other innocent stratagems.

"If people attack my own, I turn into a savage beast." In his case that sentiment was not exaggerated. By the exercise of generosity, kindness, gentleness and humanity, he overcame his own nature, but at bottom that nature was violent and ardent in the extreme. A wound given to his affections was provocative of anger which was all the more dangerous because he knew how to control himself and await the hour for punishment, which, according to him, could not fail to arrive: "Just let destiny work itself out," he said to me when I was hot to avenge an affront, "she will shoulder the burden of your hatred." But in such things as affected himself alone he was always incapable of rancor: "Bah, life is too short!"

Nevertheless I ought to remark that he very rarely went back on his judgments and that people were not apt to escape from his scorn. Like those who love in good sooth, in friendship

he was very susceptible. Treachery struck him to the heart. And when he thought that he himself was in the wrong he would do everything in order to repair his fault and would confess it without a single drawback.

No man was ever less hypocritical; none detested so sincerely a lie: "That parasitical plant which grows in people's looks, voice, gestures and gait . . . which one has so much trouble in completely driving out."

So far as belongs to the comfortable things of life, my father did not care for them at all; he was attached only to some few very simple things and these always the same, his pipes, pen-stand, his ink-pot, little souvenirs we gave him which were strewn about his table. If we presented him with cigars he distributed them to everybody who came in during the day: "I have the greatest difficulty in the world," he used to say, "to make myself believe that anything whatever belongs to me."

Here is the end of those "moral" souvenirs which I wanted to bring together, lest along with Alphonse Daudet the atmosphere of his charm and tenderness should disappear entirely. And now, having come to the end of my task, I perceive how difficult it has been. Some will reproach me for having been too chary of "stories." I did that on purpose, believing that it is better to display the heart and soul of a man like my father than to fritter them away in episodes and anecdotes. What

more have I to add? In accordance with my soul and memory I have sketched the portrait of a *human*, simple yet complex, sensitive yet prophetic in mind, in the strength of his age and his works.

If it has happened that I have grown weak by the way, his great shade will pardon me for it, for that shade knows that I was sincere; hereafter it shall precede me on the short or long pathway of my life, even as formerly *he* guided the steps of his child.

LÉON DAUDET.

This 28th of March  
1898.

## APPENDIX.





# APPENDIX.

---

## CONCERNING THE IMAGINATION.

### A DIALOGUE BETWEEN MY FATHER AND ME.

TO MME. ALPHONSE DAUDET :

*Conversation is my greatest delight. To stroll through the field of ideas, to play the vagabond with words and points of view, to loaf about, regarding human beings and things — that seems to me the highest of all pleasures and the moment in life when a person is the least distant from the joys of imagination.*

*But one must have a good partner. Lovers of this lofty game prefer that all good qualities and all defects should show themselves in their full boldness and color; for instance, that the violent man should be violent, that the close reasoner should reason, the sensitive man should nervously lay bare his sensitiveness, the philosopher should coldly develop his theories. Every character is a good one which does not try to dissemble, because then fear of humbug makes the conversation heavy and deprives it of that flowing, unexpected and golden ease which makes it like the natural forces in their quick and lively beauty.*

*I have known feverish and fanatical talkers whose vigor was a thing to admire. A troop of reminiscences*

*and impromptus poured forth helter-skelter, as if through a portal of life, across the magnificent fields of their minds; and these memories were fragile, unforgettable winged things, and their impromptus rolled out with the thunder of a torrent, enriched by every surrounding object or whatsoever the heavens and aspect round about, whatsoever waters and gestures, whatsoever the fields or the streets might provide for the clever conversationalist — what time the prophetic tripod of his eloquence shudders hard and his opponent, contradicting him, shall start the flame of his imagination!*

*I have seen heavy wains which were difficult to start, but at last shook the very earth like the phenomena of nature; and I have known expert bowmen whose arrows reached the empyrean, like swallows in good weather. I have known subtle intellects who talked by means of symbols and of signs that expressed signs, just as the Japanese work in jade or ivory, and lovers of clearness who with their flamboyant metaphors pierced holes in the darkest shadows.*

*I have known scientific men supported by the "actual fact" and conscientious to the verge of craziness, and also poets whom nothing can stop, who are wildly excited by the absurd; and all those voices, heavy or sombre, shrill or light, feverish or calm, biting or whining, have in my recollection such an intensity that at times they return to vex my dreams.*

*I conversed best and most with my father. I shall permit myself to state this one only from amongst the crowd of truthful eulogies which my rôle as a son prevents me from declaring — that he, my father, is inexhaustible and always ready to engage, two wonderful qualities which every good talker will appreciate. In*

*addition to this, in order that the flame shall always be big and bright, he throws fresh wood all the time to that hearth where ideas and words are smoking. And to conclude, he listens to his partner and does not, like certain egoistical bores, drag him over upon his own ground. Any field is good for him, and, because of an inconceivably brilliant imagination, he makes it fertile at once.*

*Now it is just exactly the imagination which is our commonest subject—a rich and fertile matter without end that never wearies one. Through man's will imagination embraces the whole world, and in man's mind imagination causes the whole world to find its place. It is the grand treasury of poets, heroes and of all beauties. Imagination alone renders life possible, which without it is flat, monotonous and dark. It alone gives value to love, even to death and annihilation.*

*So, then, let us suppose that my father and I are walking arm-in-arm about the garden at Champrosay on one of those clear and golden mornings in summer when a bird seems to hide behind every leaf. I am hardly well awake after twelve hours of a dreamless sleep. But my father's voice puts life into me and little by little thaws me out.*

MY FATHER somewhat ironical, as one can see in that corner of his eye which I know so well).—Oh, oh, so you have a theory concerning the imagination! Beware of that; a theory is a hard load to carry, it is fearsome! Once you are in it you can never get out. One finds it necessary to fold and crease facts and deform them in order to make them fit that strange and uncomfortable trunk.

I.—But, my dear father, one has no clear ideas

without theory. Otherwise facts lie side by side like children's toys and the mind does not progress. Without general ideas our most acute sensations and most delicate sentiments remain in the domain of the animal kingdom.

MY FATHER (*getting excited*). — But let me tell you that oftenest these general ideas completely fool us and that one good fact which has been carefully observed by undimmed eyes is as vast and troublesome and as fruitful as any hypothesis you may mention. Of course I do not ask people to plant themselves on a note or a commentary ; I do not ask a man to be a mere observer, a fellow with a pair of spectacles or an eye-glass or any other kind of diminishing-glass ; but just look at Darwin, look at Claude Bernard — there are solid and true friends of reality ; I admire their way of doing ; their method is the one that delights me !

I. — They also built hypotheses.

MY FATHER. — Quite true ; but not in the way the metaphysicians do. They have observed this, and then that, and then the next thing. They tell you that ingenuously, like the poets they are, with a strong bias toward the picturesque. And they allow the obscure work of generalization to go on in the mind of the reader. . . . But I don't want to discourage you. So, then, you're going to unfold to me a theory concerning the imagination. . . . How did it come to you ?

I. — While reading Shakespeare and Balzac. As soon as we can get to the country, where, as you know, they compose along with Sainte-Beuve the library, I seize upon them with a lover's madness. There be the mistresses who never deceive you ! I know them by heart, the way you do, and still every time that I plunge into

them again my brain is the richer for it and I feel myself more energetic and alive.

MY FATHER. — 'T is the wine of life. . . . Magnificently does it circulate in man. They made a deep impression on me at an early age as they have upon you, and to such a degree that I can recall having made some character in Shakespeare, Polonius I think, the hero of one of my first stories. The names of Balzac and Shakespeare, Shakespeare and Balzac, are mingled in my mind ; I hardly separate one from the other. It has often happened that, confronted with a new person, or a new sensation, I have had recourse to them in order to label the said person or sensation with the name of one of their characters or with one of their stunning formulas. You have, of course, noticed the subterranean analogies between those two geniuses?

I. — Most striking analogies ! They have treated the very same subjects. Père Goriot and King Lear, Père Grandet and Shylock. *Les Chouans*, that admirable romance, has the same story as *Romeo and Juliet*, namely, love between two people which has been held in check by family or race hatreds. On this side are the Montagues, who are the same as the men of the White Cockades ; on that are the Capulets, who are the Blues. Montauran is Romeo. Mademoiselle de Verneuil is Juliet. And that odor of voluptuousness and death which sheds its perfume around the lovers in Verona renders the lovers of Fougères fragrant during their tragical wedding-night.

MY FATHER. — *Les Chouans* is one of my favorite books. It is amusing that you should have inherited that taste. What I perhaps admire the most in Balzac is his power of dialogue, the way he puts in the mouth of

each of his creations the exact word, what I call the dominant word, that phrase which displays and opens up a whole temperament.

I. — And yet Balzac was always a failure on the boards.

MY FATHER. — It almost seems as if his imagination was too powerful and representative for the footlights, the painted cheeks, the monologues and all the rest of the hypocritical cant of the stage. That monster carries everything along with him, both scenery and characters. And how well he knows how to place his footlights; how he understands the way of throwing light into a town or a ward or a room! And what an art he has for the gradations! To-night, after dinner, if nobody comes to bother us, let us read in *Les Chouans* to the children the assassination of Galope-Chopine by March-à-Terre and Pille-Miche. You remember it, don't you? . . . The mist-hung morning, the tragical approach of the two Chouans, their silence and their hats? And that drop of cider which falls rhythmically from the pitcher! There is a detail which would be ridiculous on the stage, and yet which, in the book, is sublime. And then those globules of milk which their knives crush against the surface of their thick hunches of bread! Ah, what a man, what a man!

I (*insidiously and with an eye on my theory*). — Yes, he contained all the rest within himself!

MY FATHER. — That's it — or at any rate he made them live again "according to the swing of his imagination" as old Montaigne would have said. When you have asked me in what talent consists, I have answered you, talent is an *intensity of life*. That is not a mere conventional explanation. I am firmly persuaded that

Balzac and Shakespeare had within themselves a number of violently excited lives, and that they had distributed them through their works.

I. — There you are right into my theory ! I shall try to be clear and not to jostle your *Latin* spirit, as you call it. There is one sublime faculty which the philosophers have neglected too much, and it is in my humble opinion one of the keys to nature : the faculty of *Imitation* or in the etymological sense *Hypocrisy* ; the desire to slip into the skin of another person, pull on his mask and submit oneself to the passions which torment him.

MY FATHER. — The desire of approaching other human beings and assimilating their habits of mind and opinions is just as violent as the contrary desire to resist them.

I. — Well then, that faculty of *hypocrisy* is common among human beings, but when pushed to the point of paroxysm in men of genius it constitutes their greatest beauty and their highest gift. By means of it Shakespeare is Shylock and Balzac is Grandet or Gobsek ; by means of it one is Rosalind, Desdemona, Miranda and then again Caliban, Richard III., Macbeth, and the other is in turn Madame de Maufrigneuse, Madame d'Esparre, the Princesse de Cadigan, and then Hulot, Philippe Brideau and de Marsay. It is perfectly certain that Shakespeare and Balzac did much more than observe the men about them and reconstruct life in accordance with their observation. They *metamorphosed* themselves into a multitude of characters and temperaments, whose mere outlines they possessed within them. Their works are the result of *two series of metempsychoses*. That is why they astonish us to such a



degree. That is why their dialogues are lit with a glare of truth so intense that the meanest characters show the reflection from it on their faces.

MY FATHER. — I just recall a speech by Balzac to a mystic writer nowadays little known, but a very eloquent one — to Raymond Brucker, author of *Chas de l'Aiguille*: “My dear Balzac, where do you make your observations of your heroines and heroes?” — “Ho, my friend, how do you suppose I can find time to observe? I hardly have time enough to write.” That proves that the mechanism which you indicate was known to Balzac himself.

I. — Balzac knew everything. An imagination like his has perceived everything and co-ordinated everything. He even had the gift of prophecy, since they say that he molded his own period on the model of the “Comédie Humaine.” In order to be a sibyl it was necessary only to reason rightly and to arrange the events in their right series. But do you not find that venturesome idea seductive — that a spirit should include within itself all the passionate or sentimental characteristics — in a state of germs; of course? Then observation would merely play the rôle of the resurrector. That idea would give meaning to those moral crystallizations which are called virtues and vices — those deeply-founded structures of avarice, pride, luxury, timidity, heroism, etc.

MY FATHER (*laughing*). — When the *association of ideas*, as the pedants say, is rich, it is called imagination. Such an hypothesis concerning the imagination would not displease me at all. In the morning when I get to my work-table and find in my portfolio all my characters standing about, waiting for the life which I am to blow

into them, I certainly seem to myself like that magician, or, if you prefer the word, that "hypocrite," who is so clever at slipping into temperaments and characters and at rousing sentiments and sensations by the light of the sparkles of memory.

I. — Are there not moments when your illusion is absolutely complete, and when, like the actor whom his *rôle* carries away and transfigures, you enter so deeply into the flesh and blood of one of your children of romance that you almost forget your own personality?

MY FATHER. — That happens seldom, but it does happen. It is very possible that with certain privileged writers the phenomenon may be habitual. I think it was Balzac who answered some one who reproached him for melancholy: "I am sad . . . I am sad, because I have just killed Vautrin!"

I. — This essay on the metamorphoses of the author into his different characters would be narrow if there were no continuations. I am astonished that our period has not produced a grand philosophy of sensibility, creating its own object according to Hegel's formula. All the philosophies which we have had up to the present day, all without exception, have been philosophies of the intelligence, grand systems very cleverly arranged by induction and deduction to tell how our brain acts when it studies itself. Pascal, that clever and nervous mind, that martyr with a singing soul, Pascal has conceived of the universe within us as a series in slight disaccord with the series of the universe without us, and has reconciled the two by means of Grace. By a prodigious effort Spinoza has united sensibility with intelligence, and by studying the woof of our impressions he made the discovery that it was identical with that of our judg-

ments. There cannot be two tapestries in the loom of destiny. We might have doubted it, had it not been for that great man, but we ought to be thankful to him for having painted an original picture of the human sentiments in so far as they are subject to reason.

All those monuments are admirable: *Le Discours sur la Méthode* and the *Pensées* by Pascal, and the *Ethics* and the *Monadology* and the *Foundation of the Metaphysic of Habits*, will rightfully remain the object of respect for future generations; but in a certain way they are the dwellings of the past. As little as we possess a truly modern architecture do we possess a modern philosophy which satisfies our existing culture.

MY FATHER. — Now you explain why philosophy has so little interest for me. It is all very well to apply and force myself, I yawn over the *Pure Reason* and the formulas of Spinoza have always given me the impression of a set of prepared skeletons.

I. — Because you are a complete “sensitive,” conscious and sincere. What you would like to find in a philosophical work is an attempt at explanation of those mysterious flashes of sensibility, those fugitive appearances which cause us to see a contrary emotion even in an emotion itself. Carlyle, Emerson, Novalis, Maeterlinck — there are marvellous dreamers for you; and very often the will-o'-the-wisps of the swamps leap and dance on their dreams, so intense and charged with intellectual fever are they. But although they have approached contemporary sensibility they have by no means taken possession of it yet. A book difficult to write would be an essay on the *sentient states of consciousness*.

It is certain that it will be written. It will spring

from a general desire for it, as all great and necessary works which are the offsprings of the times and of enervation do spring. Just as round our *over-refined states of sensation* (new words are surely necessary for new ideas) there rises a sort of vapor which excites and makes us lucid in mind, so in the same way certain signs announce a great epoch-making work about those periods which are fertile in intellectual work.

MY FATHER. — Here is one of my liveliest sensations. One day during a terrible heat I was crossing the Place de la Concorde which was shining and vibrating like a copper saucepan. A watering-cart passed by. A little butterfly was playing and dancing about the thin rain of drops which the cart was emitting and turning into vapor along a narrow luminous spray. That butterfly played and danced with a fever and an agility in following the jet of water and a sense of pleasure which seemed to enter into my spirit to an unaccustomed depth, and troubled me like the sensitive gradation-marker for every kind of intoxication and vivid enjoyment, it troubled me with the subtlety and ephemeral wisdom of such joys. Under that implacable hot sky, in a flash which was almost painful through its intensity, I had a glimpse of a multitude of impressions, some of them melancholy, some of them joyous, the exact sequence of which I should have great difficulty in recollecting, but they trouble me still, and too hot a sun recalls to my memory the *tumbril and the butterfly*.

I. — The philosopher of whom I speak and whom I would like to see would take account of observations like those; your anecdote is an admirable step in my argument. For every theory having to do with sensibility, every study applied to those miraculous regions whence

our power, our joys and pains derive, all philosophy at such heights, presuppose a thorough study of the imagination. For if reason and judgment govern ordinary acts and all movements which tend to struggle for life and preserve that life notwithstanding obstacles, the imagination and the faculty of receiving images regulate sensibility. Sensibility and imagination are two connecting terms. That is what is not sufficiently understood. When I see a very joyous or very melancholy child who knows how to amuse himself alone and do without his little comrades, thus affording the proof of a lively and a personal sensibility, I say to myself: there is a future "imaginative." It is a rule that never fails. The philosopher whom we are clamoring for should write it at the head of his essay.

MY FATHER. — Is it not sensibility which allows those metamorphoses to take place of which we were speaking just now? You know my love for vagabonds, for all those poor devils just the same color as the turnpike, who stop at the well to take a drink, and whose slightest movements I used to watch when we dwelt in that house by the side of the high-road? Well, you must not laugh, but I assure you that sometimes I have left my room and house and my own skin and have entered underhand into those organisms, penetrating those wretched longings and terrible thirsts, those frightful feelings of contentment in bread, wine and shade. There lies a chapter on sensibility! Was it pity, that great moral spring which led me on, or was it a central and basic curiosity which sharpened my sight and wits? I do not know. All that I know is that I have lived the life of those wanderers and nomads, of those unconscious poets. What delightful things might be written

about them ! Did you ever ponder — it is that *butterfly* which rouses me still — did you ever ponder on their long and deep melancholies, on all the beauties of nature which penetrate them without their knowing it, those grain-fields, that rustling and moving yellow sea of wheat-heads, the rose-colored swales and solitary woods where the rabbits hold their congregations, the fringes of the forest, so fresh and beautiful and impressive ? One day whilst you were still a child I was taking you the other side of the Sénart forest and we saw two white pigeons that were winging their way side by side beneath a thunderstorm, skirting the opaque and copper-edged clouds. Well, all that poetry of nature circulates through the vagabond along with his blood and his wretchedness, and so, in that philosophy which you talk of, it ought to form a chapter apart, because there lies an assemblage of true and primordial sensations.

(*After a moment's reflection*). — Now, you see, abstract ideas do not constitute healthy nourishment. Very soon they degenerate into a juggling trick and the mind that gives itself up to them loses in relief and color. The man who desires to talk about the imagination splits up his subject into chapters and for each chapter he devises a series of cold arguments. Why does he not proceed by examples ? Contemporary novels, the historical romance, such as we make it to-day, have taught me one thing : Everything hangs together in the moral world. All the while that certain given personages are in combination to form a given situation, beneath or above them a comedy or little drama plays itself along which is the “fresco” or caricature of that very situation and defines it ; very often a miser has in his dining-room a common print representing the *grasshopper's*

*visit to the ant.* Hamlet, preoccupied by a crime it is necessary to perform, receives the actors at Elsinore instigated by a marvellously just intuition, and orders them to play a scene which will give everybody a foretaste of murder and that terror which is felt when the torches are carried out. We are Hamlets for ever and ever. We never accomplish an act which is not accompanied by additional phenomena in which that act is reflected and made ready; alongside of the masterpiece nature accumulates conscientiously the preliminary sketches.

All this I say in order to explain to you why the philosopher, while he shall be tracing the similar laws of imagination and sensibility, ought to describe on a parallel line various examples and episodes to illustrate his text, just as we do for little children. I do not know a finer book than the work on English literature by Taine. Every moment the writer turns to the picture and shows us an example of his theory. His formulas are enriched by admirable verses from Shakespeare, Byron or Keats, or by some incisive tirade from Swift or Fielding. Literature is so abstract an art, so separated from actual things, that one cannot bind it to the earth by too solid and powerful chains. And I would make the same statement concerning philosophy, if indeed it cares to really touch us and make an impression upon our period.

*I.* — A convenient method is to describe human beings as types in order to make them carry that faculty of mind which one is studying. According to me, Balzac's works ought to be considered a phenomenon of the highest cerebrality, as a series of examples for just such a philosophy of feeling. Alive within himself the incom-

parable author of the *Comédie Humaine* had a power which permitted him to place outside himself those human characteristics with which his soul was filled. That power is Desire, "the essence of man" as Spinoza said, that desire which we all feel but which we understand so badly and of which *need* is nothing but a reduced image.

'Tis a singular result of modern civilization that *desire* increases while realization diminishes. The more society sets men in frames and fixes them into immovable ruts which are generally degrading and embruting, the more does it place them in contact with a number of luxuries and pleasures they cannot help longing for which become so many nightmares for them. Most of our fellow-men of the present day are in the condition of peasants after a visit to a Universal Exposition, whom the commonplace of their condition disgusts, who dream of dancing girls and houris, and kill their old parents in order to enjoy a single night of riot. This rise of *desire* has for corollary the rise in the number of suicides.

"Je sortirai, quant à moi, satisfait  
D'un monde où l'action n'est pas la sœur du rêve."<sup>1</sup>

Now in the case of Balzac, as with Shakespeare and Racine and Dante, action is the sister of imagination. For *desire* kills the man who lacks imagination, or sets him crazy; but it forces the imaginative man to find means to escape from a world which resembles him and has the imprint of his own exasperation and frenzy; but he is the grand creator of ideas and characters.

MY FATHER. — And then, through a strange concatena-

<sup>1</sup> "For me — with satisfaction would I spurn  
A world where action is not fancy's twin."



tion, beauty is the mainspring of *desire*, or if you prefer, the illusion of beauty. As a matter of fact there is where poetry delivers or rescues us. A spectacle too beautiful, an impression too vivid, incline our souls at once to melancholy. And if we are not able to cast our emotion into song, then that melancholy turns into sadness, and behold ! through the force of desire beauty becomes the spring and cause of pain. Beauty, Desire, Pain, are three stimulants of sensibility which the imagination softens, extinguishes and takes with it into its own depths.

There are hours in life when the reasons for the existence of things seem to be on the very point of appearing to us, when, leaning over to watch ourselves, we perceive the deep wheels going and the glittering sheen of our machine.

You remember seven or eight years ago a certain visit we made to Mistral in Provence? We passed a charming day filled with light and poetry, and Mistral, that great creator of dreams, had fairly intoxicated us, as much with his talk as with a marvellous wine. Toward the close of twilight we took our way towards Tarascon. It was the time of the wine harvest. Slow carts brushed past our quicker carriage, they were filled by laborers with faces proud in their lines and girls showing a pagan grace. How pale all those faces were above the long pale highway, underneath a sky, rosy to exasperation, where warm mists were floating ! The vine-dressers had hung bunches of grapes upon the wayside crossings, their offerings from remote antiquity. In that light air happiness, power and delight in work harmonized with each other to such an extent that the spectacle became a glorious one and our eyes became wet with tears, as

happens when all of a sudden Beauty raises her veil from her face. . . . (*a silence, then after reflection*) . . . . Yes, that is it, that is it exactly: Beauty, Desire, Pain.

I. — Such phases of excited feeling must have been the normal state of a Shakespeare or a Balzac. They only saw the outer world through the medium of the world which they carried in their own breasts, or the opera-glass of their imagination. And in fact all their characters, no matter how close they approach reality, share the mark of the Master, that is to say, something gaunt and excessive which from time to time seems to shock our good sense and ceases to move us. King Lear and Père Goriot degenerate into monsters of paternal love by the mere exercise of that love.

Do you not feel that those exaggerations are yet one proof more for the origin of those colossal works *within*. The greater number of human beings do not possess complete sentiments, or feelings that are pure, as when they leave the forge in heroic minds. They do not drip without much adulteration the wines of Love, Hatred, Pity, Anger and so forth. . . . They are willing to content themselves with vague mixtures, and so the hatred of this man is partly supported by fear, and the pity of that man is limited by his own egotism, and the remorse of yonder third is quenched by his rage.

In a word, passions for the majority of human beings become lessened in consequence of contact and mixture. They lose their sharpness, their edge and color. They become weak and without interest, because they cease to have anything in them which works toward splendid deeds.

Now it is among the "imaginatives," among those who are not afraid of surpassing reality, that we would

find the model and typical passions if they quitted the ordinary world. They subject them to the movements of their own soul, its fever and ups and downs. They lend them that beauty which consists in marching straight toward an end and to the extreme limit of reality, notwithstanding events or obstacles. Every one of their characters accomplishes his destiny impetuously and with an imperious air and drives life before him as if it were some great cloud of dust. There is a miser, Grandet. He will be miserly to the very verge of the mania of avarice. His hands and feet, his whole body will take the form of his own vice, his looks will take on the sheen of metal, each one of his words will be timorous, gloomy, but at the same time bearing the impress of a hard, implacable egotism. Here is a knave, Philippe Brideau. No one has gone so far and with such ferocity in the direction of knavery. One may take them all and stamp upon the brows of all some vice or some virtue. And that vice or virtue will be without mixture of attenuation such as existed in the first man.

Such works as those move us to such a degree because they spring from *Truth enlarged*.

MY FATHER. — Are we not close upon the old debate between the Real and the Imaginary? Although you do not belong to that period, you have heard of the howls which greeted Flaubert and his continuators, Zola, the Goncourts and me. People could not pardon us for introducing the ordinary facts of life into novels. Yet it is true that since then realism has lost ground and turned aside into vulgarity, and it is true that people have tried to find a doctrine where there was nothing but an emancipation. We demanded the right to talk about everything, treat every subject, select our characters and

pictures from any class we chose. It cannot be denied that *L'Assommoir* is a masterpiece, nor that *Germanie Lacerteux* is another. Good or bad, our opinions have given a lively jump to the national literature and certainly no one has a right to complain of that.

But the old reproach is different: "You wish to paint reality? Then you will be mere photographers, passive mirrors, phonographs, mere machines which reproduce what falls into their mouths or their pipes and moreover reproduce everything without choice or discrimination. You wish to paint reality; but we already know too much about reality. Reality is here about us every day; it besieges and throttles us. What we ask of art is precisely to take us away from the real and show us other faces, other skies, other lands than those about us which weary us by the monotony of their constant presence."

That is a specious reproach. It troubles one because it does include a certain modicum of truth. Absolutely unjust when it applies to writers like Flaubert, Zola, Goncourt, it does have grounds when it comes to wretched copyists and scribblers who apply without any talent formulas they have badly understood.

And the knot of the problem — that is why I am attacking it just now — the knot of the problem lies entirely in the *imagination*.

I. — I must confess to you that the adventures of magnificent characters, such as heroes, will always interest me more than those of little ordinary citizens; and I call magnificent characters not only kings and captains, but likewise philosophers, authors and artists. The bursts of rage which that which has been so coarsely entitled "naturalism" has provoked have nearly caused us to

turn to literature of exceptional characters. From this point of view *Symbolism* was an inevitable reaction.

MY FATHER. — It is not a question here of symbolism or naturalism. You know how little importance I have always given to schools and classifications. I hate them all. I belong to none of them.

We have to do here with reality and truth. Now there is nothing outside of the real. There is nothing outside of the true. And those two terms meet again in a certain virtue: Sincerity. Note that my formula is broad. A sincere lyrical writer is in the way of truth when he gives himself up to lyricism, and though he may change the lines of reality in accordance with the laws and build of his brain, remains true so far as his conscience is concerned. *He does not seek knowingly the false.* A sincere mystic is in the way of truth when he constructs his castles of clouds and mists according to his own consciousness and in accord with those changes in line or shape which that same consciousness of his produces in the real.

In other terms, reality is subject to the metamorphoses of the imagination, but without it, lacking such nourishment, the imagination would be grinding upon emptiness, it would break down and fall to ruins, turning into craziness or imbecility. And whatever may be the form and degree of the imagination, the owner of that imagination is sincere in regard to it, so long as he shows his products just as they come from his factory.

There are no forms of art, there are merely temperaments. Well, these temperaments are so numerous and varied that they never exhaust the real. An original spirit when it comes into this world may have the plan of reconstructing the world. As long as this world lasts

he will never use up all the innumerable resources which life, and the harms which life is subject to, present forever to the imagination.

Innumerable resources! I have lived a good deal; when still quite young I had the faculty of observing; and, so far as the imagination goes, I have known during my childhood all the terrors felt by corsairs, explorers and the abandoned ones. Well, every day I get a surprise and have some new observation to make; *and particularly I realize that I have made mistakes.* To recognize one's mistake and to confess it is the beginning of that science which has not yet received a name, and nevertheless 't is one that seems to me the highest and most important of all sciences, since it consists of extracting from life all the instruction which that life contains, since it includes morals, psychology and physiology, since moreover it does not destroy pity and does not excite pride, since it has neither professorial chair, nor pedants, nor institutes, and since it carries its recompense in itself.

I. — Is not that science in which imagination finds its resources precisely the school of sensibility?

MY FATHER. — It is that and something beside. You are right in saying that it enriches the imagination. The great "imaginatives," the great observers of the human heart, have carried that science with them as though it were some new organ in their breast and have used it as easily as they breathed or digested. It exists in every page of Montaigne, showing itself in that good-humored and fragmentary form which alone suits it, because it is a science which must fear axioms, deductions, formulas and other fribbles. It ought to wear its belt very loose. Pascal, who has enriched it with many

admirable discoveries, nevertheless had an imagination too mathematical for it, and since it is the science of life, what is necessary to it, beyond everything else, is a lively imagination.

I. — Do you believe that this science is destined to have a great future?

MY FATHER. — Greater than any other. Since Auguste Comte and the *Philosophie Positive* the scientists in all the exact sciences have been imagining that their progress was continuous and without limit. And they regard artists with disdain, because, as they say, they do not progress. Now in the first place, do we not progress, are we stationary? Down the long history of letters and arts, is it not possible to observe certain modifications of this *Sensibility* and this *Imagination*, concerning which we were talking just now? There is an entirely new problem and one which will not be wanting in interest!

But apart from all that, it does not seem to me it is at all demonstrated that the progress of science is continuous and without limit. In science as in art every original mind tends to quit the paths trodden hard by his predecessors in order to make a path for himself. It results from this that very rarely does a field of study in which some man of genius has accomplished tremendous progress continue to attract the attention of superior minds; the domain of science seems to me thus filled with regions of great importance which have not been thoroughly explored.

I. — If one were to study the movements and march of the scientific imagination in this century, one would find a striking confirmation of what you say in the list of discoveries. Since the time of Bichat, who has taken up

again the microscopic study of tissues and of their relations? a study which was entirely different from those histological labors which are so much the fashion nowadays. Since the time of Claude Bernard, who has taken up again the thorough study of the vaso-motor nerves and the source of animal heat? The school of La Salpêtrière has popularized the works of Duchenne of Boulogne, but it has completely abandoned the study of the relations between the muscular groups and manifestations of feeling, that is to say, the portion of the work of that great and misunderstood man which contains the most genius. What can be said of medical science in France during the last twenty years? The spirit of competition and of clique has destroyed all initiative among us. But the field has remained clear for men of intrigue and fools; a good many more stages are necessary before a reaction can be produced. Moreover it appears that the marvellous labors of Pasteur, which in the beginning were so bold and broad, are about to be wrecked in officialdom, and scarcely will be likely to have continuers. You are not wrong in believing that the lines of demarkation are more apparent than real and that in the one, as in the other, progress is occasioned by independent minds which are innovators and breakers of formulas.

MY FATHER. — All very true; but the *science of life* of which we were speaking might march on with giant strides, thanks to the multiplicity of its points of view.

The work might be divided up. As to that which belongs to the imagination, those who are earnest in considering abstract ideas might look upon the science under that aspect. In that way they might inaugurate that Philosophy of Sensibility from which you expect marvellous things, and which in any case would lift us



out of the problems of the Pure Reason to some extent.

Those who like myself are zealous servants of the "concrete" would occupy themselves less with imagination in itself than with the human beings who show it. Some of us would take the scientific man. Others would study poets. But examples, many examples! It is through examples that one gets lasting results. Consider Plutarch and Saint-Simon. Those who are fond of monsters will study the changes wrought in that sublime faculty which constitutes criminals and madmen.

I. — When it comes to intellectual enterprises, have you any confidence in co-operative labors? I would expect that more should result from the efforts of a single mind; a book for instance which would be for *Imagination* what Taine's book was for *Intelligence* — a clear and a correct summary.

MY FATHER. — I think the task would be very heavy for one person. If you wish we will search out some of the principal objects of such a work and trace a sort of general summary in big lines.

I. — Very well; nothing could be better for clearing up one's own ideas than to see how one should go to work to explain them to another person.

Let us begin by defining Imagination, and, as one describes a continent, mark off its boundaries, its relations as to neighborhood to or dependence upon other faculties.

MY FATHER. — I am no lover of definitions at the start. Besides, the framework of gender and species is very narrow for that voluminous faculty; it would burst.

I think it might be well at the start to lay down this chief principle: that *Imagination* and *Sensibility* are two

connected faculties, or else that *Sensibility* is the reservoir used by *Imagination*. Just now we sufficiently laid stress upon that point. But it is basic and it will at once assure originality to our work.

I. — That having been done and with examples in support (Balzac and Shakespeare will do admirably for our demonstration) we can establish the necessity of considering *Imagination* from two points of view . . .

MY FATHER. — The concrete and the abstract: 1st — Study of *Imagination* among individuals; 2d — Study of *Imagination* in itself.

After this rapid preamble we will enter at once into the heart of the subject by giving a few portraits of famous representatives of *Imagination*. Faithful to our method of using examples, we will take a poet, a scientist, a philosopher, an artist and a man of action. Our chief care shall be to demonstrate that this faculty changes with the innumerable forms of life, just so soon as it is a question of a living faculty. We are seeking laws and truths, but we shall insist on the *differences* and the *exceptions*. That method will reduce error to a minimum and stifle all pedantry.

It shows us what we really are, poor observers in a blind way and by no means arrogant men of theory hide-bound within their formulas even when their formulas are false.

For the poet we could not have a better example than Hugo; and for sub-title thereto "or sensitiveness to the word;" as a sub-title for Lamartine preferably "or sensitiveness to the rhythmic period," and that for Baudelaire "or sensitiveness to nicety." Poor Baudelaire! It was that long research for the exact word which killed him.

As to Victor Hugo, if we compare his verbal sensitiveness to sensitiveness to cold and heat for example, we perceive that his most admirable poems are veritable "shudders" — and prophetic shudders besides. In the wear and tear of life, through rubbing and degeneration, most words have become vulgarized, and so we employ words without force and lacking blood; they are mere anæmics. Thanks to his verbal sensitiveness Hugo has given all their energy, all their reflected gleams back to words. This kind of miracle takes place with him particularly through the placing of the word in the phrase. Just as jewellers present certain jewels at the most luminous point and after such a fashion that they shine with an unexpected and burning brilliance, so does he present a word. In his hands a word follows its unconventional *rôle* just as the butterfly before mentioned followed the stream from the watering-cart; here in the midst of the 19th century he gave back to the vocabulary all the burning power of the 16th century, whilst the terms used in a new way shone and flamed in the sunlight of the idea.

I. — But that verbal sensitiveness is a precipice. When Hugo makes an error he errs magnificently. He takes sonorous sounds for arguments and alliterations for proofs, and then he contents himself with puns pure and simple. This happens to him particularly when he assails ideas or those appearances of ideas with which lyrical minds proudly play, such as the briefness of existence, the probability that there is a superior justice, the difficulty of curing oneself of love, the cruel stress of remorse, the delights of liberty and so forth. In such commonplaces as these Hugo's mill grinds away at nothing. He always displaces the same amount of air,

whether his subject be beautiful or vulgar, so that his come-downs are simply colossal.

MY FATHER. — The results are peculiar enough if one compares the imagination of Hugo with that of Chateaubriand. In the latter there is a combination of verbal sensitiveness and sensitiveness to the period. Particularly does he excel in the promptness and lightning-like brilliancy of his descriptive wholes: here a happy and new epithet and there a fine noun, dull and abstract, or gleaming with a low color-note. So does Chateaubriand enchant us. This method is so characteristic of him that any two lines from his works are at once recognizable.

It seems that the phrase as Chateaubriand uses it has preserved the rhythm and movement of the sea; the rush of his crises comes from the farthest line of the horizon; their return is broad, quiet and majestic. Another example of sensitiveness to the period in writing, Gustave Flaubert, is the only one presenting in the same degree as Chateaubriand that verbal wealth which gives a sensuous satisfaction to one's mind when reading. But it is Normandy over against Brittany.

I. — One morning, after a wearisome night in the train, how well did I feel that relationship between Chateaubriand and his sublime source of inspiration, the ocean! I was making a pilgrimage to the crag of the Grand Bé. All the horizon of Saint-Malo was powdered with a fine and penetrating rain. Gulls were wailing through the damp air, and along the suburbs of the city, those crooked, green suburbs, the drums of the military school were beating. I seated myself near the balustrade which guards the splendid sepulchre; of a certainty that glorious horizon excited me no more than did

the name etched in the stone. But it caused me to understand him who lay beneath. The author of *René*, the bold poet perched at the portal of the 19th century like an eagle on his crag, he at least had the *rhythm of the high sea*. As in the big sea-shells that were about his bedroom as a child, so in every one of his phrases that humid expanse was condensed, that expanse where the wailing gulls turned and twisted. What I perceive hovering above his literary work is the sky of the ocean, heavy, impenetrable and without limits, that breeder of fogs and misery, that risk-filled sky and melancholy, which is ever mustered by glances of disquiet. Thus did the lighthouse of the French tongue cast its rays over our wretched epoch, as if in the midst of the waves where they tumble in a majestic tumult underneath the vast gray expanse. One's sensations experience an unexpected grandeur whilst traversing the works of that man. Rain, wind, forests and ocean, or by way of contrast the grandeurs and miseries of human beings, unroll themselves from the depths of his brain and carve pictures grandly upon the live rock of the written word. The imagination of that great nomadic bird was ever turned toward his black sun, Death; it was the thought of death which filled him with melancholy and a disdain so magnificent that the world was darkened thereby, and with a bold and haughty irony which dared to attack yet another glory, the glory of that other eagle there before him: Napoleon.

MY FATHER. — Oh magnificent imagination of Brittany, imagination opposed to that of my race, but one that I passionately admire — the ocean, the North and its fogs! At the dawn of light I drank my glass of brandy in the little yellowish house and then I embarked

on the pilot boat which left the port of Quiberon, and there lay the soul of Brittany all about me . . . (*after a moment's thought*) Chateaubriand, Lamennais, Renan are the most splendid revolutionists of the century, tremendous figures carved in granite upon which the flying scud of glory ever beats! And those severe images which haunt them are the images that rose from their old, black, heroic country where ocean and mankind forever war upon each other.

I. — Should we not note here, in those powerful minds, the extraordinary impression which was made by the images which they perceived all about them during their childhood? Entering into their brains at the time when brains are most impressionable, those images became an integral part of them and developed as they developed. The ocean, sky, forests and mountains, those were their natural outlooks, those the horizons which were destined never to be forgotten. They must have been insinuated into them through the slow attention given them in childhood, or else during those moments of excited feeling which constitute the deeper life of a person. This cloud or that shade in the water, or yonder shape of tree, river or plain, were the phantoms which haunted them and gave to their work that majesty, that sombre glory which belong only to nature and to them. Guardians of the sublime secrets which the natural world whispers to the child, when they became men they preserved in themselves the eternal majesty of space.

Thus the words of Chateaubriand give us glimpses of horizons which move us deeply. Thus Victor Hugo has a part in the rose-colored morning, feels the heavy heats of noonday and falls asleep in the golden edge of twi-

light. That which their little eyes looked upon during the fevers and transports of their years of growth, grew grander with increasing age. Images conjure up images. At first appeared athwart the vague whirlwinds of memory that rose-tinged shore where shadows fall. Thereafter came the melancholy of that moment, and the sensation which is grafted upon it, as when at the barking of the shepherd's dog the staggering sheep creep close together in a flock. And the sensations of early manhood made those pictures only more vivid. When love appeared in the burning heart of the famous one, it called at first upon all the living forces of early youth, the gladsome awakenings and the days burning with unconscious desires. Since love renders everything more beautiful and rich, it causes the memory to pour forth a multitude of keen and brilliant sensations, which furnish food to prose or poetry.

A young girl singing as she turns her spinning-wheel — that to the mind of Goethe is the most lively image of chastity and grace. It is an image which returns many a time in his works. If it is Clara waiting for Egmont, or Margaret waiting for Faust, that purring of the spinning-wheel gives the cadence for the amorous attempt. I have always imagined that when he was a child Goethe had that spectacle before him. If one should make a selection in their literary work from the early years of the greatest poets of those parts which relate to imagination, I am sure that the part such things play would astonish people. It would astonish them because we forget the extreme vividness of first sensations ; but although they are benumbed they remain living even in the most ordinary men. And sometimes they come of a sudden, returning to inspire old

age with joy or sorrow at the sight of long-forgotten faces indistinct of feature.

MY FATHER. — In the case of those whom genius has touched with its wing, such sensations afford a constant happiness. Look at any one of them — Goethe, Hugo, Chateaubriand, Renan — how they turn their heads backward with a melancholy smile ! See them bending over their own cradle. But in the most secret part of their souls there are regions which have never been explored, whence their singular dreams mount up. Whatever their little hands have touched, whatever their young eyes have seen, haunts them thereafter, and haunts us. Their finest pages are gemmed with the dew of youth.

Besides, imagination likes to explore the regions of the unconscious. It plunges into the black depths of our soul and brings forth those miraculous dreams, that which touched and tempted us, and especially that which troubled us when we were making trial of our own senses and were acquainted with but few of the forms of the vast world.

I. — The first time that I turned the leaves of Hokusai's *Mangoua*, those extraordinary albums where the genius of the most impressionable of all draftsmen has tried its flight, I felt an intuition of the meaning of such wandering forms. Goethe said that our imagination was not able to trace a single line or appearance which did not previously exist in a real and possible state of existence. It might really seem as if Hokusai had found in his own soul quite as much as in the enormous reservoir furnished by nature that sensational crowd of trees, unknown animals, attitudes, movements and objects of which he *delivered* himself by means of his drawings. Exactly as Balzac and Shakespeare



did, so this powerful artist traced on paper, not mere copies of the external world, but a series of figures projected from his own brain. When he talks to us about his dreams, and when he puts his wits to work tracing them — and doing it with what vigor and relief! — we must understand by that that he *dreamed Nature*.

MY FATHER. — But isn't that exactly what children do? All the time that the world is blooming about them and offers itself to the delicate tentacles of their senses, a parallel world is boiling within them, a world over which they have in no sense the mastery. The meeting of these two circuits, their combination or *mulatière* creates their originality as geniuses.

And then whatever the imagination of the child has taken hold of, just as it is with the imagination of the artist, is in a state of constant movement. The child and the man of genius *see things that have no motion move about*. They are struck by accordances and analogies, they perceive that closely woven web in nature where everything has its place and each thing accords one with the other and where the reverse of the web itself has no deception in it. Because the reverse consists of the science and order with which the threads cross each other, whensoever the face of the tapestry itself consists of art and beauty. When Albert Dürer places side by side a mass of hair and a falling stream of water, he knits the world together like a child by the employment of such exterior resemblances.

Such reflections have carried us aside from the poets, but it is right to vagabondize a bit when one is occupied with a wandering faculty. Particularly in our century have there been poetic and literary imaginations of a very strange sort which would fit well in our museum;

among the Anglo-Saxons and the Germans still more than among us, I think, because the images in the North, troubled and numberless as they are, growing one from the other, are very different from the images in the South, among which lucidness is never wanting. This is demanded by the Latin law.

I. — The inheritance of the Aryans has been divided between two great captains. I acknowledge that heretofore I have accused you of exaggeration when I saw you giving so much importance to questions of race ; but to-day, since my education has broadened, I see very well that you are right. As it always happens, great causes determine great effects, and a theory of little causes — the theory of Cleopatra's nose — is a mystification, for many other motives would have been necessary. beside the paradox Pascal has offered, before the whole face of history could have been changed.

It is by studying the writers, poets and artists in general that one understands best the influence upon the imagination of latitude. In the North images are tumultuous, heavy and filled with germs of fermentation. Of course one should avoid too easy analogies, but the Valkyrs of god Woden who fill every point of the compass with their furious galloping are in good sooth the daughters of the mists. Just to quote a poet haphazard — the distance between the poems of Robert Browning and those of Frédéric Mistral, that is pretty much the difference.

MY FATHER. — Beyond everything else the Southern imagination is violent and rapid ; but in its greatest frenzy it keeps in touch with reason and holds solidly thereto. Its lucidness is sometimes more apparent than real. There are cool springs whose limpid waters

mask their depth. In any case it never becomes intoxicated with itself. There is nothing of the somnambulist, nothing of the artificial in it. It remains always *sticking close to life*. Sometimes writers of a Southern race have attempted to tear themselves away from their natural temperament in order to be misty, abstruse and symbolical. But there comes their Latin clarity to bridle them, envelop and restrain them, forcing them to remain within the limits of the comprehensible, no matter what they may desire ! These contortions amuse me. Unquestionably the hypothesis of limpid horizons which oblige the imagination to stay ever transparent and direct seems commonplace. But is it not a right hypothesis and one not to be gainsaid ?

But apart from questions of race, and on parallel lines with them, what is more amusing and side-splitting than the case of Népomucène Lemer cier, the author of the *Panhypocrisiade* ? Certainly he was a man of imagination, but of Latin imagination. And the more the wretched fellow tried to escape from clearness and the basic qualities of his own intelligence, the more did the tapes of commonsense bind him down and make him impossible for any artistic diversions. He was strange, it is true, but his strangeness was classic, obedient to rules and regulations, forced into an extraordinary mold.

The imagination of the North, and I beg you to understand that there is no fault-finding in my words, is on the contrary in its essential nature complex. Without question it would have been impossible for Carlyle, as well as Browning, or Jean-Paul Richter, or Walt Whitman, to express himself in a transparent fashion. Those subterranean analogies of which we

spoke just now, that obscure network of the universe possessed them to the point of madness. The beauties in their works are like torches waved with tremendous power in the blackest shade.

Carlyle's explanations, like those made by Jean-Paul, only make the blackness blacker. These men move with ease in a mysterious land. Similar sounds, words and formulas that seem to clash, analogies and alliterations take on a sibylline aspect in their style and are the source of perpetual dreams.

I. — But, as a Latin, do you not feel a sort of repulsion or compulsory shrinking from such masses of symbols and from those precious stones in which truths and enigmas of color are as it were in suspension?

MY FATHER. — I have moments when those troublous images seduce me too. I understand marvellously well how a certain class of minds should delight in them and refuse every other nourishment. They render one's palate *blasé*, and everything else seems tasteless. I only find fault with the fact that obscurity often only covers some matter of very little importance which would not attract attention if it were transposed into simple terms. When a whirlwind of ideas and impressions falls upon poets, it is allowable in them to translate those ideas and impressions in that sequence under which they present themselves, lucky enough to be able to fix the mystery thus forever. What is to be objected to very strongly is the habit of intentionally obscuring one's language.

But for a Northern imagination there are plenty of ways of being cloudy and yet sincere. Now it is an analogy, or all the glittering following of some word or some thought, which carries away pen and mind. Again

it is a poet like Swinburne for example, who digs down until he arrives at black and unexplored regions where his own little smoky lamp alone can guide him. In one case lyrical impressions present themselves to the mind with a tremendous tumult and a rising of the mists which he who translates them respects. In another case extreme brevity and a praiseworthy attempt to make a formula precise and rare bring together words of a narrow and hard meaning, which one finds great difficulty in breaking up.

Lovers of the shadowy are right in alleging that we are surrounded with mystery, or, to use the charming excuse made by Stéphane Mallarmé, that one has to *write with black on a white ground*. But is there not a primordial convention without which a work of art would become impossible, according to which one must believe oneself in possession of a certain stability and light, certain laws in whose shelter the book, drama or painting flourish, before one attempts to tell the story, paint the picture, or make oneself manifest in any manner whatsoever? That which causes obscurity in some people is the fact that they make everything uncertain in their first sentence; after that they move along in an artificial and terribly complex world, in which words have an unexpected meaning and sonorous sounds attract or repel each other and everything happens just as it does in dreams where no will acts as guide to appearances and acts and everything floats in a sea of the inexplicable.

I. — By way of the names of Hokusai and Albert Dürer we have reached the question of Imagination among draftsmen and painters. There are two fields of feeling here quite distinct one from the other, that of

*line* and that of *color* — Leonardo da Vinci and Rembrandt for example.

MY FATHER. — One primary and important observation — the duel between light and color, to which in his *Italian Painting* as well as in his *Flemish Painting* Taine seems to me to have failed to give enough attention. The antagonism there is a real one. The most vivid image of this question, so far as I am concerned, consisted of a flower from Spain which happened to be placed in the yard of that little house formerly occupied by Eugène Delacroix, which we were then occupying. When evening came and the sun gradually died out that flower and shrub flamed like the host above the altar.

I. — That remark receives confirmation through a visit I made to a certain great museum in the North, that of Amsterdam. The Rembrandts, the Halses, the Terburgs, the Vermeers of Delft have been the real kings of color. A low and gray sky, or else some snow-filled heaven corresponds especially well with the delightful shades of color in houses and canals in their country. In such circumstances colors spring forth with a most extraordinary violence. One can believe that in a certain way they have forced the eyes of painters and aided in the formation of the earliest pioneers among the realists.

As to the imagination, it exists there in details, exactitude and intensity. Rembrandt imagined a special world of his own, containing a warm and sumptuous atmosphere in which a shadow has the soft heaviness of velvet; with him faces and bodies are always placed just at the intersection of this light and this shade, in such case that they offer an alternation of gold and dark red and shadows.

However dangerous and deceiving parallels may be, it does not seem to me that those were wrong who compared the imagination of Rembrandt to the imagination of Shakespeare. Just like the Dutch painter, the English playwright has an atmosphere entirely his own, which is like some emanation from his genius ; and his characters play alternately from a golden richness to a deep black, which causes them to approach those unforgettable captains of the "Ronde de Nuit" and those stupefying "Drapiers." Virtues and vices, kindnesses and brutality, dreams and acts, perform in his case the duties of light and shade.

Another series of reflections came to me, but always with regard to Rembrandt, when I made a visit to the museum at Madrid and mentally compared the marvels there with the marvels in the Amsterdam Museum., It seemed to me that the imagination of Velasquez and Rembrandt differed radically and completely from each other. That shows itself in the different way each had of arranging their masterpiece. Just go up to the portrait of his mother by the Dutch master and see if you can find any traces of work or excitement or vibration of the brush. No effort, no suggestion of *subjectivity*, if one may use pedantic language, is to be seen. The painter has reproduced life in its full relief and warmth, but he has remained impersonal, and that life which he has depicted is covered with a glaze under which the traces of labor are no longer visible.

On the contrary, walk up to the "Fileuses" by Velasquez ; whatever of carefully directed brutality, subtle vehemence and restrained audacity show themselves to the observer through the furious play of the brush, the frenzied spread of the impasto, the sudden jets of gray,

rose and black — those burning and dead colors the secret of which he carried off with him — whatever of such things the brain of a man of genius can contain, spring to the sight. In the presence of that magnificent “go” a kind of hallucination befalls one. The artist seems to be caught at work. One seems to see him painting a hand which moves, painting it in five strokes of the brush, or bringing out an embroidery by a few scratches of his palette knife, or inclosing in a pearl the reflection of some figure, or in some figure the reflection of a race.

Are there not two distinct forms there clearly and decisively apart — are there not two opposing inspirations there? When Rembrandt had finished that portrait of his mother, Rembrandt had disappeared. But in the canvas of the “*Fileuses*” Velasquez is always there. He shows the secrets of his method and seems to defy those who are to follow him.

MY FATHER. — According to that, his imagination would be nearer of a kind to Frans Hals, whose tremendous “go” is equally plain. I recall a dining room entirely hung with panels by this master. It was life itself.

I. — Ah that little museum of Haarlem opposite the church on the little provincial square! Seated about a table at some excuse for a meeting of an archery club are all the varieties of human sensuality, bold and manifest in their several types. There’s representative imagination pushed to the point of paroxysm! The timid man, the arrogant, the savage, and the man who is conciliatory, and the man who hates his neighbor in secret!

The old regents of the club near by with their wrinkled faces and trembling hands signify the decay of the



human body, the slow usage and decline of life. One of them exceptionally has an unctuous and waxen face with a veritable patina on it which makes it look like old ivory. Frans Hals more than any other artist has proved that it is possible to be symbolical by giving an exact representation of reality.

MY FATHER. — I am thinking of another painter, this time a Spaniard, but one who had an extraordinary sensitiveness for cruelty and pain, and was possessed with an overwhelming imagination . . . Goya. All the while that he spends his wit on bull fights and fills the black shadows with figures of enthusiastic spectators, whilst the principal actor, squat and black, while the *beast* hurls itself ahead, while the fatal trumpets blow — all the time that he carves with his pitiless and savage burin the "Horrors of War," bloody scenes from the Inquisition, monks with mouths distended by a holy ferocity — all the while that he trifles with the elegancies, caprices and perverted smiles of little rosy faces, he remains anguished and cruel through the force of reality, and even through the force of color, which runs through all the shades of blood whether dry or fluid, in jets or sheets or blotches. He represents a barbarous epoch.

I. — If we leave to one side the technical imagination of painters in so far as it touches drawing and color, the other portion of their minds seems for the most part to be somewhat akin to the dramatic art. But according to my idea Rembrandt and Velasquez are not in their moved and decorative pictures at the best of their genius. Marvellously do they render the perpetual *static* drama of existence, that namely which lurks in a smile, a finely twisted mouth, a gesture, or even for example some window through which we see an interior, a garden, a

knot of people assembled, or else a single person, a clown, a court jester, a philosopher, or in a princess or in a horse. There is a general theory spread among men of the profession that *painting should not express ideas*. Rather does it seem that painting should express ideas after its own fashion; the imagination of great painters can be just as rich and excited as those of great playwrights. Painting has the advantage of restoring for us the speechless, motionless and intervening part of the drama or irony of life, that part, namely, which the drama can legitimately make real because it is always in movement and sounding. But at certain moments the arts approach each other and merge one into the other. That is why the plays of Ibsen, with their characters who keep silence for long moments and are so preoccupied with looks and the fleeting aspect of things and with their own changelessness, are not entirely without connection with the pictures of the great Holland epoch, for the latter are an apotheosis of intimate and interior life — among which some lives indeed include so large a proportion of the tragical !

The imagination excites itself most vividly when it receives very little material, but that material sincere and arranged in proper order. In all the modern theatre is there a single scene more impressive than that assemblage of merchants, than that council of regents about a table? What are they at? We do not know what it is that these men with heads like cats, these old men with weasel heads are discussing. What moves us is the expression of their faces. Notwithstanding their speechlessness, a flood of profound utterance, a dialogue in Shakespeare's manner seems to us to flow from those mouths with thin and yet ruddy lips.

MY FATHER. — Ambulatory life shows us a similar dramatic side in the swiftly passing spectacles of the street or the fields. An old man reading at a window or a balcony, an old woman grafting her rose bushes, a young man and young girl seated at twilight on a terrace, a peasant in his field — all these human beings bowed down by the monotony of their life are so many interior images whose leaves we turn in our reveries. Without willing it we create concerning and about them little stories. We fabricate the circumstances; and I believe that artists can never be too rich in visions of this sort. These guide artists toward truth of rendering, right slant of the light, the expression of faces, characteristic attitudes, calm gestures and looks; the bringing together of all these elements brings beauty into life. For my part I have never ceased feeding my imagination with such spectacles. Many of them have remained so vivid in my memory that I no longer know whether they belong to art or life.

I. — Dutch painting makes me think of one of the most singular phenomena of the history of art and therefore of imagination. There are epochs in which minds are exercised in favor of painting, as in the seventeenth century in Holland, or for the dramatic art, as in the sixteenth century in England and in Spain, and in the seventeenth century in France. All of a sudden we are face to face with a simultaneous blossoming out of very dissimilar geniuses, but all of them abounding in power, all marked by love of life and forms, *all observers of windows*. That may last twenty, thirty or forty years, and one masterpiece follows another; art passes on with giant strides, refines itself here and excites itself there and shines with incomparable splendor. Then all of a sudden an unknown

hand takes away the torches. Queen Mab flies with her little laugh. The shadows darken down. Feeling for life is lost. Behold the reign of flat works, of allegories and imitations! After Rembrandt comes Lairese. After Racine, behold Voltaire! How explain such changes as these?

MY FATHER. — Enough to state them. In the most gifted man sensitiveness is not forever. In an artist those wretched hours “without grace” as I call them, are very frequent, and to be compared to that dryness of soul feared by theologians. Do not the physiologists demonstrate that there exists a *periodic insensibility of the heart*? It is to be noted that such phases follow periods of excitement, periods of those intoxicated moments when nature gives up her secrets. What takes place in the individual is doubtless repeated by the race. Imaginations have need of periods of silence and rest. Then it is that the torch of Lucretius falls by the way.

I. — There is one art which has been silent a long while, yet is the most impressive of all, since it consists of history fixed in place, I mean architecture. You know the saying: *stones no longer speak*. Who will ever explain to us why at a certain given period the soil of Europe began to bristle with a harvest of churches which raised the voice of faith toward the sky? Outside the pale of religion there were always palaces and magnificent buildings and even most curious dwellings of citizens. All that is gone. In some unknown city a haphazard stroll by twilight, which mercifully softens the actual ugliness of the scene, permits us to divine the old lyrical beauties of architecture like gray, antique lace; but, for our contemporaries, there's a sense dead and gone!

MY FATHER. — That is not true for music at least. . . . Wagner was a phenomenon in this century just as he will be one in the time to come, and no one is more fruitful than he in remarks of every sort.

He was a man belonging to another age. Nevertheless he found a way to our nerves and our brains far more easily than one would have thought. If imagination has representatives he was one of the giants. A Northern imagination, it is true, on which all the beauties and faults of the North have left their impress. He insists, he insists with violence and tenacity, he insists so pitilessly! He is afraid that we have n't understood. That language of motives which he has imagined and of which he makes such a magnificent use has the fault of leaving us very often with an impression of weariness and satiety. It becomes a veritable cause for suffering in the case of his many and odious imitators, because nothing is so terrible as ideas imposed by another, nothing is worse than routine.

Still, it was absolutely necessary for him to invent that system of motives in order to utter that connection between the drama and music realized by him, a connection so perfect that his characters seem to us clothed in sound. Besides, these motives ally them in an irresistible way, sometimes a happy way, with the grand circumstances of life and their destiny. And finally they express those mysterious things which remain unexpressed but understood in the libretto.

In Richard Wagner the imagination is so representative and violent that it saturates his work to overflowing with all the sounds of nature and leaves a limited space for the episodes. The passion between Tristan and Isolde plunges into the tumult of the ocean which over-

whelms it, then it appears on the surface, then it plunges under again. One invincible power raises the waves and the souls by a single movement. In the poem of Wagner, water, fire, the woods, the blossoming and mystic meadow, the holy spot become the more powerful characters. In this paganism of to-day all nature has become divine.

Your generation is accustomed to these splendors, this torrent of heroism and life, but you cannot present to your fancy the impression which that music exercised on men of my age. In cold truth it transformed us. It renovated the atmosphere of art. Then it was that I understood the vanity of all those discussions concerning realism, lyricism and symbolism. There is everything in Wagner, and in everything he is admirable, because there is nothing in him which is pedantic or intentionally low. Turning his face toward Gayety he wrote the *Meistersinger*, turning toward Pain, Love, Death, the *Mütter* of Goethe, he wrote *Tristan und Isolde*. He made use of the entire human piano-forte, and the entire superhuman piano-forte. Cries, tears, the distortion of despair, the trickling of water over rocks, the sigh of the wind in the trees, the frightful remorse for incest, the song of the shepherd and the trumpets of war—his tremendous imagination is always at white heat, and always ready.

That imagination of his, excessive and feverish, has not only renovated music but has also overwhelmed poetry and philosophy. Although theories disquiet me, still I feel them trembling in Wagner behind each one of his heroes. The gods talk of their destiny and of the conflict of that destiny with the destiny of men, they talk of ancient Fate in a way that is sometimes obscure,

but with a rush and go that make one forget to question them. It is the famous wall of the *Légende des Siècles*, crowded with tubas and the trumpets of Sachs, tumultuous and glittering in their mass.

I. — Do you think it would be possible to analyze the imagination of a man of that sort?

MY FATHER. — Everything can be analyzed, but it would be a pity to take the divinity down from a pedestal. Let his methods remain in the dark like his orchestra ; his sensitiveness, which was one of a special kind, seems to me before everything else *legendary*. It is quite possible that he desired to have characters of a size suitable to their surroundings, and that one would feel uncomfortable while considering ordinary men who should be victims to the Ocean of Tristan, or to the Forest of Siegfried. What difference does it make? He succeeds in moving us with these superterrestrial passions. In *Tristan* humanity has a larger part. Those are our own wounds which are bleeding in the flesh of the lovers, wounds that the sacred spear, which the hero brings back with him, shall never heal.

I. — I have heard amateurs of music uphold the following thesis — that the musical imagination has no need of the dramatic element in so far as it is manifested by the characters and passions: "The drama" say they "lies in music itself and the architectural development of the different parts of a symphony in which everything holds its place, binds and helps the one the other, and produces a veritable construction of sounds. The classic phases of the symphony are modelled with a truly Platonic sagacity upon the movements of the soul whensoever a vivid emotion powerfully shakes that soul and the latter sings its agony after the wound has been

received. *Andante, Adagio, Allegro, Scherzo, Finale* — those are the stages of sensibility which philosophers did not invent and which correspond to the sublimest reality, an intuition for which only belongs from age to age to a few privileged persons."

I am ready to adhere to that opinion whenever I hear a symphony of Beethoven. It even seems to me that at that moment my emotion includes a deeper and rarer quality than it does when listening to a fragment of the *Tetralogy*.

MY FATHER. — It were better to say that the masterpiece by Beethoven being more concentrated and closely woven makes a total impression upon you in a much shorter time than does a drama with its necessary stops and changes of scenery and delays for explanation. Now it is necessary in our study to give a large measure of consideration to the element of *duration*.

There are some people cast in a lighter metal who immediately begin to vibrate and emit a sound of enthusiasm which quickly stops even as it was produced. There are others of a thick and resisting bronze who retain the transmitted vibrations. There are minds which are slow to put themselves in motion, lazy imaginations which, when they have once been persuaded and captivated, will not easily abandon that object which has been given them for food. They transform it in a thousand ways. It has entered so deeply into their being that there is no longer a fear that it will escape. It has become part of themselves and of their individual structure. With people of that sort, apparently massive in their make, the phenomena of the outer world assist a genius powerfully, since they slip into the flesh and blood of the artist and undergo there his own vicissitudes.



It thus happens that many creators have a tremendous but restricted originality. With them everything turns to intensity. Everything that reaches them from the outside suffers at once the changes of their individual rules and impressions; whilst others have a far broader field of humanity which can be ploughed and sowed throughout its entire extent. It seems to me that Beethoven belongs to the former category.

In relation to him you spoke of "Platonic sagacity." The state of soul to which Plato has given his name might well merit a place to itself in our little work. Platonism would mean that the imagination submits itself to laws which would give it more energy whilst moderating and guiding it.

A person who feels a powerful impression and who is gifted with extreme sensibility is naturally inclined to restore that immediate impression to the world in the shape of some work of art, some picture, poem or symphony. The method is entirely instinctive and it causes our brain to be a veritable vat in which fermentation goes on. It captures the quick admiration of those who require violent emotions, and that is the greater number of men. But there is a great class of artists, painters and philosophers who will not admit that the mind is not influenced by a rhythm and does not obey a certain harmony, which represent a higher condition and the beauty of human intelligence. What Plato taught to his disciples was measure, that is to say a mental equilibrium which has a perfect horror of monsters and will not be satisfied with a hasty and confused improvisation.

The state of mind which has been named for Plato and of which he was certainly the finest example, is

brought forth by that same self-control, by those deep ponderings which are destined to purify images and by that intimate and rich arrangement which puts the bridle upon lyricism; this moral state is found again in literature at all ages and throughout the entire field of art. It is found in Beethoven. It furnishes the artist with a mysterious and well-contained beauty and a wider action upon the human soul, because that which it creates is subjected to deep-going movements, to the rhythmical motion of the human soul at the time that it is filled with noble thoughts.

Happy are those beings of imagination compact who have known how to control their own mental images and have not allowed the tumultuous products of their brain to escape from them like a torrent that often rolls down mud! Such concentration and self-mastery make a long duration of admiration certain, one hardly knows why. It is well knit to intelligence, it includes also the power of order and equilibrium.

To return to music; with respect to that, I own to the infirmity or the virtue that I love it so much, I find it difficult to make a selection. I love military music passing down the road, the thunder of the sea, the gale through the pines. What puts me into an enthusiastic condition with Richard Wagner is just that impressionability of his for every sound in nature; how that same nature does saturate and overwhelm his work, as it were with the force of a hurricane! His orchestral parts cradle and swing me to and fro. His gentleness and his power cause me to pass within a few hours through the most powerful emotions, emotions in fact for which no one can fail to be grateful forever to the man who has excited them, because they reveal our inner

depths to ourselves. I love and admire Beethoven also for the wide and peaceful landscapes which he knows how to open up in the soul of sound, in what I am in the habit of calling "the other planet." Italian music enchants me and in Rossini I experience that extraordinary impression of melancholy anguish which an excess of life gives us. There is too much frenzy, too much movement; it is as if one were trying to escape from death. I adore Mendelssohn and his delicious pictures of nature, such as the *Symphonie Romaine* and the *Symphonie Écossaise*. There are certain hours toward nightfall when the soul of Schumann torments me. . . . But to number them all would be never to end. I have lived through the power of music; I am a dweller upon its planet.

I. — It seems to me that we have brought back some useful observations from our rapid incursion into the arts. But the arts are not all alike. There are men in whom imagination is forever bridled by their will. They are interesting for us to observe, because in such cases we see that same faculty warring with another faculty which constrains and limits it.

Happy are they who are able to manifest clearly those sentiments which move them and cause the world to partake of their transports! As you have remarked in a celebrated phrase, the imagination of the orator has like everything else its license, its broad play-space, its free development. It increases its power through that special kind of intoxication which comes to the orator from the listeners and fills him constantly with new energy. The length of time is of special importance when it comes to this. For rapidity is its first necessity, since the man who uses his imagination in public must

before everything else imagine quickly and truly. Truly, that is to say, in the way and with the aid of formulas capable of impressing those who listen to him. Moreover the art of oratory is unfortunately too often nothing but an avalanche of commonplaces, because the remnants of the mind generally present themselves first to one's memory.

But what is of greater importance to our study of the phenomena of oratory is the imagination in the man of action. If he is tormented by this great faculty, the man of action will surely suffer deceptions and dissatisfactions incomparable, since he is forced to be *realistic*. The result is that with him the imaginative act has less importance than the means to arrive at a result. And it is the equilibrium of these two endeavors that constitutes the fate of the hero, just as Carlyle says.

We know well enough what the poet or the orator or the writer sees during his spectral revelations of imagination — words and sounds that his spirit can grasp, and, higher up, strange ideas which are in constant change of form because they are about to enter into very varied bodies, — splendid costumes — a traversing of seasons and climes — merging and mixing with others — carrying crowds with them — rousing up memories of the past! And in good sooth there is nothing more delightful for the mind than to follow up the life of an image, its longer or shorter existence, its origin from the moment it forms itself in the brain of its master to the time when, having moved about the world and having realized its power, it falls into the common reservoir of *show-case beauties*, beauties which have no longer any effective action.

We know also how the painter sees when he paints

and the musician when he composes. At any rate we have in regard to such creative states of mind certain notions and testimony which we enlarge into theories and which satisfy our intellectual laziness.

But on the other hand that of which we completely lack knowledge is the manner in which mental images comport themselves in the man of action. Certain recent philosophical hypotheses concerning which we must soon vex ourselves attribute an effective power to mental images. It is certain that when alive and active they *tend constantly to become real*. According to Fouillée's phrase, they are "*motrices*." A beautiful picture, a lovely symphony, a magnificent bit of literature do not only infuse power into us ; such things drag us along in their wake and put us into a mental condition as close as possible to themselves. It is thus that war-like songs and the rolling of drums pour heroism into the hearts of citizens.

If you would only accept the characterizations and definitions of metaphysics I would gladly say that *the man of action is he in whom mental images have the strongest tendency to become real*.

MY FATHER. — My fear of philosophy does not go to the length of causing me to reject a formula without examination which is at least convenient. What I object to in your definition is the fact that it eludes the difficulty and gets out of the scrape by the use of words.

Let us look at an example, the grandest and most striking of this century, Napoleon. And then at another, Bismarck. And again at a third, Stanley. Our modern epoch which is reproached for the poorness of its blood seems to me nevertheless a privileged period so far as

the existence of heroes is concerned, for these three are characteristic.

The closest to me is Napoleon. His Southern race is the reason why I can class him best, because his formulas touch me better and his means are a little clearer to me.

It seems that his imagination, like his will, was excessive, ceaseless and I might say frightful. Above all things it was tenacious, and in spite of his celebrated phrase it did not altogether die at Saint-Jean-d'Acre. What kept him in motion and roused him always was his *sensibility with regard to glory and authority*. The example of the grand captains and leaders of peoples were forever present in his thoughts. He quotes and invokes them, never will he admit discussion about them. He is a Latin to the very marrow, through his mental uprightness, through his lucidity, through his judgment. There are even moments when he shows himself a Philistine, and he the enthusiast appears timid in the name of enthusiasm and fears the results of first impulses. It very seldom happens that passion and imagination are not connected and up to a certain point parallel. Now this "imaginative" was a grand creature of passion. We might have doubted it a little, but the researches made by Frédéric Masson have made the light upon this point absolutely clear.

Well, in a brain like that, the most insensate and grandiose, the most unlimited projects wing their way in flaming line. I do not in the least believe that he confined his desires to that which he believed he could realize. His desire and his mental images march far before him and his will follows them far behind — enraged against himself and others about him whenever he

did not reach its aim, and yet at the same time calculating chances of success with an energy and a hare-brained audacity and a tenacity in application which have never had their equal in this world. Whenever he secured a triumph, whenever he satisfied his own ambition on any point, triumph and ambition had already been discounted a long while. And, as we have positive witness, they no longer gave him any pleasure. Alas, that is the fault of the imagination in activity! It devours the crop when in the green shoot and the result always seems piteously inferior to the desire.

I once had a friend who, notwithstanding that he was always lucky in whatever he attempted, was always constantly morose. He adored travels. When he was about to undertake one he talked without end about it and for a long while before he left. He surrounded himself with guide books and information. He asked questions of every one he could. You would find him seated in the midst of charts, plans and photographs. But at the very moment of starting he had already enjoyed all his pleasure. His imagination was a lively one and in that way became a constant scourge. If he undertook anything, he represented to himself alternately success or failure with such a power that neither the one nor the other could give him the slightest sensation when they came. On this little side of his my friend recalled to me the Great Emperor, the Unamusable. "I have yawned my way through life!" cried Chateaubriand, who was another powerful imaginative.

What strikes and moves us, moreover, in the mental conceptions of Bonaparte was his rapidity and universality. Roederer shows us the Emperor at the State Council occupying himself with everything, a man of

detail to an excessive degree, following out every question in all particulars, calling for replies, making notes, classifying and asking the advice of inventive minds. And it is the same everywhere and always, whether in his armchair or on the battlefield — what disconcerts us is the going to work of an imagination of admirable suppleness, one which facts could never repulse.

*Which facts could never repulse.* That imagination of Bonaparte differed in this particular from any others which have been perhaps more surprising than his own but have engaged with other objects or projects that lie beyond and outside of reality. After all, clever turns of thought are easy enough when they are compared with that long patience which is able to make something actual.

And in fact Napoleon was very much incensed with those persons whose grindstones turn on nothing, with those useless windmills! If he had a detestation of ideologues, it was because such people represent lost strength, and nothing irritated that great man like bungled work and failure. One is sometimes ready to ask whether he was wrong after all, considering the abuse which people make nowadays of words and formulas. For my part I feel a certain displeasure when I see human thought flying wide of humanity and spending so much power in hollow speculations.

I. — Do you not think that the imagination of metaphysicians has its own distant utility? It seems to me that in that mist where they move great events prepare themselves. There is no doubt that it represents the disquiet which attends an epoch. Deprived of immediate objects on which to work, or disdaining them, thought takes itself for its own study. There is a revo-



lutionary virtue in the constant questioning which she causes all problems to undergo ; let us avoid dissimulation ; a perpetual state of revolution is the best state for the brain. Ideas which congeal and fix themselves solidly become authoritative and odious. The Church has not been alone in offering an example of a philosophy of liberty which supplies weapons to despotism. All principles have a tendency to become immovable and play the tyrant to their victims. Metaphysics have this thing in their favor that they engage with the radical principles themselves and at the very moment when the latter think that they are victorious, destroy them and give place to others.

Let us not separate, if so you please, the imagination of ideas from the imagination of acts. The hatred of Napoleon for Ideology must not make us forget that he himself fought in honor of an Ideology, namely his own, and that he wished to impose it upon the universe.

MY FATHER. — That man was a veritable element himself and the elements alone were able to draw bounds and limits for him ; heat in Spain and cold in Russia said to him : "Farther than this thou shalt not go." The man who reaches such summits of power is intoxicated by his sudden ascension ; his outlook does not extend in accordance with the heights to which he rises ; it is only his desire which increases.

After everything has been considered, if it were necessary to give a sub-title to the history of Napoleon, that sub-title might perhaps be "Or the Man of Imagination." What he actually realized in his short and frantic life is a warrant for us concerning what he dreamed. How many dreams must have gone to produce a single act !

Note in passing the extraordinary attraction which imaginatives exercise one upon the other. They trail each other by the scent. They divine each other and understand each other with half a word; they are all ready to help each other.

Up to now we have been considering as the representatives of that *faculty which delivers* only the artists, philosophers and men of action, in a word the great men who are the representatives of the *lofty imagination*. Our reckoning would not be complete if we took no account of types of the *lower imagination*.

Such types are numberless; we rub elbows with them every day. I thought it was my duty to give them an important place in my work. In his dramas like *The Wild Duck* Ibsen has also interested himself in them. Some are found in the novels of Dickens and those of Tourguénieff; and I certainly forget others.

Many who are imprisoned by the realities of life do not for that reason lose their illusions. Like people plunged in hallucinations they march on in their wretchedness, seeing nothing, feeling nothing, always expecting the inheritance or the extraordinary chance in the lottery, or the kind gentleman who comes their way and adopts them, or the lady who calls to her coachman: "Halt!" and turning to the foot passenger, "Get in, this is your own carriage!" Admirable hopes are these which help to make all evils bearable. Those who carry about with them in their feeble brains that transforming virtue have no need of alcohol, nor opium, nor any kind of exciting things. If they have no fire they can make a hearth; if they lack bread, they form a mental image of a feast.

*Don Quixote* is an admirable book because it consists

of a monograph of one of these inferior imaginations, and another example is *Madame Bovary*. That is why I call the faculty of evoking images *that faculty which delivers*. Just as those children are sheltered from sorrow and melancholy whom we see amusing themselves all alone and inventing games without the help of comrades, exactly so the wretched to whom Providence has intrusted the magic wand support their burdens with ease.

Such "stories" and "legends" as the little as well as the great call for have only one aim: To supply what is lacking to imaginations which are weak, introduce into an often hard and implacable life another life which does not belong to it, where things come at the right moment, watchful fairies combat evil geniuses and pain and suffering roll away, permitting Gladness to be seen smiling upon her pedestal. We have just been judging art from a bird's-eye view with regard to its intrinsic qualities in the Chinese fashion, but not according to its results; now art has the sublime destiny of creating about and above our souls enough consoling or amusing images to prevent existence from crushing the souls—whether because these images become an enlarged looking-glass reflecting their condition which permits them to look upon themselves in beauty, or because they represent a condition far superior whither illusion will drag them. Pascal has celebrated dreams in a memorable phrase which puts shepherds and kings on the same level. The rôle that our faculty must play is to create a perpetual dream. The world would soon come to an end if it were not for the imaginatives and the story-tellers. Compassionate reality takes care to put on her programme from time to time the realization of some beautiful

dream in order that illusion shall not be altogether lost. So it is that we see treasures discovered, a shepherd who wins a princess to wife, and reparation for great injustices. Such short respites from evil and baseness are sufficient to perpetuate hope. In proportion as the religious imagination which offered wretched men pictures all ready and painted has disappeared, it would seem that the other imagination of which I am speaking has augmented. Mankind has more than ever need of those dreams which uncompromising realists would like to suppress.

I. — The chances of conversation have caused us to follow a singular path ; we certainly might be allowed to return upon our steps to examine the road we have traversed.

We began by *recognizing* the importance of Imagination, and rather than define it we have mentioned its powers in detail. Then we established the closeness of connection which it entertains with the faculty of feeling, and to such effect that it appeared to us finally as *an extended sensibility*. Every man carries about in himself a faculty for being an architect which pushes him to complete any active feeling, but he is not satisfied with that, his life has impressed him strongly. He looks for something more and that prolongation of effort constitutes the faculty of receiving images.

After these premisses we then entered into the heart of the subject and we resolved to proceed by examples. We have traversed with long steps the arts and sciences and their method, and on our way have examined all the imaginary trails and have followed them whenever they seemed to us worth while.

In this way we have arrived through the representatives of humanity to the very frontiers of life itself ; and

it is very easy to see that I have undergone your influence, since, having taken our departure from an abstract point, we have arrived at the place where we are examining absolutely concrete points of view.

Once or twice we almost deviated into metaphysics, but with a little energy we have kept that method in reserve for the moment when we might wish to endeavor to make a synthesis instead of an analysis.

MY FATHER. — That word "method" makes me smile, not that I have not the greatest respect for Descartes, but it seems to me that his *Discours* has now reached to a certain extent the state of dogma. Descartes understood the mathematical sciences very well and his entire work is based on them. To-day while biological sciences are ruling the roost, it seems as if method itself had undergone certain modifications.

It is intentionally that up to the present moment I have kept our conversation within the limits of live things. I know only too well that one rambles whenever one goes aside from humanity. The faculties or passions, considered outside of those who possess or suffer them, appear to me to be false entities: "the straw of words for the clear grain of things" — that is a reproach from a metaphysician, Leibnitz, I think.

The position of a romance writer therefore in modern times would be at one and the same time historical and philosophical; historical, because he lives in a certain epoch and saturates himself with the turn of mind of that epoch and its characteristics and leaves a picture of it which moves the reader; philosophical, because he seizes upon the passions in their activity within the human tissue where they dwell, and endeavors to elevate them from the *particular* to the *general*.

I. — Since we have reached the question of persons affected by their passions, do you not think that they introduce important modifications into the phenomena of imagination? We see, ourselves, how much our intelligence is upset by various episodes in our existence.

Thus *love* is a very powerful and very mysterious source of images. Like a poison it transforms the entire look of nature and opens in the soul novel regions. Then it is that one perceives to what dire extent one ignores one's own character.

There are human beings in whom the imagination has, so to speak, nothing to say, or is reduced to its simplest expression. They are content with such natural phenomena as life presents to them, or more exactly according to that routine which the habit of the senses traces for them. They never leave their exact limits. They consider any one who raises himself a little bit above the ordinary level, seeks to interpret that which moves him and make more important that which surrounds him, as crazy or diseased.

Well, when love touches human beings of that sort they change completely, so that their surroundings can hardly recognize them. Owing to the new sentiment strange forces agitate themselves for the first time within their breasts, forces which disquiet and upset them. They attribute virtues to inanimate things. They hear the birds sing. They perceive that stars exist. In short it is the education of Caliban. Nothing more moving than this metamorphosis; it causes us to imagine some secret education conducted by nature.

MY FATHER. — There is nothing like *love* to rouse the sleeping powers of a man. Every violent movement of consciousness has the same result. Undoubtedly *jeal-*

*ousy* may be able to make a poet out of a very commonplace individual. As we have known since Spinoza, this vice particularly favors the imagination. It excites pictures of exuberant richness in the most burning regions of the soul, forming the worst of tortures, which renew and transform themselves, or else, increasing in depth, become a veritable obsession.

But apart from jealousy let us look for instance at *avarice*. That passion wonderfully sharpens the wits of him who carries it about him. It makes him aware of a multitude of small details which he would never have remarked without its presence. When his favorite topic excites him, it causes him to utter sublime phrases, remarkable utterances which we are not surprised to discover in the mouth of a Père Grandet, and which of a certainty Balzac actually collected from misers whom he knew.

And there's the *egoist*, of whom George Meredith has traced so wonderful a portrait — what stratagems does he not employ and through what persevering rounds does he not gradually bring back the entire world to his own personality !

The *scrupulous* man is less studious and less favored by literature, although he is nevertheless a character that occurs very often ; the scrupulous man may be considered a victim of his own imagination. It is imagination which swells up the slightest acts in his mind, such acts as an ordinary man never considers as of more than secondary importance, and with excellent reason, because otherwise they would clog and destroy his life after the fashion of parasitic plants. *Scrupulosity* is a very widespread malady of the soul. Theologians understand it and have made excellent descriptions of it. But they

have noticed only one of its forms, the religious variant, although it takes on the most varied aspects and torments the most dissimilar souls. What characterizes it especially is an anomalous condition of the moral vision caused by imagination which excites and turns it aside.

And by that step we reach *remorse*, in which imagination plays a leading part. That person who is able by an effort of his mind to reconstruct a scene from life, hear the sounds and see the colors and gestures of it, and recall the odors, that man would do well to abstain from any wrong act.

I. — Don't you think that one might make an interesting study of remorse showing itself in an undeveloped character, which has been hitherto rebellious to feelings and even to all sensations apart from hunger, thirst and weariness? Little by little one might see him enlightening himself from the flame of the torches of the Eumenides. His torture would be a revelation to him.

MY FATHER. — That is a miracle which often occurs. Whether undeveloped or over-refined, the greater number of mankind are delivered through suffering of the forces which are contained within. A telling moment in our study would be the connection of suffering with imagination. The person who groans understands the groaning of others. The man who has a sore readily sympathizes with the sores of another. That is it, *pity* . . . that is the great intermediary. That penetrates not only hearts, it penetrates brains also and makes the nerves sensitive. Nature opens wide her portals for the person invaded thereby and he believes that the world has been revealed to him all of a sudden, so much



does he become aware of the lamentations round about him, so much does he interest himself in a new and profound way in trees, animals and his own fellow-beings. Artists who are especially marked by pity have in that respect a very particular mark which distinguishes them profoundly from others.

Pity it is which excites inspiration in Dickens and Dostoiewski. It is by the way of pity that they glide into the souls of children, debauchees, martyrs and criminals with a truth that amazes us. For if Dante appeared to his contemporaries like a revenant from the infernal regions, from what accursed countries did not that Englishman and that Russian return, bearing such pallor on their faces and showing such a trembling in their hands?

It is pity which conducted them down into the sombre trench where human suffering moans. It was that which raised the trap-door. They leant over the abyss without disgust and they have brought back to their fellows new cries of anguish and new subjects for indignation. .

For after the pity which widens the imagination comes that anger which fixes its features and gives it the necessary warmth. The two feelings are connected.

I. — In your last remarks there is the germ of a theory which I believe is true with respect to the origin of satire.

Satirical writers are *reversed lyrical minds*. Gifted with nerves of prodigious sensitiveness and a marvellous imagination, they have been placed by their lives in such conditions that their pride was broken, their pity exasperated, and their anger perpetually roused by the spectacle of oppression and pain so far as men apply oppression and pain to their fellows. A new sense is

then born in them which renders existence a pain, and leaves them no repose, the sense of injustice. In Aristophanes, Swift, Fielding, Rabelais, Cervantes and Voltaire, in fact in all the great men filled with indignation, it is possible to perceive the lyrical power, but drawn aside and transformed by a feeling of universal iniquity.

Whilst on the one side human beings, steeped in lethargy by their laziness, their cowardice or simply habit, support the spectacle of oppression without complaining, these liberators of the human spirit, who are enemies of all power and control, I almost said of every law, insist upon seeing nothing in mankind except an animal which is in pain and which when it is in pain is no longer responsible for its movements of reaction against suffering.

Still, in their hands literature rises out of the rule of the mandarin and issues from the ivory tower. It assumes a social importance and thus we see that the part played by imagination may be not only that of the liberator but the avenger.

MY FATHER. — The sad doctrine of the fatalists states that one can do nothing to nothing. Despite all efforts the sum of injustice will ever remain the same upon earth and the cries of the satirists shall be in vain.

Of a certainty the spectacle that history presents fills us with deep melancholy. For men like Michelet or Carlyle it furnished the stimulus to their imaginations; bent over that spectacle as over a deep abyss, they heard the distant enormous tumult of battle and perceived shapes flying in rout, combats and metamorphoses. The vanity of all laws which cannot maintain men within the limits of the good and right struck them, laws which are often

the daughters of tyranny, laws which one day brings forth and the next destroys, laws which ever present themselves with a harsh, immovable and savage face.

In the minds of those great *poets of fact* pity and anger must have been carried to the point of paroxysm by the spectacle of horrors for which they could not furnish any remedy whatsoever. History is like the bottom of the sea with its races between voracious foes, its ambushades, its perpetual struggle for life, its implacability.

But there is another painful aspect of history which is very well calculated to strike violent imaginations and that is its automatism. I had all of a sudden, whilst listening one day in the garden to the singing of a bird, a vision of nature regulated in its manifestation, nature without the unexpected, without joy and without mystery, somewhat like a series of scenery in an opera 'succeeding the one the other according to hours and seasons, through which a certain number of changeless characters ever marched clothed in their usual costumes and placed in their conventional poses. What a horrible nightmare ! Liberty issuing from the world and leaving behind only the automaton . . . never before did fatalism seem to me so living and terrible !

Well, the spectacle of history is somewhat differently powerful than the song of a bird, that it should make us believe in certain periods, certain laws, a necessary rhythm, a long-foreseen succession of murders, wars and empires. That murmur which rises from history has likewise its predetermined phases, its movements of *piano-forte*. From a great distance communities appear like those ant-heaps, the destiny of which some English scientist, I know not which, profoundly changed by

pouring upon certain kinds of ants a spoonful of another kind of ants — so much does such an act furnish an abbreviated picture of the making of races and realms.

Beauty of imagination consisting as it does more especially of belief in that liberty which it gives us, I can foresee a true torture for the historian if he should reach the point of view of modern fatality and determinism.

I. — I hold to that phrase you have just pronounced which delights me: "Beauty of imagination consisting more especially of belief in that liberty which it gives us."

Whether you admit it or not, that is pure metaphysics, and it is certainly strange that we can never approach any question great or little without the Science of Sciences making its appearance at a given moment and forcing the mind to dig deeper yet, down into its own substance.

This torture of determinism, which is very apparent in history and the historians, is in sober fact the scourge of the imagination; it seems to impose limits upon the imagination, it makes the imagination believe that it is itself a prisoner to formulas and embarrassed by laws and that it is impossible to free itself from that despotic rule to which all things, all beings and thoughts, must submit.

It is a scourge of the imagination and a greater one than we suppose, because it limits it forever; not only does it tear its heart but it restricts it besides. Unquestionably the melancholy of wise old men sprang from no other reasons and the belief in fatality which came to them through the exact sciences will soon appear among the races of the West in as frightful a form as opium.

Like opium *determinism* has had its phase of elevation, followed very soon by a phase of depression which carries a man toward melancholy, dark thoughts and suicide. And the son of positivism was modern pessimism. During the flourishing age of that sombre doctrine great was the boldness of the scientists. They pretended to control and lead everything, even as far as the most secret operation, the most mysterious movements of the human brain. It coincided exactly with certain researches made in that same brain, certain hurried and hazardous physiological incursions, which were afterwards called *localizations*. And from the Physiology which the doctors thought they had mastered, there sprang, with what pride and boasting! a new philosophy, a psycho-physiology! Every week appeared some volume with red edges, in which some faculty of the soul was analyzed *according to the most recent methods*, methods, it may be said, which recalled the efforts of Bouvard and the illustrious Pécuchet in their finest periods of scientific zeal.

Strange discussions arose in which ideas were dissected and feelings were weighed with a laughable zeal! Through what strange aberration of mind did men come to indulge in such childishness who were no more foolish than others and quite as capable of becoming professors and assistants, just as well as their comrades? The moment arrived when they were just about to draw up a chart *ne varietur* of the human passions, with all the districts neatly bounded and with a table of exceptions fully drawn up. At that period the "schema" flourished, that schema which has been termed *the last concrete vestige of an opinion which has become abstract*: it soon became a source of errors. They drew on the board the schema of pride or of avarice side by side

with the schema of the reflex actions in the same. They calculated the variations of sweat and other secretions in a lover, an angry man, an indifferent person, during their crises, apart from crises, during periods of calm, etc. Every assistant professor very soon thought himself an admirable philosopher because philosophy had shrunk to a narrow chapter of medicine. As to metaphysics, that was railed upon, scorned, gibed at, and relegated among old superstitions. It was considered the attribute of degenerates or fools, for it may be noted that this was also the blooming period for the mind doctors.

Filled with zeal, vigor and authority, these mind doctors saw no obstacle before them. Desirous to furnish on a new system their houses thus built, they claimed as their clients not only men of talent but also the men of genius, and in preference artists, whoever had been distinguished through his pen or his pencil. The slightest suggestion of art became suspicious. This conversation upon Imagination would have left no doubt whatever as to our condition of mind. And on their side the mind doctors started a rivalry with the psychophysiologists, for they themselves were likewise seriously occupied in weighing, localizing and analyzing the human faculties, and really nothing was prettier than those little red, blue and green houses which they attributed to them on the surface of the brain.

Suddenly things changed ; a new generation of metaphysicians, ardent and vigorous, rose from the earth at the very moment that it was thought that metaphysics were buried. Then was there in the camp of the Diafoiruses and the Purgons of philosophy a rout indeed ! They made a sieve of their follies. People began to

look askance at the mind doctors. Serious works appeared in which things returned to their former state because so many pretended discoveries were reduced to their real proportions. It was seen that many of the localizations were false and that some of them were even absurd.

To-day treatises on Psycho-physiology are mouldering in a deep shade. To their great regret the mind doctors have been forced to renounce their pretensions concerning art and artists. We sent them back to their douches and dark cells with no little rudeness. And finally as a culmination of mortification, a metaphysic of liberty was installed anew by sharp and perspicuous souls which is thoroughly in the swim of modern ideas.

And since in the moral world everything hangs together, it may be remarked that the theories of determinism, like everything else which gives itself up to fatality, flourished during the epoch of oppression, during defeat and distress, whilst on the other hand the theories of liberty belong to the vigorous and well-constituted generation. For there again it is — fatalism deprives people entirely of energy like opium. Is it not singular that modern Germany should have sprung from Hegelianism and the doctrines of Fichte and Schelling? The man who believes himself the master of his own acts is a thousand times stronger than he who believes that his acts have been ordained. What is the use of attempting to move if movement has pre-established causes, if free will has nothing to do with it?

MY FATHER. — This moral point of view is important, and it is certain that ideas have an active virtue in them even if they appear abstract and detached from all human connection. The moral world exists in the in-

terior of the social world like water in an aquarium, water in a constant state of movement. This world is impressionable to everything which comes from without. A doctrine upsets it which we thought had no danger in it. A bad law works in the same way. Men are so interknit that everything holds together in whatever springs from them.

For my part I have never been a partisan of narrow fatalism; the moment that my conscientiousness seems to me free, I have admitted that it was free, and as to incursions into the domain of art and philosophy, I think they are as misplaced as they are foolish.

I have even been astounded to see to what a degree science leaves me cold. I admire science in its living manifestations, when it solaces or heals, but it seems to me feeble and vacillating in its theoretical part and all the more pretentious because it is peculiarly subject to error.

So far as feelings and their variations are concerned I think that I have brought to this study absolute uprightness and zeal. After forty years of a constant, and I might almost say, sickly observation — so greatly has my fellow-man always tormented me — I have reached this certainty that I know very few things and possess a very small circle of clear ideas. Those who pretend to explore that delicate realm with measuring instruments, "schemas" or theories, are poor, wretched, lost ones. They, more than any other people, deserve the reproach of craziness. One must be mad to suppose that one could concentrate into a single little book the last word that is to be said upon any question whatever which relates to the intelligence or the will.

So far as scientists are concerned they have interested



me, after my whim, to a greater or less degree, according as their science was more or less human, directing itself toward our great virtues or our feeble sides.

I have known admirable physicians who were not geniuses, so far as theories are concerned, and who were nevertheless healers. They went straight for the evil and fought it. In diagnosis should not a faculty for mental images occupy a preponderating part? A good clinical physician ought to represent to himself the complete chart of the human body with its *terrae incognitae*, its lions and its tigers, like those on old geographical maps, which were placed there to show our ignorance. He should also represent sickness, its causes, march and progress, then through heredity — that heredity which he has so deplorably abused — his imagination continues and prolongs itself beyond the individual as far out as to the species and race.

I. — So far as *discovery* and its mechanism is concerned, I think it is Claude Bernard who has shown that it proceeds more especially through *analogy*.

The phenomena of the universe form one vast tapestry in which everything hangs together and knot is interknit with knot. Ordinary eyes are perfectly content with the figures shown upon it and do not search farther into their co-relations the one with the other.

*Observers*, however, are anxious as to the contour of these figures, their resemblances and differences. It is perfectly clear to them that the tapestry has some meaning and that, beside its immediate significance, it possesses another less obvious one. They notice moreover that there are crossings and defects and missing stitches, that there are pieces overlaid and marks of pauses in the work and returns thereto.

But those who use their *imagination* are interested in the relations and analogies between parts of the tapestry which are far distant from each other — analogies of form and color and direction which seem to them to correspond to mysterious and profound relationships. A group of a number of such dependent relations constitutes the “discovery.”

Thus the discovery seems to us, generally speaking, a relationship between distant phenomena. It connects regions that are far apart; between the primordial figures others rise into sight, suggested by the union of corresponding points.

From this it results that the imagination of scientists invents nothing. But it associates and clears up ideas. That is especially visible in the mathematical sciences, whose adepts suck from them such an amount of satisfaction and vanity that they scorn all the rest of human knowledge. And in truth, since their minds move along a series of combinations which the mind itself has created, they are in a state of perpetual joy because the sections of their reasoning adapt themselves exactly to the results of such reasoning. Their imagination only sums up a set of facts, but this gives them the illusion of enlarging its sphere, and they are not troubled with those discordances and discrepancies, those mere approximations to reality, which science has used for its own purposes during recent epochs.

MY FATHER. — Yet we have to grant their own grandeur to scientific imaginations. Men like Darwin and Claude Bernard fill an ignoramus like myself with admiration, because I feel in their words the fever of truth and a marvellous scrupulosity which enchants me. They are not ashamed to acknowledge their own mistakes.

They will not hesitate to upset their *system* itself if that system does not correspond with facts.

The life of that great man Darwin is notably a constant example of sincerity and kindliness ; I know few works as precious from our point of view as the account of the voyage of the "Beagle," that voyage which he made when young and when the greater part of his ideas were forming themselves in his powerful brain.

Here we are present at their birth. His imagination is aroused by a constant, assiduous and exhaustive observation. His eyes are not covered by the bandages of routine and convention. He has divested himself of that fog through which habit causes us to see everything. He has preserved untouched the *faculty of astonishment*, that wondrous gift of infancy which educates us in a short while, causing us to acquire more in a few years than in all the rest of our life. Moreover his uprightness is absolute ; he freed himself from the common tendency which consists in our persistence in an error whenever that error is convenient to us and has become a habit. "People do not make their ideas over again when sixty years old" — a mournful statement which I have often heard repeated and which fills me every time with indignation. We change our ideas at every age ! Are we not only too lucky to free ourselves from a mistake ? And if we have shouldered that mistake for a great many years, all the more should we find it necessary to hate it !

Darwin fears misty generalizations and only advances step by step. Nevertheless there never was a man more capable of vast theories and of using those enormous nets with which one can sweep up facts in masses and therewith astonish the ignorant. There is no doubt

that he would have suffered much, if he had been present to see the strange distortions of his doctrine and their crude application to the social, moral and political world.

Our minds are so at the mercy of error ! If error has not corrupted the originator it assails his imitators and his disciples. It is the fungus that grows a parasite upon every fine act of the imagination. The subtler, more ductile and stronger an idea is, the more people draw absurd or premature conclusions from it, so that sometimes a truth succumbs under the weight of the follies which it drags along in its wake, follies for which it was never responsible.

We have seen Darwin's doctrines put to use as a political catch-word. Anticlericalism has used them, but it has been fatal. People have made the poor great genius say a great many things which had never been thought of by one who was scrupulous to excess, by the man who waked up his friends at two o'clock in the morning after a long conversation on the "Sentiment of the Sublime" in order to warn them that he had made a mistake in some anecdote.

I. — Science has before everything else the craving for proofs. It has need of long patience and lays on the most brilliant imaginations a bridle which must often become painful.

Moreover the scientist when he does make a discovery has the sorrow of having to say that he only makes a statement in corroboration. The artist, however, enjoys the illusion of creating. As a matter of fact this creation itself is for the greater part a mirage, since art consists of a happy choice and an assembling together of beauties that have already existed. The man of letters does not

invent a new sentiment or an unpublished character any more than the playwright does. The very rhythm and cadence which he gives to his work, his style itself, proceeds from some one ; let him be as personal as he chooses, he must admit an origin and birth for it somewhere. Neither painter nor sculptor represents anything which did not exist before in the world. It is somewhat different in regard to music. But looking at things a little closer, music is the lofty manifestation of a harmony, the models for which exist in nature. Nevertheless the writer, the painter, the sculptor and the musician, whenever their work bears them along, believe honestly that they are adding to the world something which did not exist before their time.

Sublime illusion, and one that makes a man invincible ! It is very painful to acknowledge to oneself that one lies in a prison where one can but count the prison bars. It is painful to remember that the human mind has its laws of limitation and that imagination cannot pass beyond a certain point, and that it is impossible to break away from gravitation, whether cosmical, social, or moral.

If one looks at the matter from that point of view, could one not believe that the imagination is a constant counsellor of liberty ? The doctrine of Finalities has served its time. We do not admit that a given faculty has to be granted to man for a definite and restricted purpose. But it is certain that the moral universe, exactly like the material world, has a tendency to preserve and continue its equilibrium and harmony. But to preserve this, sometimes marvellous sacrifices are necessary.

What would the world become for us if we could not

continue and modify it through images? It is through the latter even more than through the association of ideas that our power of feeling is perpetually awake and in action.

Do we not know a class of minds in whom the imagination is nothing but the introduction to the divine? After a period of darkness, behold us interesting ourselves again in the mystical writers! The grand breath that blows through them has once more a meaning in our epoch and the gropings that go on about symbols show the disquiet of human thought which wishes to free itself from its bonds.

When conscience is laid captive it escapes through the imagination. What does the prisoner do? He dreams of the time when he is free, out in the fields, beneath the sky, among the flowers. He thinks of everything which moves and agitates itself outside the walls of his prison. Man is a perpetual prisoner. Such is the law of his desire that he wearies of that which he has obtained, and those who are most completely satisfied are at the same time the most miserable, unless indeed they can escape through the imagination. When you applaud reality, you speak of a certain kind of reality that is neither flat nor low nor vulgar, because your imagination enlarges it. If we examine the world which surrounds us, marking its forms and outlines and the signs that represent its figures, then it suddenly widens out. Whether our tendencies are abstract and inclined to be satisfied by formulas, or concrete and in love with actual examples, the effort of respiration is the same in all of us and we ever march onward toward the heights.

MY FATHER. — I think I have known suffering but I

have never understood *ennui*. It is the imagination to which I owe that ; sincerely do I mourn for those who are lacking of it. I am ready to go farther — whoever does not imagine is incapable of observing. For observation always surpasses reality, lending it the sounds and colors of the senses belonging to the observer.

I once returned from a journey with a friend and we were recounting our impressions. When my turn came he did not interrupt me, but I saw from his astonishment that he accused me in his heart of imposture. Yet both of us were absolutely honest. Only, he had not remarked at all the things which struck me the most and he believed that I was inventing. A similar error is common. We are always ready to believe that he lies who has seen more than we have. In the eyes of many people poets and visionaries are either children or half idiots. The number of eyes which do not see and of ears which do not hear and of fingers which have never touched and felt is simply immeasurable.

Ever since we have been talking of the imagination we have failed to discuss its morbid frontiers, its deviations and shames. Is that an error? It is my belief that monsters do little in the way of instruction. They are objects of fright, far more than subjects of study, and the disgust which Goethe felt for them had the deepest of reasons.

One of the advantages of this admirable faculty is that little that is exciting is necessary for its existence ; a glint, gesture or word are sufficient. A man with imagination does with one look that which Cuvier did with one bone, he reconstructs an entire individual.

I. — Indeed it is extremely curious to see how too many details and over-richness of nourishment harm the

faculty for images. And is not that an indication as to its mechanism with which we have not yet occupied ourselves?

Like the greater number of phenomena within and without us the imagination proceeds by *whirlwinds*. Those who possess this faculty to the point of paroxysm find that the slightest cause of exterior action falling upon the brain through the senses arouses at once an excitation of all those impressions of the senses which memory had treasured up heretofore. It has always seemed to me that a window pane affected by frost, upon which beautiful wintry pictures have suddenly formed themselves, presents a picture of what passes at such times in our mind. There, within the mystery of the nervous cells, a quantity of unknown laws set to work — attractions, repulsions and combinations of various kinds which are without doubt just as complicated as those that rule the movement of the stars.

But it is easy to distinguish a *rapid* imagination and a *slow* imagination. The former is in perpetual observation; the second is a mechanism apart. All of a sudden, thoughts and embryonic thoughts, sensations and memories which have remained benumbed within the crypts of our substance are aroused by new impressions. Then slow and tenacious figures form themselves in the spirit, so tenacious that they become in some human beings *fixed ideas*. Is it not in these phenomena of slow imagination that we should look for the key to craziness, concerning which we still possess only very vague indications?

But quite apart from craziness, the artistic life and more especially the life of the man of letters give us daily examples of the rapid and slow imagination, for the two are associated in the work of composition.



MY FATHER. — What a singular study it would be if one were to work at the state of the mind whilst imagination is in action !

It is impossible for me to work when noise goes on. At that moment my brain is in such a state of super-excitement that the slightest sound and the smallest change in light upsets my thought and carries me away from my point. That mechanism of which you speak seizes everything which comes to it through the senses.

There are others on the contrary who are able to abstract themselves completely in the midst of tumult, of the coming and going of people and of children. It seems as if a deadened wall had been lowered between their imagination and external life.

The choice of a word is an exhaustive operation whenever we wish to seize and hold a sensation as closely as possible. We make an exact image of it to ourselves and that alone is a fatigue. Then we compare to it the various words, adjectives or nouns which memory brings to us and we try them by the eye and the ear just as a jeweller tries his precious stones. A close adaptation of a word gives a particular kind of joy which all writers know and the reader will find for himself. This is the most wearisome of work.

For the imagination is a machine which requires special care. The livelier and more easily excitable it is, the more delicate its springs may be, the more dangerous is it to strain it too much. Woe to those who hope to inflame it through poisons ! The progress of the latter is fatal — a fraudulent excitement which makes us think the least effort sublime, and then a depression which makes us incapable of realizing the effort. One of the laws of images consists in this, that normal life alone

must bring it to the spirit. And that is done without our volition. That is not subject to rules.

The hygiene of the imagination is simple. When it is fatigued it demands repose from its own action and its repose consists in diversion. The moral occupations of life rest and quiet it. How many illustrious writers have there not been, who have paid with their life or their reason for some abuse of that faculty which is our tool, and from which one should not ask too much !

I have talked about *diversion*. That is likewise the greatest remedy for the too great persistence of images. Now that shows us, those are not the most singular scenes of nature, nor the most remarkable episodes of life which seize upon and fill the memory most. Often some word or gesture, some insignificant act remains in our mind to torture it. Is not this a proof that without our own volition our sensibility undergoes plenty of alternatives which offer a chance or destiny to our images? That which finds us in a state of receptivity enters and penetrates us deeply. That which finds us in a state of closedness or of half-closure, carves itself in but shallowly and is quickly effaced.

LÉON A. DAUDET.



